A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons!

The Next Global Menace Ali Baba Plusplus Alien Invasion

May 2020

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

The Next Global Menace Ali Baba Plusplus Copyright Notice

Copyright © 2020 by Zahir Ebrahim.

All rights reserved.

Words: 45849 | Pages: 144 | 8.5 x 11.0 | May 3, 2020

Published by Project HumanbeingsfirstTM

The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons

Copyright © Zahir Ebrahim. Full permission to copy, repost, and reprint, in its entirety, unmodified and unedited, for any purpose, in any reproduction medium, granted, provided the PDF Source URL and this copyright notice are also reproduced verbatim as part of this license, and not doing so may be subject to copyright license violation infringement claims pursuant to remedies noted at http://www.copyright.gov/title17/92chap5.html. All figures, images, quotations, and excerpts are used without permission based on non-profit "fair-use" for personal education and research use only in the greater public interest consistent with the understanding of laws noted at http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.html. Partial replication or dissemination of this book with any page omitted is an infringement. Any use beyond "fair-use" requires written consent from all copyright holders. The Ebook edition may not be sold. **Ebook edition is for Gratis Distribution Only.** Ebook edition may be printed for non-profit personal use and gratis give-away. All web links are restored through Internet Archive's Wayback Machine. Full copyright notice and disclaimers at

 $\underline{https://web.archive.org/web/20200312/http://humanbeingsfirst.org/\#Copyright}\ ,$

https://web.archive.org/web/20200325/http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/#Legal-N-Things.

PDF With Images Generated on Sunday, May 10, 2020 04:00 PM

144 968 45849 285774 4 50 0 4100 Rev 0

Beware, that man has written a book!

Burn it down.

Shut him down.

He is dangerous...

Works by this Author

- Book The Next Global Menace Ali Baba Plusplus: Alien Invasion May 2020
- Bbook The Useful Idiot's Guide To Pandemic 2020
- Book Pakistan Decapitation Papers 5th Edition 2019
- Book Poor Man's Guide to Modernity 9th Edition 2015
- Book Hijacking the Holy Qur'an and Islam 2nd Edition 2015
- Book Prisoners of the Cave 2003 7th Anniversary Edition Ch9-Abridged 2010
- Pamphlet What does the Holy Qur'an Say Vol. I Thus Spake Holy Qur'an On Schisms 2015
- Pamphlet Undoing the Theft of Palestine 2015
- Pamphlet Open Guidance to Imran Khan Reimagining Pakistan Project ReGenesis
 2018 2019
- Primer on Global Warming For Intelligent People: The Global Warming Psyops That
 People Don't Get 2019
- Pamphlet Secular Humanism: Bane of Civilizations October 2019
- Pamphlet The White Man's Burden 2007 2018
- Report Epilogue: 10th Anniversary of Benazir Bhutto's Assassination Pakistan on the chopping block in 2018?
- Pamphlet Jerusalem: Reality vs Impracticality The Protection Racket of the Jewish
 State 2007 2018
- Pamphlet The invisible House of Rothschild 2012
- Report Whistleblowing Masterpiece of Plagiarism in Pakistan with System-wide
 Collusion Case Study in National Loss of Ethics 2011 2018

The Powers That Be shut down Project Humanbeingsfirst websites hosted on Google Blogger on the morning of March 26, 2020, removing all websites and documents without intimation. The email account humanbeingsfirst @gmail.com is also no longer accessible as of that date.

Internet Archive.org's Wayback Machine has a copy

- A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document: Table of Contents
- A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document: PDF-Index
- Sites Project Humanbeingsfirst PDF-Index
- Project Humanbeingsfirst: Table of Contents
- Faith To Inspire And To Indoctrinate: Table of Contents
- On Islam: Table of Contents
- Pakistan-Politico: The Divine Destiny of Pakistan: Table of Contents
- Prisoners of the Cave: Table of Contents

The menace must be believable

"The existence of an accepted external menace, then, is essential to social cohesiveness as well as to the acceptance of political authority. The menace must be believable, it must be of a magnitude consistent with the complexity of the society threatened, and it must appear, at least, to affect the entire society."

An Alien threat would unite the world

"We often forget how much unites all the members of humanity. Perhaps we need some outside universal threat to make us recognize this common bond. I occasionally think how quickly our differences worldwide would vanish if we were facing an alien threat from outside this world."

Imagine when the terrorists are Aliens from outerspace and terrorize every nation

"An act of catastrophic terrorism that killed thousands or tens of thousands of people and/or disrupted the necessities of life for hundreds of thousands, or even millions, would be a watershed event in America's history. It could involve loss of life and property unprecedented for peacetime and undermine Americans' fundamental sense of security within their own borders in a manner akin to the 1949 Soviet atomic bomb test. or perhaps even worse. Constitutional liberties would be challenged as the United States sought to protect itself from further attacks by pressing against allowable limits in surveillance of citizens, detention of suspects, and the use of deadly force. More violence would follow, either as other terrorists seek to imitate this great 'success' or as the United States strikes out at those considered responsible. Like Pearl Harbor, such an event would divide our past and future into a 'before' and 'after.'"

This page is intentionally blank

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons!

TOC

Table of Contents

TOC	Table of Contents	<u>i</u>
Preface	Introduction: Pentagon Declassifies and Releases US Navy Pilots' UFO Sightings Videos in 2020	iii
I	Wikileaks Confirms UFO Chatter in Leaked Documents – Fabricating Super Ali Baba Plusplus	1
II	Alien Invasion – The Next Global Menace to Plague Mankind: The Agenda Behind Aliens and UFOs – A Hegelian Mind-Fck	<u>7</u>
III	Aliens and UFOs – Head in the Ass!	<u>37</u>
IV	Letter to Kerry Cassidy Project Camelot – The Alien-UFO Agenda	<u>45</u>
V	Making the Public Mind – Engineering Consent and Behavior Control: Report on the Mighty Wurlitzer	<u>51</u>
About	About the author	<u>125</u>
	Backcover	<u>144</u>

This page is intentionally blank

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons!

Preface

Introduction

Aliens and UFOs 2020

The Big Lie that shall cement One-World Government

The Headline News in Pakistan on <u>April 28, 2020</u> reported: **Pentagon releases videos showing three UFOs**

'The Pentagon has released three unclassified videos taken by Navy pilots showing unidentified flying objects. According to The Guardian, the videos which had been circulating for a few years, show what appear to be unidentified flying objects rapidly moving while recorded by infrared cameras.'

CNN had reported it a day earlier, on <u>April 27, 2020</u>, as had the worldwide news media: **Pentagon officially releases UFO videos**

'Washington (CNN)The Pentagon has officially released three short videos showing "unidentified aerial phenomena" that had previously been released by a private company. The videos show what appear to be unidentified flying objects rapidly moving while recorded by infrared cameras. Two of the videos contain service members reacting in awe at how quickly the objects are moving. One voice speculates that it could be a drone. The Navy previously acknowledged the veracity of the videos in September of last year. They are officially releasing them now, "in order to clear up any misconceptions by the public on whether or

not the footage that has been circulating was real, or whether or not there is more to the videos," according to Pentagon spokesperson Sue Gough.'

HOW CONVENIENT!

I reproduced the following passages and ideas from my earlier writings in my recent 2020 book: <u>The Useful Idiot's Guide To Pandemic 2020</u>:

The myriad crises which afflict humanity today, from the Global War on Terror to Global Financial Crisis to Global Pandemic, and a few more to come including Global Food Shortage to UFO-Alien Invasion, are only the successive Hegelian mind-fcks, ahem, the "acts" and "deeds" of making current affairs "look like a great 'booming, buzzing confusion' to use William James' famous description of reality,"

'I do still believe however that the final stage before world government can be fully cemented into earth history without remnance of public resistance, must still be a cosmological threat, alien invasion... but we shall see how far this microbial enemy can take us on the Hard Road to a New Global World Order.'

This book: The Next Global Menace Ali Baba Plusplus: Alien Invasion, dismantles that new big lie soon to break surface as an all out existential terror to menace all mankind: Alien invasion from outerspace. It shall appear to put H. G. Wells' nineteenth century science fiction novel *The War of the Worlds* into manifest reality that all nations of the world will grapple with *United We Stand*.

Like the threat from 'militant Islam' which gave birth to the so called 'Global War on Terror', this new big lie too has been a long time in the making. It has had its circus clowns who have warmed the public mind for over a century, its useful idiot sci-fi fans who have bought into the propaganda and kept the holy grail of searching for UFOs alive, and its key Machiavellian propagandists who, un strangely enough, principally come from the United States Government Agencies and deploy these beliefs masterfully for psyops. America's allied nations shall be added to the mix the moment the new menace becomes visible to all and sundry just like 9/11 was, and the world's nations shall all rush to 'United We Stand' against this new global terror that "will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison".

I think George W. Bush had grossly mis-spoken, or was perhaps reading from the previous version of the play-book of "imperial mobilization" which has now evidently been superseded, when he hath stated during his tenure that the new catastrophic terror to plague mankind will probably originate in

Pakistan and not Afghanistan:

"If another September 11 style attack is being planned, it probably is being plotted in Pakistan, and not Afghanistan".

I had written over a decade ago that: What if the next big 9/11 is Aliens/UFOs landing? If that were to indeed transpire, it is my bet that Muslims worldwide will be the first to accept it --- they will race to join the imperial narrative of the new intergalactic catastrophe in exactly the same manner as Pakistan did for the first catastrophic terrorism narrative of 9/11. This is what I wrote in 2008:

'The abominable shared fates that unite Iran ("Bush and Iran, again", WSJ April 15, 2008), and Pakistan, from President Bush calling Pakistan "Terror Central" in 2007, to this week, April 13, 2008, 'clairvoyantly' asserting that "If another September 11 style attack is being planned, it probably is being plotted in Pakistan, and not Afghanistan". And as already noted in its March 29, 2008 heads-up warning to America by Project Humanbeingsfirst ("Nuclear attack on Iran appears imminent!"), when such a "planned" attack transpires, it "will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison" (Bush, Feb. 13, 2008).'

What can make "Sept. 11 pale by comparison" according to the former President of the United States?

Well, I don't rightly know, but according to the likely candidates usually prognosticated by the super visionaries on both the Left and the Right, these range from false-flag nuclear terror attack on American or its Allies' soil blamed on any of the "Terror Central" in the world, including Pakistan and Iran; to new plagues and viruses requiring Defcon-2 Alert and forced vaccinations; to some even suggest planets colliding with each other – well of course, but of course, as James Bond would repartee to M were he invited to plan it all out in the next sequel on how to create world government for the City of London.

None of these scenarios still create the kind of credible threat that can unite mankind permanently into a one-world government. Surely the CFR and the Rockefeller Foundation that excel in <u>scenario analysis</u> must be agonizing over it. As Ronald Reagan read off from his script at the UN podium barely hinting at the thought processes going on behind the scenes in the <u>Iron Mountain</u> underground vaults:

"If suddenly there was a threat to this world from some other species from another planet," President Ronald Reagan had read out loud from his

script at the United Nations General Assembly podium in 1987, "in our obsession with antagonisms of the moment, we often forget how much unites all the members of humanity. Perhaps we need some outside universal threat to make us recognize this common bond. I occasionally think how quickly our differences worldwide would vanish, if we were facing an alien threat from outside this world!" --- President Ronald Reagan, Speaking at the UN General Assembly, September 21, 1987

This book: The Next Global Menace Ali Baba Plusplus: Alien Invasion, is a compendium of my decade old essays which deconstruct that new menace before it is unveiled. It is my expectation that if the public can preempt that propaganda by focussing on unraveling the many facets and scenarios on what they Machiavellianly plan to do BEFORE they enact them just like they enacted 9/11, the vile psy-ops can surely be defeated BEFORE it becomes the new established "hard facts" on the ground for the globalists' *coup de grâce*: **the final restructuring of the planet into** <u>world government</u>.

However, this is what imperial craftsmanship expects the public to be doing instead: to engage with this menacing new big lie as reality in the making, debating whether it will be good aliens or bad aliens who shall come first, and to prepare to interdict them in a new global war of the worlds so that ex post facto, once UFOs are seen to invade, we shall only be left to study what really happened:

"We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do." (Ron Suskind, New York Times, Oct. 17, 2004)

I hope my humble effort in this month of Ramadan with this preemptive book for ba-sha'oor citizens of planet earth can defeat Mephistopheles in its own game. However, given the empiricism before me of how even a tiny invisible enemy has brought the entire planet to its knees, I doubt it very much that mankind on earth shall resist the *Invasion from Mars* as yet another big lie when they see the UFOs landing with their own eyes. The pentagon videos are part of that *predictive programming*...

Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
in lockdown in California Sunday, May 10, 2020

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons!

Chapter I

Wikileaks Confirms UFO Chatter in Leaked Documents – Fabricating Super Ali Baba Plusplus

Response to Gordon Duff's WHY WIKILEAKS DIED

January 03, 2011 | Last Updated 25 January 2011

Hello UFO fans, and anti-fans,

I would not so easily dismiss UFO absurdities as comrade Gordon Duff has done in his New Year's 2011 article: WHY WIKILEAKS DIED:

'The failed UFO scare, whatever it was supposed to do, has backfired, putting a bullet in the heart of Wikileaks.'



If you use political science to understand this absurdity, it does not appear to be an absurdity at all, but a very important unveiling of the new 'big lie' that has been a long time in the making, beginning with Orson Wells' dramatization in New York in 1938 of H. G. Wells 'War of the Worlds'! That social engineering project prototype to study <u>mass panic behavior</u> was funded by the Rockefeller Foundation, as it later came out. Read the condensed summary paper by Hadley Cantril (PDF),

1947, to glean some insight into group categories and how people reacted then under the implanted false belief. Would masses react any differently now?

Here are some excerpts from my earlier works which actually situate UFOishness in the overarching context of "imperial mobilization" towards cementing world government.

But before reading further, just reflect on what has already been achieved in Wikileaks by mentioning UFO in the same breadth as other core-axioms of empire:

"it is worth noting that in yet-to-be-published parts of the cablegate archive there are indeed references to UFOs."

Just as the hectoring hegemons have <u>re-implanted the seeds</u> of mythical "Osama Bin Laden" is alive and planning the demise of the Western world now from his new perch in Iran-Pakistan, they have also, formally, planted the seed of what they previously cultivated only vicariously through television shows and movies, Alien Invasion.

So, let me begin with this clip of former American President-actor Ronald Reagan at the United Nations podium in 1987. It is the first minute of Zecharia Sitchin's 'Are We Alone In The Universe?' documentary (and also reflect how is it that novelist Zecharia Sitchin gets so much press and publication time peddling UFOs and the seeds of Secular Humanism and his books are bestsellers, when the only superlatively genuine scholar of the goy, Eustace Mullins, died without even any mention in the mainstream press?). Watch.

This is what I wrote over a year ago [in December 2009] in: <u>Tutorial: The Brilliant Construction of World Order – Or a children's bedtime story</u>:

Whereas, the poor monetary reformer fighting the fabricated financial crisis, already the underdog and resourceless in going against an entrenched financial oligarchy with infinitely deep pockets, is also outwitted by the sheer magnitude of the Hegelian design for Global Governance. The momentum created by the disparate crises spanning the gamut of global financial meltdown, global warming, global pandemic, global war on terror, is insurmountable enough. Imagine if the next global crisis after global food panic and global crop failure, is alien sightings and landings!

It will be the coup de grace for bringing the fractious humanity finally together in

one-world government "if suddenly there was a threat to this world from some other species from another planet." As President Ronald Reagan had read out loud from his script at the United Nations podium in 1987: "we often forget how much unites all the members of humanity. Perhaps we need some outside universal threat to make us recognize this common bond. I occasionally think how quickly our differences worldwide would vanish if we were facing an alien threat from outside this world": http://vimeo.com/4871185

Coming soon to your local friendly skies, complete with the 'messiah' and the 'mahdi' descending from the heavens on the wings of dove, or the chariots of fire, in their final return to save the now united mankind against the common threats. Maybe there is something to this 'dajjal' story, the 'false messiah' lore after all! Brought to you courtesy of NASA's Universal Holographic Satellite Projection System.'

Finally, a reference to Project Camelot and its bizarre focus on UFOs. Below is a link to my more detailed deconstruction of what is going on with so much obsession of dissent-space with bizarredom which make no rational sense unless viewed through the lens of diabolically fabricating "beneficial cognitive diversity", myth constructions, and finally, the realization of these myths by physical enactment, just as they enacted the "searing" event of 9/11 which split the world into the "before" and the "after" epochs. I had even cautioned Project Camelot in my Email Conversation with Kerry Cassidy of Project Camelot about Wikileaks on the political science service to empire they were perhaps unknowingly being put to:

http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/06/wmd-master-social-science.html

Witness how Wikileaks brouhaha infected even the most outlandish among dissent: Project Camelot. There is no genre of dissent more dissenting than these folks; they search for Aliens, Annunaki, UFOs, as the prime-mover forces behind the psychopathic drive for globalism of the hectoring hegemons! Speak of introducing "beneficial cognitive diversity" with "cognitive infiltration", this menagerie takes the cake for the political science of immanent dialecticism – with no feigned apologies to Kerry Cassidy who appears to be most sincere in her endeavors.

Surely there are magical aliens flying around in UFOs under the command of

the Annunaki fighting Zeus-like epic battles of the gods, or like those scripted in the Gita, using humankind as their patsy genetically engineered children-proxies. But this confabulation is also akin to the fabled Ali Baba flying on his magical carpet who miraculously pulled off 9/11 with box-cutters from its squirrelish perch in Afghanistan, and the people of America still eagerly "United We Stand" against that threat. It is not inconceivable, and is in fact entirely empirical, that the greater agenda going forth is to unite all the peoples of the world against a super Ali Baba++.'

There is nothing absurd and random in anything which comes out of imperial behaviorists who are today masters of inculcating voluntary servitude among the public. Aldous Huxley had aptly summed the agenda of the oligarchy way back in 1961:

'You can do everything with bayonets except sit on them! If you are going to control any population for any length of time you must have some measure of consent. It's exceedingly difficult to see how pure terrorism can function indefinitely. It can function for a fairly long time, but I think sooner or later you have to bring in an element of persuasion. An element of getting people to consent to what is happening to them. Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude! This is the, it seems to me the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.' --- Aldous Huxley, 1961 speech at UC Berkeley, minute 04:06

The rulers can "United We Stand" the masses upon any absurdity, if they can get them to believe it. This is Machiavelli 101: Convince People of Absurdities and get them Acquiescing to Atrocities: The Enduring Power of Machiavellian Political Science!

Let's not forget political science when examining the overarching purpose of Wikileaks, which prima facie appears to be steeped in only absurdities otherwise.

And most importantly, let's also not forget Hegelian Dialectic – the interplay of opposites, often fabricated, to synthesize a greater whole. I would view Brzezinski's otherwise absurd role in going against empire on Wikileaks in that fabricated mold, just as he did in February 2007 when he came out against the Bush Administration in his testimony to the Senate Foreign Relations Committee

Wikileaks Confirms UFO Chatter in Leaked Documents – Fabricating Super Ali Baba Plusplus

(<u>PDF</u>), when both the Bush and Obama Administrations have dutifully followed his own blueprint for *"imperial mobilization"* (see my book Prisoners of the Cave).

All these are merely the successive Hegelian mind-fcks to make modernity "look like a great 'booming, buzzing confusion' to use William James' famous description of reality," brazenly argued by the CFR author in his famous 1974 article Hard Road to World Order, as the best method to create the "end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece"!

Thanks.

Zahir Ebrahim | Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

An earlier version submitted as comment, January 03, 2011: https://web.archive.org/web/20181010203937/http://veteranstoday.com/2011/01/02/gordon-duff-why-wikileaks-died/#comment-160065

Source URL: https://web.archive.org/web/20181010203937/http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/01/wikileaks-and-ufo-zahir-to-duff.html

Wikileaks Confirms UFO Chatter in Leaked Documents – Fabricating Super Ali Baba Plusplus

This page is intentionally blank

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons!

Chapter II

Alien Invasion – The Next Global Menace to Plague Mankind

The Agenda Behind Aliens and UFOs – A Hegelian Mind-Fck

Seeding the PSYOPS of 'Clash of Planets' (previously it was the Clash of Civilizations) and the 'War of the Worlds' to cement one-World Government

January 25, 2011 | Last Updated Dec 04, 2011

Continuing from <u>Part-1</u>, January 04, 2011, where, in response to the mainstream <u>news</u> and other <u>reports</u> on Julian Assange/Wikileaks threatening to reveal secret documents pertaining to UFOs: "it is worth noting that in yet-to-be-published parts of the cablegate archive there are indeed references to UFOs", I had observed:

'If you use political science to understand this absurdity, it does not appear to be an absurdity at all, but a very important unveiling of the new 'big lie' that has been a long time in the making, beginning with Orson Wells' dramatization in New York in 1938 of H. G. Wells 'War of the Worlds'! That social engineering project prototype to study mass panic behavior was funded by the Rockefeller Foundation, as it later came out. Read the condensed summary paper by Hadley Cantril (PDF), 1947, to glean some insight into group categories and

how people reacted then under the implanted false belief. Would masses react any differently now?'



Caption The Agenda Behind Aliens and UFOs - A Hegelian Mind-Fck Part-II By Zahir Ebrahim. **Secret**: those who know do not speak; those who speak do not know; those who know and speak always <u>sleep with the fishes</u>. **State**: Deception is a state of mind and the mind of the State. Therefore, **leaking a State-Secret**: only a tune played by the <u>Mighty Wurlitzer</u> (Image via TFC <u>iamthewitness.com</u>)

This topic has intrigued me for many years, not just as entertaining science fiction of which I have been an avid reader since at least 6th grade when I first encountered Arthur C. Clarke in the British Council Library Lahore, Pakistan, but from the perspective of social engineering by ubermensch predators to create a new *super Ali Baba++* (*Ali Baba Plusplus*) threat to terrorize mankind to continue on with the next stages of "*imperial mobilization*". Especially since the used-up Ali Baba was finally retired on May 1, 2011. (See <u>The Mighty Wurlitzer by Zahir Ebrahim</u>)

Zbigniew Brzezinski had both forthrightly and self-servingly presaged the power of social engineering to manufacture consent among the modern *unwashed masses* in Western democracies, especially in the sole superpower, for the unpopular narrow agendas of the elites, in his 1997 book *The Grand Chessboard*:

"The earlier empires were built by aristocratic political elites and were in most cases ruled by essentially authoritarian or absolutist regimes. The bulk of the populations of the imperial states were either politically indifferent, ... or infected by imperialist emotions ... a quest for national glory, 'the white man's burden', 'la mission civilisatrice', not to speak of the opportunities for personal profit - all served to mobilize support for imperial adventures to sustain essentially hierarchical imperial power pyramids. The attitude of American public toward the external projection of American power has been more ambivalent. The public supported America's engagement in WWII largely because of the shock effect of the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor. After the Cold War had ended, the emergence of the United States as the single global power did not evoke much public gloating but rather elicited an inclination toward more limited definitions of American responsibilities abroad. Public opinion polls conducted in 1995 – 1996 indicated a general public preference for 'sharing' power with others, rather than for its monopolistic exercise." (ibid. pgs. 24,25)

"It is also a fact that America is too democratic at home to be autocratic abroad. This limits the use of America's power, especially its capacity for military intimidation. Never before has a populist democracy attained international supremacy. But the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being. The economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort are uncongenial to democratic instincts. Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization." (ibid. pgs. 35,36)

"Public opinion polls suggest that only a small minority (13 percent) of Americans favor the proposition that 'as the sole remaining superpower, the US should continue to be the preeminent world leader in solving international problems'. ... Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign

policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and perceived direct external threat. More generally, cultural change in America may also be uncongenial to the sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power. That exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification. ... Mass communications have been playing a particularly important role in that regard, generating a strong revulsion against any selective use of force that entails even low levels of casualties In brief, the U.S. Policy goals must be un-apologetically twofold: to perpetuate America's own dominant position for at least a generation and preferably longer,..." (ibid. pgs. 211-215)

Having been a *malcontent* student of many a *hectoring hegemon* long before *the catalyzing event* of the New Pearl Harbor was inflicted upon mankind on September 11, 2001, I have been diligently studying all facets of *engineering consent* especially since. I have also learned how to parse the layered writings of the hectoring hegemons. At one level,

Alice in Wonderland – Making Sense of a Burlesque Fantasy

Fabricating a public discourse on an absurd fantasy as if it's something real by couching it in the veneer of science (or declassified State-Secrets / whistleblowing leaks), and then self-servingly reacting to that fabrication as a threat to national security at supra-national levels from the United Nations to the Catholic Church to justify and dignify the expenditure chasing the new immanent threat, only legitimizes such discourse among the public as plausible.

It fertilizes the stage for the subsequent creation of a new insurmountable global threat, the Clash of Planets.

If political scientists are to be believed on the utility of diabolical protocols for "imperial mobilization", then, "that exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification."

Its doctrinal seeds must be planted years in advance. This new shock-therapy threat too will also of course be launched with the dialectical ultimatum: "either you are with us, or with the aliens"!

Brzezinski wants to "perpetuate America's own dominant position for at least a generation and preferably longer,".

But at another level, empiricism betrays that the blueprint in *the Grand Chessboard* for waging endless wars, is in fact designed to bankrupt America in order to end its national sovereignty (see "A Note on Unlayering the Middle East War Agenda: Making Sense of Absurdities"). Zbigniew Brzezinski is himself the representative of the globalists, being a leading thinker for the Council on Foreign Relations, the Trilateral Commission which he co-founded with David Rockefeller and was its first Executive Director, etceteras. And the globalist stated agenda is just the opposite from what's

deceptively narrated in *the Grand Chessboard* – to usher in Global Governance in a World Government where nation states are just the municipalities of local administration, perhaps continuing to fly their own national flags but nothing else is theirs.

All governance laws, economics, military, policing, planning, production, harvesting natural resources under their own national soil, and even core social values which have affectionately come to be called "secular humanism", to be driven from a centralized planning oligarchy, much like what's envisioned in Karl Marx's *The Communist Manifesto!* If one examines the 10 points of his architecture to restructure society, the increasing resemblance to modernity is only co-incidental of course (sic!).

The globalist openly proclaim their own modus operandi, so that we won't have to guess at either their motives, or their methods, and be labeled *conspiracy theorists* – too bad so few people read, even fewer think (some suggest less than 2% people actually think, 8% think they think, and 90% would not be caught dead thinking). Here is Richard N. Gardner of the Council on Foreign Relations, helping those who can actually think understand way back in April 1974 in his article "The Hard Road To World Order", how the globalist plan to subvert nation states with "an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece [which] will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.":

"In short, the 'house of world order' will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great 'booming, buzzing confusion' to use William James' famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.

Of course, for political as well as administrative reasons, some of these specialized arrangements should be brought into an appropriate relationship with the central institutions of the U.N. system, but the main thing is that the essential functions be performed.


The question is whether this more modest approach can do the job. Can it really bring mankind into the twenty-first century with reasonable prospects for peace, welfare and human dignity? The argument thus far suggests it better had, for there seems to be no alternative. But the evidence also suggests some grounds for cautious optimism." (ibid. pgs. 558-559)

It should be self-evident by now that implanting the fear of some new super boogyman to supplant the existing Ali Baba of terrorism, Osama Bin Laden, with Extraterrestrials, UFOs, Aliens, other global and galactic catastrophes, as enabling myths, serves those very globalist interests hand in glove. Who does not understand the import of engineered myths to statecraft? Evidently the vast majority who 'United We Stand' with them. Even though, the hectoring hegemons once again go through the inordinate bother of explaining it themselves to save us the trouble of having to figure it all out by reverse engineering some coherent sense from the unfurling visible reality which otherwise only appears steeped in absurdities. I have already examined the import of their own words in "Wikileaks and Imperial Mobilization" and the following passage captures it sufficiently for our purpose here:

> 'The Mighty Wurlitzer operates on the core premise which has been empirically shown to psychologically motivate most human action. That premise was elegantly captured in the following insightful observation made at the so called "Terrorism Study Group", that "'Public Assumptions' Shape Views of History: Such presumptions are beliefs (1) thought to be true (although not necessarily known to be true with certainty), and (2) shared in common within the relevant political community. The sources for such presumptions are both personal (from direct experience) and vicarious (from books, movies, and myths)."

Using State-Secrets For Myth Fabrication: The FBI Roswell Memo 1950

The memo that 'proves aliens landed at Roswell'... released online by the FBI



Caption The FBI Roswell Memo: 'Proof of (alien) life? A copy of the 1950 memo that recounts the discovery of flying saucers and aliens in New Mexico. The memo has been published on the FBI website' --- <u>UK</u>

<u>Daily Mail 9th April 2011</u>.

A more perceptive caption however would read: 'The Art of the Mighty Wurlitzer: How to fabricate Aliens and UFOs Myth using the ploy of leaking State-Secrets'

Successfully implanting such presumptions and pre-suppositions among any group is to motivate its overall actions in accordance with those implanted beliefs. Thus, many intelligent peoples for whom it is otherwise inexplicable to understand why they persist in 'United We Stand' with absurdities, are motivated to react sympathetically to those absurdities.'

I had already stated the implication of all this in my April 2009 newsletter on Financial and State Terrorism, and re-emphasized in my December 2009 tutorial "The Brilliant Construction of World Order – Or a children's bedtime story":

'It will be the coup de grace for bringing the fractious humanity finally together in one-world government "if suddenly there was a threat to this world from some other species from another planet." As President Ronald Reagan had read out loud from his script at the United Nations podium in 1987: "we often forget how much unites all the members of humanity. Perhaps we need some outside universal threat to make us this recognize common bond. occasionally think how quickly our

Office Memorandum United States Government

March 22, 1950

To: Director, FBI

From: Guy Hottel, SAC, Washington

Subject: Flying Saucers

Information Concerning

The following information was furnished by SA (redacted)

An investigator for the Air Forces stated that three so-called flying saucers had been recovered in New Mexico. They were described as being circular in shape with raised centers, approximately 50 feet in diameter. Each one was occupied by three bodies of human shape but only 3 feet tall, dressed in metallic cloth of a very fine texture. Each body was bandaged in a manner similar to the blackout suits used by speed flyers and (garbled) pilots.

According to Mr. (redacted) informant, the saucers were found in New Mexico due to the fat that the Government has a very high-powered radar set-up in that area and it is believed the radar interferes with the controlling mechanics of the saucers.

No further evaluation was attempted by SA (redacted) concerning the above.

(full text of The FBI Roswell Memo 1950)

differences worldwide would vanish if we were facing an alien threat from outside this world". Coming soon to your local friendly skies, complete with the 'messiah' and the 'mahdi' descending from the heavens on the wings of dove, or the chariots of fire, in their final return to save the now united mankind against the common threats. Maybe there is something to this 'dajjal' story, the 'false messiah' lore after all! Brought to you courtesy of NASA's Universal Holographic Satellite Projection System.'


With the aforementioned rehash form my previous writings as the essential backdrop, let's turn back to the present. But before I do that, first, just some necessary words on why the rehash. One of the problems I continually face as an activist-writer is that the majority of ordinary peoples I encounter have very short term memories which, when not being outright selective, contain minimal context.

This is evidently true even among the uber-learned who make much ado about their brilliant scholarship and great achievements of the 'American Dream' (see: "The Ivy League Morons Syndrome" and "Why Not Be An Ostrich?").

While such collective public amnesia works nicely in favor of those *engineering consent* to get peoples across all walks of life and economic/social class to 'United We Stand' with the mantras du jour, it works terribly against those who instead dare to stand up to the *hectoring hegemons* by trying to inform the insolvent public mind of the vile Machiavellianess of statecraft. And therefore, I often have to restate things to continually recreate the overarching context before I can add the one new item I have uncovered to their already over-taxed consciousness in its full context. Otherwise, I find that most people simply refuse to evaluate only the new discovery in the full context on their own.

I am also constantly asked for proof – and my website has proofs in writings up the wazoo but no, I have to provide it each time afresh because clicking on a link is way too much trouble for many. Oh sure, everyone wants to see copious references all right, nicely formatted, which evidently imparts the warm-

CIA Memo on using Flying Saucers phenomena for psychological operations



Caption CIA Document on using Flying Saucers phenomena for psychological operations (via hourofthetime.com).

Full Text:

Memorandum To: Director, Psychological Strategy Board

Subject: Flying Saucers

- I am today transmitting to the National Security Council a proposal (TAB A) in which it is concluded that the problems connected with unidentified flying objects appear to have implications for psychological warfare as well as for intelligence and operations.
- 2. The background for this view is presented in some detail in TAB B.
- I suggest that we discuss at an early board meeting the possible offensive or defensive utilization of these phenomena for psychological warfare purposes.

Walter B.Smith
Director

fuzzy feeling of imposing "expert" scholarship at work, automatically increasing with the number of footnotes and endnotes.

The Reality Behind Flying Saucers and UFOs

The U.S. Air Force first began experimenting with flying saucers in the 1950s. See flying UFO models adapted from special purposes fighter-type planes in the images below. Of what conceivable use is a flying saucer (and its mantra) to the United States Government? To comprehend such asininity which on the surface makes no rational sense, one must first comprehend what was learned in the 1938 Hadley Cantril led study of mass panic behavior with the first radio broadcast of War of the Worlds! (See Hadley Cantril) Second, see Area 51 below. It is not beyond these people that artistic spy surveillance planes were flown as UFOs both for a mind-fck and for lending credence to psychological warfare mantra.



But strangely, I have noticed that most people don't actually bother reading them carefully except to note their presence, never mind analyzing their veracity and reasonableness for themselves by studying the cited material. Therefore, when I am unlayering and unraveling the most absurd in Realityspeak, as is the case here, I make the effort to carry the context along – because this one essay is all anyone will ever read, if one ever bothers reading a plebeian that is.



The UFO-Alien Disclosure Project of Steven Greer

Over the last few days I have been studying this Dr. Steven Greer fellow of the Aliens Disclosure Project, his UFO testimonies, his *Free Energy* mantras, his perpetual motion machine pitch and other bullshit to solve all the imaginary and manmade mantras du jour such as global warming (imaginary), global energy/food/water shortage and global poverty (manmade), etc. All mankind's intractable problems finally made soluble thanks to the miraculous but still vilely hidden technologies reverse engineered from aliens' crashed spacecrafts by the secretive black-projects of the *national security state!* If only they'd reveal it – and hence the raison d'être for Dr. Steven Greer's Disclosure/Orion Projects.

Before a couple of days ago, I had never heard of this brilliant fellow with such a phenomenal access to black-ops engineers who could so trivially reverse engineer an alien's (from another world)



machine in the 1950s, and not only figure out how it works, but duplicate it with our existing rather primitive technological base and limited understanding of science (otherwise we'd have been visiting their planet instead of their coming here)! Wow! I must have gone to the wrong school – should have joined *Skunkworks*!

I must have watched about 7-8 hours of these videos, including the 4 hours of Steven Greer's Disclosure DVD, and the hour long rather mind-blowing interview with this US Air Force techie, William Pawelec:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=90iZRr9V7Z4

That latter interview with William Pawelec is what got me interested in spending the other 6-7 hours studying what else Dr. Greer and company had to say about such matters. In the above interview, Pawelec discloses some fascinating black technology which existed in 1980s, such as a RFID chip with a transponding range of 120 km with just a two inch coiled antenna, and it could be read from satellites in earth orbit! Since I am a EE techie from MIT and thought I understood antenna theory rather well in my heyday, this fascinated me. It simultaneously sounds both gibberish, and also fascinating if true, that they had this kind of remote sensing and RFID tracking technology way back

in 1980 (with some exaggerations attenuated of course)!



The one contradictory aspect to Pawelec's tales which immediately struck me is that if the black-magic science and technology of the super-secretive military-industrial complex have so leap-frogged the public domain science and technologies as Dr. Steven Greer would like us to believe,



Image Source

From UFOs to Spy Planes - The Reality Revealed: How Area 51 Hid Secret Craft

'No word yet on alien starships, but now that many Cold War-era Area 51 documents have been declassified, veterans of the secret U.S. base are revealing some of the clever —and surprisingly low-tech—ways they hid futuristic prototypes from prying eyes.

The CIA created Area 51 in 1955 to test and develop top secret U.S. military projects in the remote Nevada desert. More than 50 years later, the base still doesn't officially exist and appears on no public U.S. government maps.

In the 1950s and '60s, Area 51 was the epicenter of the OXCART project, intended to create the successor for the U-2 spy plane.' --- National Geographic, May 20, 2011

then, this 1980s RFID implantable microchip for instance, by Pawelec's own admission, was invented by some lowly civilian academic in a non-classified backoffice of a university which Pawelec had to go sell to the CIA and to the black-projects of the super-secretive military-industrial complex.

These meetings, according to Pawelec, were attended by super-secret spooks from the highest echelons of spookishness in the country, including the department of Agriculture and the Treasury, inquiring to know what its capabilities were! How can both be true? Are the spooks super-ahead in some secret sciences or aren't they? These implantable microchips the size of a grain, as Pawelec described it, were subsequently manufactured in the billions using the same civilian semiconductor process technology which fab-based companies in Silicon Valley at the time had. I used to work for one of them myself.

So, it is not immediately obvious what super-secret super-advanced know-how the black-projects have had over civilian public science and technologies that they could reverse-engineer, never mind reconstruct, an alien spacecraft with all its attendant new technologies, when a lowly civilian academic from the public sector is called upon to teach them – except of course, in an Isaac Asimov sci-fi tale of Gaia's visitation by the godly seed bearers!

The first I ever heard of human-implantable RFID microchips was after Gillette teamed up with MIT to start an RFID project on MIT campus in either 1997 or 1998. Evidently, this fellow David Icke had heard of this even earlier, as evidenced from his own 1996 video *The Turning of the Tide*. Where had David Icke

President Ronald Reagan Ponders The
Beneficial Consequences of an Alien
Threat from Another Planet, Speaking at
the UN General Assembly, Sept. 21,
1987



"If suddenly there was a threat to this world from some other species from another planet," President Ronald Reagan had read out loud from his script at the United Nations General Assembly podium in 1987, "in our obsession with antagonisms of the moment, we often forget how much unites all the members of humanity. Perhaps we need some outside universal threat to make us recognize this common bond. I occasionally think how quickly our differences worldwide would vanish, if we were facing an alien threat from outside this world!" --- President Ronald Reagan, Speaking at the UN General Assembly, September 21, 1987

learned it from? Hollywood? Their movies do seem to be rather prescient (sic!) in anticipating vile technologies to run modern day dystopia, don't they? And so too, in successfully implanting enabling myths into public consciousness as already discussed.

In any case, I diligently went through hours of Disclosure Project videos, fascinated with the black-ops technology William Pawelec had talked about, as well as about the sacred structure of institutional secrecy in the military-industrial complex, "compartmentalization within compartmentalization within compartmentalization," and how easy it was to maintain state-secrets for a very very long time if they really wanted to:

"The ability of our government to keep secrets (is actually) has a long history of being very valid. There is a lot of programs that were successfully kept quiet for decades, if not close to half a century. And during the last ten years we have seen a lot of announcements of programs that were kept very secret by our government." (Time 0:40:45),

But nothing technical came up in the rest of the videos, except interesting, and on the surface rather credible sounding testimonies from a 100+ people about UFO sightings (of which only a couple dozen are on the 4 hour DVD video available on youtube).

And I asked myself: how difficult is it to get a 100 former military types trained to obey orders, some other civilian ATC persons and pilots, psychologically primed to tell a tale after selecting them through careful profiling for susceptibility/suggestibility, and appropriately dishing them some hegelian mind-fck on the ground and in the air, to eventually sing any tune of the Mighty Wurlitzer? If they can so spectacularly pull off 911 before billions of peoples, this could be a short piece of cake! (See The Mighty

National Geographic Channel Show Ponders The Possibility of an Alien Attack



"It should be as statistical as human nature, for example, that there's going to be good guys and bad guys," says Dr. Travis Taylor, who's with the U.S. Space and Missile Command Department and has worked with the Department of Defense and NASA for 20 years.

"What we would hope is that the good guys show up first, and that would be really nice. But the point of this wasn't to debate whether they are or they aren't, it's what happens if they did. Do we have a plan? What type of plan should we put together, and how would we defend the planet?" Taylor has also written the handbook for harrying aliens, An Introduction to Planetary Defense.

Lt. Col. Brian De Toy, director of defense and strategic studies program at West Point, doesn't buy the premise. "I am a skeptical believer in miracles. So a year ago right now I was in Iraq, and I'm more worried about Iraq and Afghanistan right now and the aliens that I'm dealing with there. And so right now, I'm pretty skeptical about the others." --- National Geographic, Reported by Boston Herald May 17, 2011

Wurlitzer by Zahir Ebrahim)

Simple psychological profiling before selecting appropriate stooges to do the dirty work of empire is an art as old as hegemony, as old as mankind. And I am not even speaking of mind control ala MK ULTRA.

Just flying the experimental aircraft from USAF like those whose images are shown on the right, past any airliner cockpit to set the pilots up for UFO testimonies, should do the trick!

And if the pilots have been properly profiled, they would believe the evidence of their own eyes and would make the most sincere patsies passing even the most sophisticated lie detector tests with ease.

For instance, John Perkins disclosed in the opening pages his 2004 book, *Confessions of an Economic Hitman*, how he was psychologically profiled by the NSA in 1965 as he sought draft-deferment, to probe for his natural weaknesses and susceptibilities. Much later on he was recruited in 1971 by Chas. T. Main (MAIN) and successfully groomed by a beautiful woman, "Claudine", to prepare him for his diabolical role:

"My assignment is to mold you into an economic hit man. No one can know about your involvement – not even your wife. Once you're in, you're in for life."

Perkins explained:

"Claudine's role is a fascinating example of the manipulation that underlies the business I had entered. Beautiful and intelligent, she was highly effective; she understood my weaknesses and used them to her greatest

Using absurdities For Myth Fabrication:

Pope's astronomer says he would

baptise an alien if it asked him

'Aliens might have souls and could choose to be baptised if humans ever met them, a Vatican scientist said today. The official also dismissed intelligent design as "bad theology" that had been

"hijacked" by American creationist

fundamentalists.

Guy Consolmagno, who is one of the pope's astronomers, said he would be "delighted" if intelligent life was found among the stars. "But the odds of us finding it, of it being intelligent and us being able to communicate with it — when you add them up it's probably not a practical question."

Speaking ahead of a talk at the British Science Festival in Birmingham tomorrow, he said that the traditional definition of a soul was to have intelligence, free will, freedom to love and freedom to make decisions. "Any entity – no matter how many tentacles it has – has a soul."

Would he baptise an alien? "Only if they asked." --- UK Guardian 17 Sep 2010

advantage. Her job and the way she executed it exemplify the subtlety of the people behind this system."

Perkins further noted that during his recruitment to MAIN:

"When I mentioned that I had been accepted by the NSA before joining the Peace Corps, and that I was considering going back to them, he informed me that he sometimes acted as an NSA liaison; he gave me a look that made me suspect that part of his assignment was to evaluate my capabilities. I now believe that he was updating my profile, and especially sizing up my abilities to survive in environments most North Americans would find hostile." (Ch. 1)

Military echelons and mission critical operations like Air Traffic Control, piloting an airliner, etc., absolutely must rely on psychological profiling for recruitment, advancement, special mission assignments, etc. as their standard HR practices. Even major corporations do psychological profiling. So what about very specialized recruitment for a calculated Hegelian mind-fck then?

In Dr. Steven Greer's May 2001 press conference at the National Press Club, he paraded about a dozen zealots asserting that they will swear their respective UFO mantras before the United States Congress – the same pusillanimous leaders who are behind the eager endorsement of all state mantras du jour from the *War on Terror* to *Global Warming*, never mind

Alice in Wonderland: UN 'to appoint space ambassador to greet alien visitors'

'Mazlan Othman, a Malaysian astrophysicist, is set to be tasked with coordinating humanity's response if and when extraterrestrials make contact. Aliens who landed on earth and asked: "Take me to your leader" would be directed to Mrs Othman.

She will set out the details of her proposed new role at a Royal Society conference in Buckinghamshire next week. The 58-year-old is expected to tell delegates that the proposal has been prompted by the recent discovery of hundreds of planets orbiting other starts, which is thought to make the discovery of extraterrestrial life more probable than ever before. Mrs Othman is currently head of the UN's little known Office for Outer Space Affairs (Unoosa).

Opinion is divided about how future extraterrestrial visitors should be greeted. Under the Outer Space Treaty on 1967, which Unoosa oversees, UN members agreed to protect Earth against contamination by alien species by "sterilising" them. Mrs Othman is understood to support a more tolerant approach.

But Professor Stephen Hawking has warned that alien interlopers should be treated with caution. He said: "I imagine they might exist in massive ships, having used up all the resources from their home planet. The outcome for us would be much as when Christopher Columbus first landed in America, which didn't turn out very well for the Native Americans."' --- UK Telegraph 26 Sep 2010

the trillions in <u>bankster bailouts</u> despite the public's aversion to it – and I am supposed to quiver in my boots singing <u>hallelujah</u>, I see the UFO lights?

I watched that entire dog and pony show, introduced by none other than a Hollywood actor to boot as if also mocking the public's lack of intelligence on purpose, and wondered what inherent value there is in swearing anything before the same almighty United States Congress by persons most likely selected and "groomed" for their respective roles minimally in the same mold as the Economic Hit Man (EHM)? Watch yourself:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VrRwTAEvkX0

Okay, so I am not totally impressed by any of these testimonies of the PHM (Public Hit Man)... even though, some of them, like the following documentary linked to by Dr. Steven Greer from his website, is pretty darn fascinating. I don't really know what to fully make of it just yet. My first standard operating principle for parsing all statecraft is the statement <u>attributed</u> to the Head of CIA Counter Intelligence (1954-1974), James Jesus Angleton, in the Operation Gladio documentary by BBC2: "Deception is a state of mind and the mind of the State". Watch yourself:

https://web.archive.org/web/20110210144620/http://disclosureproject.org/videogallery.shtml

https://web.archive.org/web/20070327095830/http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=8285709939745631584

Why can't I see the damn UFOs when I go out at night for a walk? Or during the day hikes? Or when I am flying? I am sure I will see them too in *Room 101!*

The UFO card is as old as the Federal Reserve, and just like they can plan out things 50 years in advance to the founding of Israel on the clock, they sure can plan out any diabolical acts, stochastically, based on game theory scenarios in which there are not deterministic guarantees of outcome, but likely probabilities of outcome, years in advance for sure. Like Brzezinski had noted in his interview in 1998, the United States had increased the odds in its favor that the Soviet Union will intervene in Afghanistan due to the increased aid to the Mujahideens prior to their invasion in December 1979. Increasing the odds to turn them into one's own favor is a game which the hectoring hegemons evidently have much real world experience of, as vouched by Brzezinski, when he subsequently unleashed the "opportunity to give to the USSR its Vietnam War".)

I think this is what's going on here - some very well-thought out, and very well executed,

stochastically hedged, psychological mind-fck with these UFO sightings.

If there are really UFOs, and I am really entirely agnostic on that issue of aliens serendipitously choosing to invade earth during my humble and tiny lifetime in the timescale of the cosmos, then my rational take on the UFO sightings and alien visitation in our epoch when the impetus towards <u>World Government is already almost a fait accompli</u>, is decided by the response to the following scientific proposition even if an alien landed tomorrow and asked me: "Take me to your leader":

- 1) what's the probability that the aliens will just time their arrival to earth in the span of a few million years in just those last 100 years when world government is being constructed piecemeal, and for which, the alien invasion is already deemed to be the final trump card;
- 2) the further conditional probability given item 1) above, that the damn alien UFOs will choose to boldly unveil themselves to the ordinary peoples the world over, finally visible to all and sundry without exception, solely with the naked eye and caught in 70 mm cinematic capture on the latest high resolution HD camcorders, as opposed to the present-day crappy Osama Bin Laden style grainy flying-saucer youtube renditions made by intelligence ops and visible only to the selected elite peoples;
- 3) the further conditional probability given 1) and 2) above, that the UFOs will also choose to land on earth in people-visible ways and invade/make-friends with the poor earthlings also precisely at that epoch when all the preparations towards Global Governance are finally completed just awaiting for that last and final global threat to fck mankind into accepting world government?;
- 4) the final conditional probability given all of the above, that this UFO alien threat is also accurately anticipated by the earthlings, especially a script-reading President of the United States, Ronald Reagan in 1987, when he made the following bizarre statement at the United Nations podium: "we often forget how much unites all the members of humanity. Perhaps we need some outside universal threat to make us recognize this common bond. I occasionally think how quickly our differences worldwide would vanish if we were facing an alien threat from outside this world". Watch:

http://vimeo.com/4871185

Alien Invasion – The Next Global Menace to Plague Mankind

If that final number for the cumulative probability of the UFOs and aliens arriving just in time to usher in one-world Government, with the globalists exactly anticipating and waiting for their arrival, is a reasonable number, like something between 0.1 and something less than 1, okay, I'll buy the UFO sightings as possibly real and unrelated.

However, if that probability number is minuscule, which I believe it to be, something of the order of ten to the power minus 6 and smaller, then the probability of actually seeing Aliens majestically alighting from the UFOs, just like in Orson Well's depiction of H. G. Wells' sci-fi novel *War of the Worlds* in 1938, might as well be 1 – BECAUSE, it is a stage-managed event all right! It will look like the blue-team/red-team war-exercise scenario with live ammunition – but in full public view this time!

Returning from that digression back to pertinently listing my final study video of Dr. Steven Greer, I watched the following last one hour of interview with Project Camelot. It has now capped my weekend study and I really only learned one thing of significance in all this, and that is to ask that one surprising new principal question which came out of this entire study:

Is Dr. Steven Greer of the Disclosure Project also a Mossad-Intelligence Agent?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hzqDVOjtNhq

In all of those hours video watching, I noted several errors made by Dr. Steven Greer, which I kept attributing to the medical doctor not being a scientist, and thus just making the blunders of any innocent domain-ignorant chap who is being bamboozled and is reading from a script. On the face of it, Dr. Greer seemed to believe in what he was doing, rather like a passionate jihadi, i.e., patsy or stooge, who believed he would be in heaven shortly, and parroting back on screen what he had learned. Steven Greer evidently didn't (and doesn't still) understand what gibberish he is uttering, as he is fancifully throwing about new jargonized vocabulary to impress his largely scientifically illiterate public audience.

For instance, in the following video on his own website, the Orion Project, whose stated agenda is to harvest the alien technology to solve the world's energy and mankind's many problems, Dr. Steven Greer utters the following rubbish on camera (at minute 3:48):

https://web.archive.org/web/20110108100740/http://www.theorionproject.org/en/video_scientists.htm

I

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nChbyC_4JKI

"Unfortunately, you can't really go to MIT or CALTECH and learn these types of sciences. They have just simply not been allowed into the mainstream public domain. But there are people for a hundred years, dating back to Tesla, who have come up with magnetic motors that tap into what's called the Zero Point Field of Energy, or this Electromagnetic Flux Field that becomes self-running and Over-Unity. Meaning they put out more energy than you have to put in to them. I want to comment here a lot of people will say well that's not possible. Well, it is. A heat pump is around 4 times over unity. A heat pump that is run in your house, electrical heating-cooling system, is actually about four times more energy that it does in work than you actually have to put in in electricity. Except it goes in either heating or cooling. And so there are many ways that happens. And people take it for granted because it is so common. What we want to do is take these concepts and turn them into electric generating power plants. So that they begin to replace the conventional fossil fuel plants. Not only for homes and business, but also eventually for industry and automobiles and cars and trucks."

All I can humbly suggest in response to this gibberish, being only an MIT alum and thus a tad biased I am sure: remember the "flux-capacitor" which enabled time travel from the 1980s Hollywood movie *Back to the Future?* Dr. Steven Greer seems to be reading from perhaps the redacted portions of that very film script which did not make it into the movie! Just like President Ronald Reagan had read off from his own 1980s script at the UN podium.

To even top all that, Dr. Greer says he has three million dollars for inventors and scientists to come around and experiment with magic science which is not taught at MIT and CALTECH!

Which capitalist investor would give Dr. Steven Greer that kind of money for such lunacy – except compartmentalized black-ops with infinitely deep pockets for their own covert agenda?

So, up to this time, I am still thinking that this wonderful humanitarian Dr. Steven Greer, who gave up his supposedly lucrative medical career to pursue these idealistic goals to save humanity from itself, is just a passionate patsy being taken for a ride on the horns of scientifically sounding gibberish by the intelligence agencies.

The economists do that a lot you know, utter high-falutin gibberish to take even the financial experts for a ride on the horns of economic gibberish – and most of them also have fancy professional degrees and Nobel prizes (see: "The Monetary Conspiracy for World Government"). So why not

here? It can happen to the best of us when we are blinded by our passions – we tend to act like lunatics when viewed by others... and Greer's website is full of inspirational quotes illustrating how one man's madness is another's genius, in perhaps just such an anticipation of skepticism...

But I changed my mind in a hurry after watching the Project Camelot interview noted above. I caught Steven Greer in an outright propaganda lie, presenting Iran as a mortal threat to Israel driven by the insane madness of their eschatological tryst with Armageddon (time 0:13:50):

"it's like Ahmadinijad in Iran saying, that it would be okay if we went to nuclear war with Israel, because it would force this 12th imam, which is their return of their Christ, to return, to Iran"

And Project Camelot's Kerry Cassidy is heard in the backdrop saying: "sure".

The fabrication is no silly inadvertence, no mere error of ignorance of an innocent well-intentioned patsy, but an outright lie from an expert Zionist spokesperson. The lie is seconded by Project Camelot, rather than immediately challenged!

It automatically prompted me to search for Dr. Steven Greer's name with "Jewish" etc. tacked on in Google search, which brought me to two articles about him from 2007, and 2006:

- http://educate-yourself.org/lte/stevengreertake627jul07.shtml
- http://educate-yourself.org/lte/stevengreeringuiry31dec06.shtml

The reader may note that my deconstruction of Dr. Steven Greer's hegelian mind-game is downright similar to what's in those two articles. Evidently, that author too approached this subject purely from a rational political science and social engineering perspective, and consequently arrived at the same conclusion that this was a major psy-op!

The new aspect discovered here, at least for me, is the aforementioned evidence which lends strong suspicion that Dr. Steven Greer cannot be just an ordinary patsy or a moron merely being used by intelligence, but a Mossad-Intelligence agent knowingly performing his assigned role of priming the public!

What reinforces my suspicion of a strong Mossad-intelligence connection in Project Disclosure is that Steven Greer evidently has the kind of open access to the highest corridors of power, to spooks, and to supposed black technology, which only a well-connected "untouchable" intelligence agent on

a mission from high-above can have. But, if Greer's first loyalties were to American intelligence, his gratuitous mantra on Iran would surely not have mirrored the Zionists' demonization of Iran, playing Israel out to be the poor little underdog being threatened by an impoverished, irrational third world country hell bent on wiping it off the map! I imagine, only speculatively of course, that an American intelligence agent would have no obvious motive to gratuitously bring Iran up to make Israel look good. I imagine if he were as patriotic and nationalistic as Dr. Steven Greer presents himself to be, speaking of Congressional oversights and Constitutionalism etceteras, that he'd actually try and make America look good instead of Israel!

A sophisticated man like Dr. Steven Greer, understanding intelligence matters and the hijacking of the *Republican state* for the benefit the *national security state* by black-ops and covert power, believing in the far-out notions of UFOs, aliens and alien technologies, the most avantgarde in liberated thought, demonstrates an unusually keen intellect and open mind. But simultaneously, also echoing the absurd mantras of empire and the propagandistic reference to Iran, demonstrates a perversely indoctrinated one. And that is a non-sequitur. Dr. Steven Greer shows the perversity which is typical of manufactured and controlled products of intelligence agencies and the Mighty Wurlitzer. The same reasoning may apply to Kerry Cassidy and Bill Ryan!

And, if, as I suspect, Dr. Steven Greer is a willing intelligence agent on a mission, then what can be expected of the sister endeavors named Project Camelot and Project Avalon, run ostensibly by two well-intentioned patsies? Are they merely only being fooled into pitching the same mantras as Steven Greer, and so "innocent of knowledge" that they are only naively following in Project Disclosure's own footsteps of interviewing more Mighty Wurlitzer's harmonics to manufacture consent for the real diabolical agenda behind all this, the eventual unveiling of the aliens and UFOs and ushering in the global reign of "secular humanism"? See: "Between Islam and Secular Humanism in World Government". I had earlier even written a letter of caution to Kerry Cassidy at Project Camelot, copying Bill Ryan of Project Avalon, on her inexplicable enthusiastic endorsement of Wikileaks a few months ago. But now I wonder....

It is foolish to think that lauded pundits and prominent leaders of dissenting causes are always whom they claim to be, as is amply demonstrated once again in my two most recent discoveries before Dr. Steven Greer this past weekend, prominent banking reform advocate <u>Ellen Brown J.D.</u>, and prominent former Jewish Zionist now turned Christian pacifist <u>Israel Shamir</u>. Which is why it is always good commonsense to study critically, always keeping in mind the first principle of modern statecraft already noted earlier and restating for emphasis:

"Deception is a state of mind and the mind of the State"

Anyway, who knows precisely what exact contortions, twists and turns all the plots and sub-plots of this UFO-Alien unveiling will entail until it's all actually played out on the world's stage at zero hour. It surely needs both its circus clowns to keep the audience warm until then, and several layers of side-shows planned into existence.

Just looking forensically at 9/11 we already see so many sub-layers to the main *New Pearl Harbor* plot for launching "*imperial mobilization*". From simultaneous four airliners hijacking and ramming into tall buildings by pilots who only learned to fly on flight simulators and advertised the fact that they didn't want to learn how to land; to fantastically timed controlled demolition of the same tall buildings collapsing them into their own footprints at almost free-fall speeds; to the inexplicable attack on the Pentagon on the only side which was unoccupied undergoing renovations and creating a hole no bigger than a missile-entry; to raking in billions in airline stocks shorting; to insurance scamming for the demolished WTC properties; and the list goes on!

We can already observe the Hegelian mind-fck of *good alien vs bad alien, will they come or won't they come, let's prepare just in case,* appearing frequently in the mainstream press – keeping the notion of extraterrestrials primed in public consciousness, with no small help from assets like Greer, Cassidy, Ryan et. al. This is quite different from real astronomy programs like SETI – but even <u>real science</u> can quickly be put in the service of empire just as easily as <u>junk science</u> already is!

Fabricating a public discourse on an absurd fantasy as if it's something real by couching it in the veneer of science (or declassified State-Secrets/whistleblowing/leaks), and then self-servingly reacting to that fabrication as a threat to national security at supra-national levels from the United Nations to the Catholic Church to justify and dignify the expenditure chasing the new immanent threat, only legitimizes such discourse among the public as plausible. It fertilizes the stage for the subsequent creation of a new insurmountable global threat, the Clash of Planets. If political scientists are to be believed on the utility of diabolical protocols for "imperial mobilization", then, "that exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification." Its doctrinal seeds must be planted years in advance. This new shock-therapy threat too will also of course be launched with the dialectical ultimatum: "either you are with us, or with the aliens"!

Seeding the 'Clash of Planets' and the 'War of the Worlds' to cement one-World Government

Good alien vs Bad alien; will they come or won't they come;

let's prepare just in case





Caption Aliens can't hear us, says astronomer Fainter broadcasting signals and digital switchover mean Earth will soon be undetectable to extraterrestrials Aliens are less likely to be able to pick up signals from Earth and make contact. (Image via UK Guardian, Photograph: Colin Anderson/Getty)

Caption Earth must prepare for close encounter with aliens, say scientists UN should co-ordinate plans for dealing with extraterrestrials – and we can't guarantee that aliens will be friendly Evolution on alien worlds is likely to be Darwinian, which may mean extraterrestrials share our tendencies for violence and exploitation. (Image via UK Guardian Photograph: Rex)

Caption 'World governments should prepare a co-ordinated action plan in case Earth is contacted by aliens, according to scientists. They argue that a branch of the UN must be given responsibility for "supra-Earth affairs" and formulate a plan for how to deal with extraterrestrials, should they appear.' --- UK Guardian 10 Jan 2011

A simple word substitution in the caption above, replacing "aliens" with "pandemic", shows what has already been achieved with that recent manufactured crisis. World governments have already been coerced into ceding their national sovereignty in the name of coordinating global medical emergency response to the World Health Organization, a governing body of the United Nations. See my comprehensive running report "The Swine Flu Chronicles 2009: Why to say 'No' to the Swine Flu Vaccine". I had unraveled that pandemic hoax almost in realtime with considerable due diligence on my part, and with help in no small measure from the courageous community of dedicated activists from around the world. A Council on Foreign Relations participant at the Pandemic Influenza public conference held on October 16, 2009 by the CFR in New York, had adorned the handful of people

like myself the label "Crazy people".

It was only the first of many labels to come, as it was soon followed by 'denier', explicitly attributed to me by manufactured dissent-chief Israel Shamir, on my similar unraveling of Wikileaks. This is what had elicited so much laughter from the CFR folks on October 16, 2009:

"I think we are all aware that the anti-vaccine movement is having a field day on the internet and on media outlets like Fox News and so on, and causing reductions in vaccine uptake. And it appears a pretty unholy alliance of the ultraright and the ultra-left working together as the sort of **Hitler-Stalin pact** (laughter), and I am not sure that we are countering these people very well ... and you have to take these people on in a different style than the scientists are used to. ... **Crazy people who think the vaccine will kill you!** ... How best we can to stop the anti-vaccine movement?"

But less than six months later, on March 12, 2010, DER SPIEGEL staff wrote in "Reconstruction of a Mass Hysteria – The Swine Flu Panic of 2009":

"Swine flu kept the world in suspense for almost a year. A massive vaccination campaign was mounted to put a stop to the anticipated pandemic. But, as it turned out, it was a relatively harmless strain of the flu virus. How, and why, did the world overreact? A reconstruction. By SPIEGEL staff."

I immediately responded to SPIEGEL's specious "reconstruction" in my succinct deconstruction the very next day, on March 13, 2010, in "<u>The Swine Flu Postscript</u>: 'Reconstruction of a Mass Hysteria — <u>The Swine Flu Panic of 2009</u>!". Below is the full text of my unraveling of even that limited mea culpa — which was spoken through the mouth of an establishment rag and subsequently echoed throughout the world — because the contextless public has too short term a memory for my meager writings to be effective without my continually reminding them of what has just recently gone by.

Begin Full Text "The Swine Flu Postscript"

The perfect example of another 'modified limited Hangout': "No one at the WHO, RKI or PEI should feel proud of themselves. These organizations have gambled away precious confidence. When the next pandemic arrives, who will believe their assessments?", DER SPIEGEL staff concluded after their exhaustive study which appeared in their March 12, 2010

issue. 'Indeed', voiced Zahir Ebrahim of Project Humanbeingsfirst.org, the plebeian antidote to hectoring hegmons, and he was overhead by the "[anti-vaccine movement] Crazy people who think the vaccine will kill you" while administering that one word coup de grâce to the Council on Foreign Relations and its financiers for their incestuous disinfo session on Pandemic Influenza held on October 16, 2009 in New York.

To understand the prescience with which the coup de grâce was so swiftly administered by "Crazy people" in realtime rather than DER SPIEGEL's belated catchup analysis of <u>Hysteria vs. Reality</u> in its 'limited hangout' variation, please see: <u>The Swine Flu Chronicles 2009</u>: Why to say 'No' to the Swine Flu Vaccine.

To acutely comprehend the vagaries of 'limited hangout' as an essential political science instrument of Machiavellian statecraft when massive covert-ops go awry, please see: Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory.

The United States and the EU Governments, as the front errand boys of the oligarchs, are guilty of a monumental conspiracy against the people of the world.

Twice now their fabricated crises have unarguably come unraveled before the public's eyes – global warming, and global epidemic – each time leaving behind the legacy of hurriedly enacted laws which piece-meal move the world closer to "an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece."

The Catastrophic Terrorism of 911 which has been perched at the cusp of controlled demolition since <u>D-Day</u> – and the body of draconian laws and military invasions stemming from it which have already seeded the first truly global police state in the history of mankind since the "World government could only be kept in being by force" – is finally also making its way into the <u>Beltway's</u> own MSM.

The next manufactured global crises or Catastrophic Terrorisms to be inflicted upon mankind, after food and water shortages, may well be alien landings, and/or interplanetary collision, in conjunction with the already planned nuclear world war with Iran and Russia in the midst of an orchestrated currency

collapse, so as not to lose any of the rapid momentum to the unified one-world order. 2009 was its first year of formal public unveiling, termed Global Governance:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QEgFtVrAgSo

And yet, none still dare call it conspiracy!

END Full Text "The Swine Flu Postscript"

Does one, by now, trivially recognize that CFR modus operandi of creating "an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece"? Simply reflecting on this modus operandi outlined by the Council on Foreign Relations in their 1974 blueprint "The Hard Road To World Order" as already quoted at the beginning of this article, makes what otherwise appears as a great 'booming, buzzing confusion', entirely transparent. Briefly re-quoting that CFR statement once again in its original context for the strong emphasis that it deserves:

"In short, the 'house of world order' will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great 'booming, buzzing confusion' to use William James' famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.

Of course, for political as well as administrative reasons, some of these specialized arrangements should be brought into an appropriate relationship with the central institutions of the U.N. system, but the main thing is that the essential functions be performed."

So, returning back to the topic at hand. The new fabrication of the *Clash of the Planets* with the alien boogeyman this time, is also all too similar to how "*Islamic Terrorism*" was seeded and continually kept alive in public consciousness ever since the fall of the great Berlin Wall, until its day of harvesting on September 11, 2001. That boogyman had got kick-started immediately after the calculated demise of the previous boogyman du jour, the USSR. "*Islamic Terrorism*" made the sudden appearance in 1990 in the Council on Foreign Relations famous Foreign Affairs magazine, with this precious gem dripping from the aging but not too old to screw, *Z*ionist-imperialist Svengali of Princeton University, Bernard Lewis:

"In 1990 Bernard Lewis, a leading Western scholar of Islam, analyzed 'The Roots of Muslim Rage,' and concluded: 'It should now be clear that we are facing a mood and a movement far transcending the level of issues and policies and the governments that pursue them. This is no less than a clash of civilizations – that perhaps irrational but surely historic reaction of an ancient rival against our Judeo-Christian heritage, our secular present, and the worldwide expansion of both. It is crucially important that we on our side should not be provoked into an equally historic but also equally irrational reaction against our rival." (Samuel Huntington in *The Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of World Order*, page 213)

That supposed "Muslim Rage" of 1990 was subsequently turned into the *Clash of Civilizations* by Bernard Lewis' Zionist-imperialist confrere at Harvard University, Samuel Huntington, in 1995:

"The underlying problem for the West is not Islamic fundamentalism. It is Islam, a different civilization whose people are convinced of the superiority of their culture and are obsessed with the inferiority of their power. The problem for Islam is not the CIA or the US Department of Defense. It is the West, a different civilization whose people are convinced of the universality of their culture and believe that their superior, if declining, power imposes on them the obligation to extend that culture throughout the world. These are the basic ingredient that fuel conflict between Islam and the West." (Ibid. page 217)

And Samuel Huntington's myth construction of 1995 was turned into the perpetual "War on Terrorism" on September 11, 2001 by the Zionist-imperialists' errand boy, George W. Bush Jr., the President of the United States, with "either you are with us, or with the terrorists"!

Today, we are merely looking at the next brilliant escalating enemy, the aliens, in a new epic 'Clash of Planets'! The ultimate in good vs. evil dialecticism to mobilize both human fear and imagination for finally cementing one-world government in a threateningly apocalyptic War of the Worlds!

This new threat to all the world's civilizations and to life on earth itself, will surely also be launched with "either you are with us, or with the aliens"!

This Alien-UFO business no longer appears so innocent – and merely the pursuit of foolhardy peoples exhibiting an hyperactive imagination in overdrive – when examined from the acumen of Machiavellian social engineering, now does it?

Alien Invasion – The Next Global Menace to Plague Mankind

Conclusion

As the catastrophic event of 9/11 unarguably testifies, and as both Zbigniew Brzezinski and Adolf

Hitler had respectively observed in their Mein Kampf, in order for existential mantras to be believed

by the general public requires not only "a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual

commitment, and patriotic gratification", but also real terrorism, real controlled demolition, real loss of

life, before the threat can be productively harvested for "imperial mobilization" to "goosestep the

Herrenvolk across International frontiers"! This time, to finally cement the long held dream of ruling

oligarchies from time immemorial: World Government.

In summation, oh good peoples, prove the darn statistics wrong, that only 2% of any public actually

thinks. I dearly suspect that all among human beings have been endowed with at least some brains,

some commonsense, some mind that is capable of reflection, despite Bertrand Russell's empirical

observation that "Most people would rather die than think; in fact, they do so". Why does that

self-serving bullshit of the elite have to be true, continually enabling the extraction of voluntary

servitude from the public? Make it false! We don't need the Aliens to unite mankind or to solve any of

our problems. Only a little bit of commonsense, and the courage to exercise it in taking on the

common hectoring enemy of all mankind right here on earth, will certainly do.

Thank you for thinking for yourself.

Source URL: https://web.archive.org/web/20181010105128/http://print-

humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/01/aliens-and-ufos-hegelian-mind-fck-pt2.html

First Published January 25, 2011 | Last updated 12/04/2011 20:00:07 10015 |

Links fixed January 15, 2016 | CIA Memo added in sidebar January 15, 2016 |

Links fixed October 11, 2016 | Video links fixed Sept 13, 2018

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons!

Chapter III

Aliens and UFOs - Head in the Ass!

Response to Comment: Head in the Ass!

May 05, 2011

In response to the commenter "william Galison", May 2, 2011 4:51 pm (Pacific time), who noted:

'there are a lot of people far smarter and more educated than Mr Ebrahim who are spouting "UFO gibberish".',

and

'Time Zahir him to pull his head out of his academic ass.',

your mileage will of course vary on the alien-ufo religion depending on the depth of penetration of your own head into the asses of these "far smarter and more educated" celebrities!

But for those who might wish to understand this new religion of aliens-ufos from a political science perspective rather than an aficionado's, check out the following Hegelian Dialectic:

1) for when the aliens are bad and will make a Super Ali Baba++ enemy for empire, see:



Caption Earth must prepare for close encounter with aliens, say scientists UN should co-ordinate plans for dealing with extraterrestrials – and we can't guarantee that aliens will be friendly Evolution on alien worlds is likely to be Darwinian, which may mean extraterrestrials share our tendencies for violence and exploitation. (Image via UK Guardian Photograph: Rex)

- https://web.archive.org/web/20181010203721/http://printhumanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/05/alien-invasion-next-global-threat.html
- 2) for when the aliens are good and helping mankind against the evil forces of empire, see:



Caption Aliens can't hear us, says astronomer Fainter broadcasting signals and digital switchover mean Earth will soon be undetectable to extraterrestrials Aliens are less likely to be able to pick up signals from Earth and make contact. (Image via UK Guardian, Photograph: Colin Anderson/Getty)

- https://web.archive.org/web/20181010203721/http://twelfthbough.blogspot.com/2011/0
 4/alien-fail.html
- https://web.archive.org/web/20181010203721/http://winterpatriot.com/node/599
- 3) for when the Rockefeller monies funded a study in mass panic in 1938, see:
 - https://web.archive.org/web/20181010203721/http://printhumanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/01/wikileaks-and-ufo-zahir-to-duff.html
 - https://web.archive.org/web/20110112071127/http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.c om/2011/01/cacheof-summary-paper-the-invasion-from-mars-readings-in-socialpsychology-1947-hadley-cantril.pdf
 - http://books.google.com/books?id=2c2k6AvQtQC&printsec=frontcover#v=onepage&g&f=false
- 4) for understanding the "testimonies" that Steven Greer's Disclosure Project brings to the idiotic flock half a century after Hadley Cantril admitted that Orson Well's dramatic enactment on radio was a calculated study in mass behavior control, and why this alien-ufo religion appears to be foundational for corralling the different flavored fools towards the ultimate new age dogma of Secular Humanism in one-world government, see:
 - https://web.archive.org/web/20181010203721/http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/p/alien-agenda.html
- 5) to see the role the surrogate duo Kerry/Ryan of Project Camelot/Avalon are playing in promulgating the goals of Project Disclosure as well as Wikileaks (which also threatened to reveal information on UFOs, wikileaks-and-ufo-zahir-to-duff, ibid.), see the following:
 - https://web.archive.org/web/20181010203721/http://printhumanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/06/wmd-master-social-science.html#Project-Camelot
 - https://web.archive.org/web/20181010203721/http://printhumanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/04/letter-kerry-cassidy-alien-ufo-agenda.html

An entire generation of useful idiots is being prepared to welcome the aliens-ufos when they land -

even including appointing a UN Ambassador to diplomatically liaison with them (sic!):

• https://web.archive.org/web/20100928031415/http://www.telegraph.co.uk/science/space/8025832/UN-to-appoint-space-ambassador-to-greet-alien-visitors.html

And the Pope to babtise them (what the fuck!):

https://web.archive.org/web/20100918074641/http://www.guardian.co.uk/science/2010/sep/17/pope-astronomer-baptise-aliens

And the scientists "far smarter and more educated than Mr Ebrahim" to vouch for their authenticity (see <u>Stephen Hawking</u>, ibid.) – no differently than we have already witnessed recently in their speciously promulgating Global Warming:

 https://web.archive.org/web/20181010203721/http://printhumanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/11/let-co-conspiracy-theorist-climategate.html

and in the not too distant a past for scuttling Cold Fusion:

 https://web.archive.org/web/20181010203721/http://printhumanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/04/comment-on-judywoods-newhiroshima.html#[18]

Like the unwelcome weeds in a fertilized lawn, once seeded, these parasites of society which feed off the crumbs of imperial dogmas and multiply their droppings to take over the soil of any nation to get them to 'United We Stand' – even if the initial seeding was with a mere handful – are the curse of any nation's health.

That's the oligarchic bet here – to have sufficient foolish peoples sucking the effluents* from the celebrities' asses and to play them up so much in the newsmedia and Hollywood, to make it appear all real to the rest of the ignorant public, to eventually get them primed for acquiescing to 'United We Stand' until fait accompli. This is the enduring power of Machiavellian political science wrought by "history's actors". See "Convince People of Absurdities and get them Acquiescing to Atrocities":

• http://www.globalresearch.ca/convince-people-of-absurdities-and-get-them-acquiescing-to-atrocities-the-enduring-power-of-machiavellian-political-science/18560

Just like the "history's actors" made 9/11 appear real to the ignorant public to launch their "imperial

mobilization":

'We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality — judiciously, as you will — we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.' — Senior Bush Advisor, The New York Times, October 17, 2004

http://www.nytimes.com/2004/10/17/magazine/17BUSH.html?
 r=2&ex=1255665600&en=890a96189e162076&ei=5090

Just like they made WMD appear all real to the dumb masses to launch the invasion of Iraq by parading Pentagon retired Generals on television in 2002-2003. This one was ex post facto even brazenly admitted to by the New York Times in 2008 "Behind TV Analysts, Pentagon's Hidden Hand":

http://www.nytimes.com/2008/04/20/washington/20generals.html?
 r=2&hp&oref=slogin&oref=slogin

And could that ex post facto admission that it was all a scam, and already obvious from day one, undo the murdering and bombing of the innocent people of Iraq due to the manipulated public 'United We Stand' with it?

First we had Ali Baba on his flying carpet:



- https://web.archive.org/web/20181010203721/http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/04/binladen-keyenabler-nuclearattack.html
- https://web.archive.org/web/20181010203721/http://printhumanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/12/ali-baba-in-mumbai-eid2008-reflections.html

Aliens-UFOs are the next Super Ali Baba++ now that the former Ali Baba, Dr. Osama Bin Laden on his flying carpet, has finally been laid to rest, in continuation of the same "imperial mobilization":



 https://web.archive.org/web/20181010203721/http://printhumanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/01/aliens-and-ufos-hegelian-mind-fck-pt2.html

To launch the WMD mantra to invade Iraq they had employed retired Pentagon generals who were "far smarter and more educated than Mr Ebrahim":

 https://web.archive.org/web/20181010203721/http://prisonersofthecave.blogspot.com/ 2007/04/preface.html

To launch the alien-ufo mantra to disarm the public and cement world government, they are again employing people "far smarter and more educated than Mr Ebrahim"!!

At Nuremberg and its aftermath, the 'United We Stood' of the Third Reich had to pay a hefty price in perpetuity: from the defeated Nazi canon fodder soldiers who were killed through starvation by the millions in internment camps by the victorious Americans, to the German civilians and the nation of postwar Germany still paying restitution to Zionistan, the Jewsonly state of Israel, in billions of dollars annually, and as I am told, that is to continue for one hundred years.

If the 'lesser peoples' of today are to demand the same restitution for the monumental holocaust perpetrated upon them which is swimming in the oceans of bloody evidence, unlike the HolocaustTM which we all accept on faith and by the fiat of the verdict of law, can you imagine for how many centuries will the people of the United States and its Allies will have to pay in penalties to its victims of their 'Lebensraum'?

For poor Mr. Ebrahim, belonging to the 'lesser peoples' who are the primary victims of "imperial mobilization", this ain't a FUCKING game to stick one's head in even one's own ass, let alone in other "far smarter and more educated" celebrities collective asses.

And Mr. Ebrahim respectfully requests the editor to not remove, or syntactical sugar, the employment of accurate expression which hardly does justice to capturing this monumentally criminal state of affairs wrought by fools and useful idiots, themselves victims of celebrity effluents*. If bombing peoples to smithereens does not seem to impact people's sensibilities, then describing that crime in accurate terms should not either. Call a spade a spade.

Thank you.

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

May 05, 2011 9:45 AM PST

Comment submitted for my article: https://web.archive.org/web/20110605100914/http://www.salem-news.com/articles/april262011/woods-hiroshima-ze.php

*effluents

-noun

- 2. something that flows out or forth; outflow; effluence.
- 3. -- not applicable --
- 4. sewage that has been treated in a septic tank or sewage treatment plant.
- 5. sewage or other liquid waste that is discharged into a body of water, etc.

Source URL: https://web.archive.org/web/20181010203721/http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/05/aliens-and-ufos-head-in-ass.html

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons!

Chapter IV

Letter to Kerry Cassidy Project Camelot – The Alien-UFO Agenda

Everybody gets to believe what they want

Friday April 8, 2011

Dear Ms. Cassidy,

Hello.

In your 2008 interview, 'John Lear Tells All - Part 1 of 4', at time 26:02, you note:

Kerry Cassidy: "Right, because why would you put together something like MJ-12 under Truman to investigate or manage the UFO story unless there was no UFO story. Right? There has got to be a UFO if you gonna put together a group."

A little before that, at time 17:26, John Lear had made a summary-comment on another complex matter in these words:

John Lear: "First we are gonna cover the Nibiru scam, planet-X. It is not there, it is not gonna cause a problem in 2012, it's a scam, I see it working from every different direction, it's just a plot to keep us, you know, in a state of anxiety ...

No inside scoop, I have never had an inside scoop, most of my information I have come up with myself, ... as far as planet-X and Nibiru, that's based on 65 years of listening to bullshit. ... I wouldn't pay any attention to it. Everybody gets to believe what they want."

I have exactly those sentiments on the UFO scam. This letter only addresses that aspect of your very interesting interview with a great story-teller. In the olden days, before television, before radio, travelling narrators with similar story-telling and theatrical skills provided the captivating entertainment relief in villages and towns in my native lands. I believe this was also the case in the United States – what did they call it, the vaudeville?

So please permit me to borrow this great narrator's fine diction in my summary opening statement for this letter: I see it working from every different direction. It's a scam and bullshit.

But the UFO scam also has a purpose. It is not solely abstract psy-ops with scare-mongering cataclysmic ideas like the 2012 and Nibiru scams whose main purpose evidently is just to keep the human psyche in a heightened and paralyzed state of fear to make us more amenable to the real coup de grâce. Planetary level catastrophes, real, imagined, and manufactured, all primarily play into the bullshit propaganda organ of the Mighty Wurlitzer just like the Nobel prize winning global warming mantra whose primary purpose always has been the introduction of the carbon-credit scam.

But the long-running Alien/UFO theater is more than just wordy propaganda, more than just story-telling of passionate advocates, more than just myth creation. I include below my humble report on Aliens and UFOs which actually addresses the question you posed to John Lear as quoted at the top of this letter: "There has got to be a UFO if you gonna put together a group." I hope you will enjoy reading it as much as I was entertained by your interview and will kindly also let me have your reaction.

The report suggests the political science reasons for the enactment of this theater, for the many reported UFO sightings (physical or imagined), and for the vicarious promulgation of public conversations on Aliens/Abductions/Visitations/UFOs/ET Technology etc. of which you too have become an energetic part. It is my humble prediction that the public will actually see physical UFOs and Aliens alighting from the skies – made in the USA of course – as the next emerging global threat which will be used as the coup de grâce to cement world government.

And thus I was pleasantly surprised to hear story-teller John Lear's response to your question at time 1:14:34 in your interview:

Kerry Cassidy: "What about 2012 and a hologram of an alien invasion – have you heard this scenario?"

John Lear: "Absolutely, would be, probably what they are gonna do. They are gonna put a hologram and say: 'Oh we are being attacked, you know by aliens, we have made a deal with the ambassador, and he says that they feel that the earth is a threat, but if you all take all any weapons you have, and turn them in, that they will give us a second chance'"

Kerry Cassidy: "So at that point we have one world government because they can put everybody under the same roof and basically all the countries, you are talking not individuals bringing their rifles"

John Lear: "Yah, individuals. That's one of the things the aliens want is for all you guys you know, to turn in your individual weapons so that they don't feel so much of a threat."

Kerry Cassidy: "But aliens, come on, well okay, so this is a scenario"

John Lear: "It's a scenario where the government wants to disarm us, and the way is to put a hologram up there of an attack, and they say we have been in communication with them, and you can really help us if you'll turn in all your weapons. Of course, by the time we turn in all our weapons, there is not much we can do. And we find out it's just a scam. Like 9/11 was. Yah, that's a scenario. I am sure that's gonna happen."

It is all too evident from the above dialog between you and John Lear that you understand Machiavellian statecraft matters far more insightfully than you betrayed in your interview of Dr. Steven Greer which is cited in my report. I am therefore perplexed as to why you still pursue the UFO absurdity devoid of its social engineering aspect without showing any skepticism (the Greer interview is truly pathetic in that regard)? One can only surmise that you must genuinely believe in Aliens and UFOs above and beyond their psy-op utility to Machiavellian statecraft. Like the great story-teller John Lear said: "Everybody gets to believe what they want."

But it is not what people believe personally in the privacy of their lives which is the problem. It is their pitching hearsay absurdities to the public in synchronicity with the Mighty Wurlitzer and which are clearly harvestable for "imperial mobilization" by the Mighty Wurlitzer that is the problem. How would

you separate the passionate advocacy of the UFO adherents from global propaganda – if, assuming for a moment, that propaganda is also the purpose?

The statecraft has the deep purse strings and the wherewithal to enact any macro propaganda they deem necessary and useful, permit Hegelian dialectics to be spawned within it covering the entire gamut of discourse with opposites alternately denying and affirming with truths, half-truths, and imaginative evidence-free story-telling, and can sustain it over long periods of time because the puppetmasters and ideologues remain the same. Notice how Hadley Cantril, funded by the Rockefeller Foundation, created the 'War of the Worlds' enactment on New York radio in 1938 as a study in mass panic behavior? It is cited in my report.

The same institutions and oligarchic powers exist today despite the passage of many a resident in the White House over the past hundred years. Those foisting their vile propaganda as dupes or mercenaries, useful idiots or intelligence assets, mind-controlled or fervently believing, are all the same as far as I am concerned because they all end up serving into the same Hegelian mind-fck of the public. But while being a mercenary is a choice, being duped into idiocy is clearly not something one wishes for. How much more obvious can it be that the public is being duped by this carefully stage-managed long running psy-ops? The caption for the first photographic image in my report suggests:

"Secret: those who know do not speak; those who speak do not know; those who know and speak always sleep with the fishes. State: Deception is a state of mind and the mind of the State. **Therefore, leaking a State-Secret: only a tune played by the Mighty Wurlitzer.**"

How can one separate the elaborate symphonies of the Mighty Wurlitzer in the evidence-free hearsay narration of a handful of people as it is today, from ETs being shown arriving in space ships and being witnessed by millions of peoples simultaneously? After all, billions of peoples were made to witness airplanes crashing into tall buildings on 9/11, and the rulers asserted it was Osama Bin Laden. The majority of the world's public 'United We Stand' with that edict from the pulpits of power thus creating the intended fait accompli of launching "imperial mobilization" across the planet as the perpetual and endless war on terror with some notorious and illusive Ali Baba.

The Aliens are only the Ali Baba++, a more globally unifying enemy which Ronald Reagan promised Mikhail Gorbachev was the most effective basis for a global *Perestroika*. Unless the public can come up with a forensic and rational test to remove the tunes of the Mighty Wurlitzer from what they see and hear, they simply cannot know the difference what is reality and what is bullshit. This idea is

Letter to Kerry Cassidy Project Camelot – The Alien-UFO Agenda

somewhat akin to the Turing Test for computers. If a computing machine can pass the Turing Test, it

is theoretically indistinguishable from a human being if both sit behind a curtain.

Presently, if all the conversations on Aliens and UFOs in comparison to any real ET is

indistinguishable from the surround-sound of the Mighty Wurlitzer, then commonsense indicates to

treat them the same. The public does not have an empirical turing test to distinguish them – other

than the lonely yardstick of commonsense and some perceptive understanding of the myriad forces

driving the Mighty Wurlitzer.

I will end this letter with an insight gleaned from professor Bernard Lewis - the British-Zionist

Svengali and OSS vintage intelligence point-man carefully planted into America's prestigious IVY to

cultivate doctrinal warfare in the garb of academic respectability - from his vile book of social

engineering titled 'The Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror':

"Terrorism requires only a few. Obviously the West must defend itself by

whatever means will be effective. But in devising means to fight the terrorist,

it would surely be useful to understand the forces that drive them."

That same concept is utilized in my report in order to preemptively counter the massive

psychological terror which will be inflicted by super-terrorists. Thus, in devising means to fight the

super-terrorist, it would surely be useful to understand the forces that drive them! If the public can

preempt their propaganda by focussing on unraveling the many facets and scenarios on what they

Machiavellianly plan to do before they enact them, just as you did in your conversation with John

Lear quoted above, that vile psy-ops can surely be defeated before it becomes the coup de grâce!

Thanks very much for your time,

with kind regards,

Zahir Ebrahim | Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Enclosure: The Agenda Behind Aliens and UFOs - A Hegelian Mind-Fck Part-II

Source URL: https://web.archive.org/web/20181010155005/http://print-

humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/04/letter-kerry-cassidy-alien-ufo-agenda.html

The Next Global Menace Ali Baba Plusplus: Alien Invasion

49

Letter to Kerry Cassidy Project Camelot – The Alien-UFO Agenda

This page is intentionally blank

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons!

Chapter V

Making the Public Mind – Engineering Consent and Behavior Control


Report on the Mighty Wurlitzer

First Published May 31, 2009 | Last Updated Tuesday, March 11, 2014 10:00 pm

Edward Bernays, the nephew of Sigmund Freud, began his seminal 1928 book simply titled *Propaganda*, with these ominous words:

'The conscious and intelligent manipulation of the organized habits and opinions of the masses is an important element in democratic society. Those who manipulate this unseen mechanism of society constitute an invisible government which is the true ruling power of our country. We are governed, our minds are molded, our tastes formed, our ideas suggested, largely by men we have never heard of.' --- Edward Bernays, 1928, pg.1, <u>Propaganda</u>

Aldous Huxley, on the 30th anniversary of his own seminal 1931 allegorical novel *Brave New World*, made the following dreadful observations in the very opening segment of his talk on the Ultimate Revolution upon which mankind and modernity are perilously perched:



Caption The Public Mind in the Making (Book cover 1928)

You can do everything with bayonets except sit on them! If you are going to control any population for any length of time you must have some measure of consent. It's exceedingly difficult to see how pure terrorism can function indefinitely. It can function for a fairly long time, but I think sooner or later you have to bring in an element of persuasion. An element of getting people to consent to what is happening to them. Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude! This is the, it seems to me the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.' --- Aldous Huxley, 1962 speech at UC Berkeley, minute 04:06

In order to understand how the comprehension of Edward Bernays and Aldous Huxley, though both long dead, still manifests itself in these times, we must begin with the Mighty Wurlitzer.

However, first, a *gestalt shift* in perspective is necessary. Please stare at the image below for a few moments of reflection before proceeding.



Caption Perspective: Oh what a difference even a slight shift can make! (Image source)

What is the 'Mighty Wurlitzer'?

It used to be the honorific of Frank Wisner, the first chief of political warfare for the Central Intelligence Agency, used to describe the C.I.A.'s plethora of front organizations and newsmedia stooges that he was capable of playing (like a great organ with many keyboards) for synthesizing any propaganda tune that was needed for the day. See **Operation Mockingbird**

(https://web.archive.org/web/2009/http://www.spartacus.schoolnet.co.uk/JFKmockingbird.htm) (PDF).

The fact that such an omnipresent Message-Machine is not ancient history but very much current affairs, is underscored by this NYT headline "Behind TV Analysts, Pentagon's Hidden Hand", Sunday, <u>April 20, 2008</u>. Also see <u>Jessica Lynch</u> Media Myth-Making in Iraq War during **Operation** "Iraqi Freedom" in Further Study.



Caption The Mighty Wurlitzer Organ:
Metaphor for the Multi-Modal
Propaganda Message-Machine which
can Play Many Perception-Molding
Tunes Simultaneously to Make the
Public Mind

Therefore, today, I use the term 'Mighty Wurlitzer' as a metaphor to pluralistically refer to the same message-machine, i.e., the intelligence apparatus for manufacturing consent and controlling dissent, and its concomitant conscious manipulation of peoples' thoughts, feelings, actions and in-actions, in order to serve the primacy interests of the behind the scene governing oligarchy. The latter are, invariably, also the de facto owners of the complete messaging-system now even more globally ubiquitous than when Frank Wisner played the world for a fool.

This 'grand organ' is now able to even more effectively synthesize, implant, and reinforce, all the right set of beliefs (myths) among the entire world's public – by suitably combining 'events' with imaginative 'expos' writing

- which appropriately primes the world populations to acquiesce to the oligarchic agendas. While playing this orchestra is now an integral part of all state-craft, its major musical themes are entirely determined by the behind the scenes owners of the system. While some might refer to the underlying techniques as propaganda and psy-ops, 'Mighty Wurlitzer' singularly captures the messaging-system controlled under a unified purpose of command which is both highly

compartmentalized and cellularized. Only the Mighty Wurlitzer knows the entire tune.

What this means is that not all who willingly cooperate with the Mighty Wurlitzer in synchronistically humming its themes are knowingly being purveyors of its myths and deception. Many of its most shrill echoers are often well intentioned functionaries who are fed different motivating myths at different levels in the hierarchy – sometimes the lie is different at every level – such that it suitably motivates each according to their own predilection, professional station, and mission statement.

The Mighty Wurlitzer operates on the core premise which has been empirically shown to psychologically motivate most human action. That premise was elegantly captured in the following insightful observation made by the so called "<u>Terrorism Study Group</u>", that

"Public Assumptions' Shape Views of History: Such presumptions are beliefs (1) thought to be true (although not necessarily known to be true with certainty), and (2) shared in common within the relevant political community. The sources for such presumptions are both personal (from direct experience) and vicarious (from books, movies, and myths)."

Successfully implanting such presumptions and pre-suppositions among any group is to motivate its overall actions in accordance with those implanted beliefs. Thus, many intelligent peoples for whom it is otherwise inexplicable to understand why they persist in 'United We Stand' with absurdities, are motivated to react sympathetically to those absurdities.

The Secret Team

To barely catch a glimpse of how it's partially done, the following description by Col. Fletcher Prouty from the Preface to the first edition of his 1973 book "The Secret Team" is instructive (PDF book):

'There is another category of writer and self-proclaimed authority on the subjects of secrecy, intelligence, and containment. This man is the suave, professional parasite who gains a reputation as a real reporter by disseminating the scraps and "Golden Apples" thrown to him by the great men who use him. This writer seldom knows and rarely cares that many of the scraps from which he draws his material have been planted, that they are controlled leaks, and that he is being used, and glorified as he is being used, by the inside secret

intelligence community.

Allen Dulles had a penchant for cultivating a number of such writers with big names and inviting them to his table for a medieval style luncheon in that great room across the hall from his own offices in the old CIA headquarters on the hill overlooking Foggy Bottom. Here, he would discuss openly and all too freely the same subjects that only hours before had been carefully discussed in the secret inner chambers of the operational side of that quiet Agency. In the hands of Allen Dulles, "secrecy" was simply a chameleon device to be used as he saw fit and to be applied to lesser men according to his schemes. It is quite fantastic to find people like Daniel Ellsberg being charged with leaking official secrets simply because the label on the piece of paper said "top secret," when the substance of many of the words written on those same papers was patently untrue and no more than a cover story. Except for the fact that they were official lies, these papers had no basis in fact, and therefore no basis to be graded top secret or any other degree of classification. Allen Dulles would tell similar cover stories to his coterie of writers, and not long thereafter they would appear in print in some of the most prestigious papers and magazines in the country, totally unclassified, and of course, cleverly untrue.

In every case, the chance for complete information is very small, and the hope that in time researchers, students, and historians will be able to ferret out truth from untruth, real from unreal, and story from cover story is at best a very slim one. Certainly, history teaches us that one truth will add to and enhance another; but let us not forget that one lie added to another lie will demolish everything. This is the important point. Consider the past half century. How many major events -- really major events -- have there been that simply do not ring true? How many times has the entire world been shaken by alarms of major significance, only to find that the events either did not happen at all, or if they did, that they had happened in a manner quite unlike the original story?'

Coldly implicit in Col. Prouty's afore-quoted empirical statement: "and the hope that in time researchers, students, and historians will be able to ferret out truth from untruth, real from unreal, and story from cover story is at best a very slim one", is the underlying Machiavellian modus operandi of buying time for sewing faits accomplis (new unalterable realities on the ground). By straight-jacketing all public discourse in deception when its timely revelation and unraveling can in

fact derail the exercise of hegemony, new realities are constructed in the guise of responding to catastrophic events while the shell-shocked people remain dazed, confused, and frightened. They accept any solution offered by the authority figures as Americans did for instance in the aftermath of 9/11 when the catastrophic act of terrorism tore their world asunder. Ex post facto, and years down the road, separating myths and falsehoods from the calculus of hegemony will still remain only an academic exercise entirely irrelevant to reversing the faits accomplis already sewn! For Col. Prouty to not recognize this rather straightforward fact of the matter, the key modus operandi of Machiavelli for constructing new unalterable reality on the ground, as he nonchalantly observed the above quoted statement says something about the cunning spymaster himself. See Convince People of Absurdities and get them Acquiescing to Atrocities: The Enduring Power of Machiavellian Political Science. Also see Unlayering the Middle East War Agenda: Making Sense of Absurdities.

Wikileaks and the Mighty Wurlitzer driving Imperial Mobilization

A pertinent example of Col. Fletcher Prouty's fabricated leaks noted above, is the Wikileaks' July 2010 disclosures of 'The Afghanistan Papers' which revealed nothing new.

Wikileaks has always been a rather transparent Mighty Wurlitzer ops. It is trivial to see through the absurdity of its protected existence despite it promoting itself as being a sort of dissenting watchdog upon empire. And therefore, ostensibly, being inimical to its unbridled quest for "full spectrum dominance". Just like Al Jazeera television based in Qatar, which too, absurdly enough, is permitted to function unhindered in the same nation as America's CENTCOM headquarters.

Would it not be trivial for an armed to the teeth National Security State waging perpetual wars on civilians from Afghanistan to Iraq to Pakistan to Palestine to take-out either apparatus rather trivially if they were troublesome to its primacy and geostrategic imperatives? And that may happen once the useful idiots have outlived their utility, for *he who sups with the devil must have a long spoon!*

The reason each is allowed to function is of course social engineering, the sine qua non for waging modern warfare upon civilian populations by way of deception. It spans the entire gamut of engineering consent. From mantra creation in the mainstream, and diabolically controlling dissent in order to control all opposition in the dissentstream, to actually fabricating the plainly visible pretexts (such as acts of terror) which can naturally ripen the conditions for the mantra of "clash of civilizations" to be called real in order to sustain the otherwise untenable "imperial mobilization".

Backed by the Mighty Wurlitzer's compositions, inflicting state terror upon civilian populations as counter-insurgency, and military invasions of defenseless third-world nations for imagined or contrived threats in "preemptive self-defense", automatically create and promote natural resistance among the victims thus breeding a self-fulfilling prophecy.

The director of the CIA, Michael Hayden, called this modus operandi of self-fulfilling prophecy, "tickling" the enemy: "We use military operations to excite the enemy, prompting him to respond. In that response we learn so much".

Zbigniew Brzezinski most succinctly summed up the core political motivation for resorting to such Machiavellianess in his 1996 book *The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives*: "Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization". (see Brzezinski's full quote below)

This, all this, is the real fact of the matter that makes the Mighty Wurlitzer so indispensable in military strategy. This is once again underscored by the April 20, 2008 NYT article mentioned at the very beginning, "Behind TV Analysts, Pentagon's Hidden Hand".

Wikileaks Announces Osama bin Laden
is alive and playing a key role in
directing the war in Afghanistan, leaked
US military files suggest



'Multiple intelligence reports on the whereabouts of the al-Qaeda leader are contained among the documents. They disclose publicly for the first time that bin Laden is thought to be personally overseeing the work of suicide bombers and the makers of Taliban roadside bombs which have had a devastating effect on British and US troops. A secret "threat report" drafted by the Nato-led International Security Assistance Force (Isaf) in 2006 locates bin Laden as well as the Taliban leader Mullah Omar to the Pakistani city of Quetta as well as several villages on the Afghan border'. --- UK Telegraph 27 July 2010.

How can one tell manufactured reportage and fabricated leaks that are ab initio designed "to promote a self-fulfilling prophecy" from the real facts of the matter when it is most pertinent to averting its fait accompli?

How can one see through the psyops of the Mighty Wurlitzer?

As daunting as it might appear to the mainstream television watcher, it is in fact rather

straightforward for those unencumbered by blind faith in governments and its statecraft.

Just look for the core-lies and unquestioned axioms of empire that are typically retained in the "leaks" and reportage which, in order to sound credible, often openly expose what is mostly already known anyway or judiciously employ some variation of "Limited Hangout" wrapped in a veneer of dissent, 'freedom of the press', and often accompanied by the facade of angst and opposition from the state.

Furthermore, look for some of the lauded dissent names rushing to support the Limited Hangout – just as it was with Daniel Ellsberg for his infamous *Pentagon Papers* – to afford a veneer of legitimacy to the whistleblowing revelations of supposed statesecrets having caused some great harm to the state. The extravagance enacted in the mainstream media, alternately making heroes of the whistleblowers and demonizing them, is a giveaway to the circus show being enacted for plebeian consumption.

For, it matters not which side one takes, as both sides are patently false, crafted of calculated omissions and half-truths that retain core-lies, right out of the text book of the <u>Technique of Infamy</u>: invent two lies and keep the public busy debating which of them is true!

The role of crafty omissions in fabricating propaganda was best captured by Aldous Huxley in his Preface to *Brave New World* thusly:

President Obama warns not to challenge the official narrative of 9/11



'I am aware that there is still some who would question, or even justify the offense of 911.

But let us be clear. Al Qaeeda killed nearly 3000 people on that day.

The victims were innocent men, women, and children from America and many other nations who had done nothing to harm anybody.

And yet Al Qaeeda chose to ruthlessly murder these people, claimed credit for the attack, and even now states their determination to kill on a massive scale. They have affiliates in many countries, to try to expand their reach.

These are not opinions to be debated.

These are facts to be dealt with.' --
President Obama, Cairo Egypt, June 4th

2009, 911 and Imperial Mobilization Redux

By Zahir Ebrahim

'The greatest triumphs of propaganda have been accomplished, not by doing something, but by refraining from doing. Great is truth, but still greater, from a

practical point of view, is silence about truth. By simply not mentioning certain subjects, by lowering what Mr. Churchill calls an "iron curtain" between the masses and such facts or arguments as the local political bosses regard as undesirable, totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have done by the most eloquent denunciations, the most compelling of logical rebuttals. But silence is not enough. If persecution, liquidation and the other symptoms of social friction are to be avoided, the positive sides of propaganda must be made as effective as the negative.' — Aldous Huxley, Preface (circa 1946) to Brave New World, 1931, Harper, pg. 11

To uncover omissions in a discourse is very difficult for the public who do not often have command over the domain in which the falsehoods are being perpetuated. As the psychological insight already quoted above from the Terrorism Study Group betrays, "Public Assumptions' Shape Views of History. Such presumptions are beliefs (1) thought to be true (although not necessarily known to be true with certainty), and (2) shared in common within the relevant political community."

Which is why inculcating ignorance, especially political-historical ignorance pertaining to international relations, and being made trusting of authority figures and the state, are the pre-requisites for any vile propaganda to succeed! A well bred lack of skepticism to authority figures, to experts in

President Obama Announces Osama bin Laden is dead



"Good evening. Tonight, I can report to the American people, and to the world. The United States has conducted an operation that has killed Osama Bin Laden, the leader of Al Qaeda." ---President Obama, May 1, 2011

scientific disciplines, and to dissenting chiefs playing controlled opposition, thus becomes the heart of social engineering for 'United We Stand'.

This surfeit of blind trust in authority is what is ultimately harvested by the Mighty Wurlitzer. For a skeptical public, the tunes of the Mighty Wurlitzer would fall on very deaf ears and public governance for private agendas would be well-nigh impossible in democratic nations. This is qualitatively no different than the power exercised by the religious clergy upon their faithful flock in any religion.

Except that modernity has perniciously replaced them with multi-faceted secular clergies, the "experts", each demanding obedience from its own 'United We Stand' trusting flock in all aspects of modern life.

This is also why "leaking" information from "experts" and "insiders" commands such a premium in Machiavellian democratic statecraft. When used judiciously so as not to dilute its impact, it can herd the flock in pretty much any direction that is desired.

As further empirically evidenced in the forensic analysis presented here, these so called whistleblowing of *leaky buckets* also succeed in accomplishing two important elements of statecraft:

- vicariously reinvigorate in the shortterm public memory, the already established-by-fiat facts and coreaxioms of empire;
- establish new convenient facts on the which subsequently ground are accepted as revealed gospel truths because of the already established thought-stream by the scholars of empire that when something is held in is classified secret or and subsequently declassified, prematurely leaked to the public, that it must contain some genuine "state secrets", and never red herrings. Such thought-streams enable the directives of NSC 10/2 for plausible deniability

ZERO: An Investigation into 9/11
Interview with Giulietto Chiesa,
Journalist, Member of the European
Parliament



'In the summer of 2005, the commission of the European parliament for security and defense, of which I am a member, was invited to a special screening created by the Washington Center for Strategic Studies

We were asked to watch a film which depicted what would happen in Europe, if Brussels was hit by a nuclear bomb.

Fifty thousand deaths, hundred thousand injured. The reactions of various European governments.

Suddenly, footage of Osama Bin Laden claiming responsibility for a nuclear attack on NATO headquarters comes on screen.

All members of the parliament, myself included, were rendered speechless.

Then a parliamentarian finally said:

(and those like it which we do not know about) to be trivially impressed upon the public

mind (see <u>Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory</u>). These revelations of presumed "state-secrets" subsequently become the new unquestioned backdrops for both state policies and public discourses – the new "doctrinal motivations" – with copious help from the Mighty Wurlitzer's refined machinery.

This enables the successful deployment of already pre-planned policy prescriptions which craftily impel the various incantations of hegemony forward in baby-steps. Both, domestically by incrementally clamping down hard on rising discontent in the name of "national security", and internationally by continuing to wage unpopular wars of preemption upon the 'untermenschen'. The infernal enemy has now been (re)confirmed to exist (despite popular skepticism) since even empire's own henchmen in their secret documents also affirm that belief (sic!). Speak of self-servingly suffering from a incestuously self-reinforced "crippled epistemology"!

ZERO (Contd.)

"Today we were shown a convincing demonstration of how Osama Bin Laden's image can be completely manipulated.

All the Osama's we have seen over the years, may never have existed.

Just as a nuclear attack on NATO Headquarters in Brussels has never taken place." --- ZERO

The grandmaster of *The Grand Chessboard* himself, in his volt face half-truth laced testimony before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee in 2007, strangely confirmed the deconstruction of the sole superpower's Machiavellian statecraft being done in this report: "**To argue that America is already at war in the region with a wider Islamic threat, of which Iran is the epicenter, is to promote a self-fulfilling prophecy."** (see <u>Brzezinski's full SFRC quote</u> below)

But earlier, the same Polish-American <u>Catholic</u> (see <u>Zbigniew Brzezinski footnote</u>) architect of inflicting America's hegemony upon the world, Zbigniew Brzezinski, in his 1996 book *The Grand Chessboard*, had unabashedly examined the need for such invigorations of the public mind, and the very promotion of self-fulfilling prophecies as a basic primacy tactic in order to assert *American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives*. As the former National Security Advisor under President Carter, and think-tank advisor to all subsequent occupants of the White House without prejudice, a diabolical strategist for the one-world oligarchic agenda in cahoots with the international banker David Rockefeller who appointed him the first executive director of the Trilateral Commission, Brzezinski with his imposing resume (see <u>Zbigniew Brzezinski</u>) betrays a shrewd comprehension of Machiavellian statecraft's reliance on engineering consent. Here is a snippet for the absolute necessity of controlling the public mind for "imperial mobilization":

"It is also a fact that America is too democratic at home to be autocratic abroad. This limits the use of America's power, especially its capacity for military intimidation. Never before has a populist democracy attained international supremacy. But the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being. The economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort are uncongenial to democratic instincts. Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization." (pgs. 35-36);

"Public opinion polls suggest that only a small minority (13 percent) of Americans favor the proposition that 'as the sole remaining superpower, the US should continue to be the preeminent world leader in solving international problems'. ... Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat. More generally, cultural change in America may also be uncongenial to the sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power. That exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification." (page 211 and onwards, PDF book)

The diabolical utility of planting of "Public Assumptions' [that] Shape Views of History" and therefore of current affairs, as the "doctrinal motivation" which can create "intellectual commitment", and is rewarded by "patriotic gratification", in this 'War on Terror' against the vile Militant Islam's torch bearers, the Islamofascists, cannot escape the careful reader's attention. It has wonderfully enabled "America's power, especially its capacity for military intimidation."

Catastrophic Terrorism

The <u>Terrorism Study Group</u> in fact took up the future foretelling in 1997-1998 where Brzezinski's self-serving clairvoyance had left off in 1996 with his pithy diabolical wisdom in *The Grand Chessboard:* "Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization." Phil Zelikow, the future 9/11 Commission Executive Director, led the so called study on <u>Catastrophic Terrorism</u>. It presaged, on October 15, 1998, a full three years before 9/11, how that instinctual aversion of America's

democratic public to "imperial mobilization" would be overcome by the United States striking out in response to catastrophic terrorism on its soil:

"An act of catastrophic terrorism that killed thousands or tens of thousands of people and/or disrupted the necessities of life for hundreds of thousands, or even millions, would be a watershed event in America's history. It could involve loss of life and property unprecedented for peacetime and undermine Americans' fundamental sense of security within their own borders in a manner akin to the 1949 Soviet atomic bomb test, or perhaps even worse. Constitutional liberties would be challenged as the United States sought to protect itself from further attacks by pressing against allowable limits in surveillance of citizens, detention of suspects, and the use of deadly force. More violence would follow, either as other terrorists seek to imitate this great 'success' or as the United States strikes out at those considered responsible. Like Pearl Harbor, such an event would divide our past and future into a 'before' and 'after.'" --- History Commons

The reality du jour exactly matches the doctrinal presaging done years in advance. America today is a police-state continually "pressing against allowable limits in surveillance of citizens, detention of suspects", "the use of deadly force" is ubiquitous, and is fully engaged in a perpetual war of "imperial mobilization", ahem, 'war on terror' against some Ali Baba, which its own former director of the CIA calls "World War IV" (see CNN report Thursday, April 3, 2003: Ex-CIA director: U.S. faces 'World War IV'). Its next target: Iran.

Predictably, with rising skepticism among the public on the utility of pursuing endless wars against illusive enemies that is making their own nation go bankrupt, more "harmful leaks" from assets like Wikileaks will occur, but understandably none which are actually substantial. Like, blowing the lid on 9/11 as an inside job, directly naming the top beneficiaries who shorted the Airline stocks raking in billions, or revealing how BBC came to report the demolition of WTC-7 a full 20 minutes before it actually transpired, never mind lending confirmation to any of the forensic detective work by independent researchers from the debris of 9/11, etceteras. And the main leaker du jour, Mr. patsy Julian Assange, like Mr. patsy Lee Harvey Oswald before him, will be sacrificed, perhaps with a new 'lone gunmen' enactment, or perhaps juridically, to lend the hoopla even more public respectability.

Mr. Edward Snowden's NSA whistleblowing story is qualitatively the same and has the same underlying template of being the Mighty Wurlitzer's asset. Just because something is stamped

"secret" does not make it so. The fact that NSA is doing full spectrum surveillance of the world, never mind of the American public, since the invention of satellite communication, of which smart phones are now the ubiquitously deployed Trojan horse of data gathering and data mining, is not really a deep or closely held secret. It has been public knowledge throughout the world. It is even popularized by Hollywood movies for decades. Perhaps it is only news for the American public, I don't know. It is quite a dog and pony show "miracle" how this young man has "managed" to elude the entire intelligence apparatus, including the NSA, the CIA, the DIA, and the drones, of the sole superpower on earth which spends upwards of a trillion dollars on its defence budget annually, but cannot capture one "roque" who outwitted that entire spy apparatus in "leaking" their most cherished "secrets". Thus they must now spend more money and resources one imagines. Almost parallels with how the same apparatuses could not interdict Ali Baba wielding box cutter knives on 9/11, and therefore the state not only had to clamp down harder on its national security with the Patriot Acts, but also increase its defence spendings. A Manchurian Candidate or a useful idiot is irrelevant. To his own mind this new addition to the whistleblower clique may well be taking a courageous stand to defend his nation against enemies, both foreign and domestic, like his predecessor Sibel Edmonds. But he may also meet the patsy's inevitable fate someday after his usefulness has expired.

As for Ms. Sibel Edmonds, the dissent-darling of America who collects a large body of its brilliant consciences around her for her FBI whistleblowing, see There are more whistleblowers from other Western intelligence agencies as well, none of them having gained such outlandish celebrity status or notoriety. It is redundant to dissect them all since they all are, more or less, automatically unmasked by the Mighty Wurlitzer's template demonstrated here. They all, without exception, lie by omission, tell half truth, three quarter truth, and Limited Hangout variants, to implant or reinvigorate public beliefs without revealing anything substantial that can lead to overthrowing the villainy they endeavor to speak out against. It makes for manufacturing great dissentchiefs. As the final example, see the "former" CIA's own, Philip Giraldi, now leading the so called Council for the National Interest that routinely speaks out against Israel's influence in Washington, without ever mentioning who owns the Jewish state, Dismantling the Fiction of 'Former' and 'Ex' Intelligence - Zahir Ebrahim's Response to Philip Giraldi.

It's the exact same recipe as is used by all the other fabricated and controlled dissent assets of empire when they are not outright spinning patent lies, for spinning half-truths requires far more brilliance. One can already see the main dissent-chiefs of the West, like the venerable professor Noam Chomsky, anointed by the New York Times as "arguably the most important intellectual alive", and the distinguished Daniel Ellsberg, excitedly supporting these Wikileaks exposés as if something

ethereal was "revealed in the Sinai" (borrowing that diction from Elie Wiesel). Snowden and Edmonds too find great support among dissentchiefs. What remarkable narrative control through repeated incestuous self-reinforcement --- keeping all the core axioms and presuppositions of empire intact!

There is no detectable difference among Assange, Snowden, Edmonds, and Ellsberg on the one hand as whistleblowers of "state secrets", and Chomsky, Hedges, Brzezinski, Bernard Lewis, Ron Paul, the Left, the Right, Republicans, Democrats, Libertarians, Catholics, Protestants, Baptists, Jews, Zionists, neocons --- on the core lie of empire which has principally enabled all the rest of the evil that has followed from that catastrophic day of *Operation Canned Goods Redux*. They publicly claim, or believe, in grand unison that America was attacked on 9/11 by "militant Islam"! The Muslim house niggers equally rise to applaud that absurd narrative of the massa. Speak of "crippled epistemology"! The raison d'être of the Mighty Wurlitzer.

It is not for nothing that James Jesus Angleton, Head of CIA Counter Intelligence 1954-1974, is quoted in the 1992 BBC-2 Documentary on <u>Operation Gladio</u>: "Deception is a state of mind and the mind of the State". See: <u>Angleton (1917 - 1987)</u> [CIA at Yale University in: *Spooks in Blue* by Doug Henwood,1988]. Manufacturing Dissent with controlled opposition is an indispensable core construct of that very statecraft of deception. See: '<u>Manufacturing Dissent</u>: <u>Weapons of Mass Deception – The Master Social Science</u>'.

With the preceding backdrop for overarching context, wherein we straightforwardly witness that empire's own strategists and scribes reveal years in advance with considerable chutzpah, *American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives*, and under what dystopian sociological conditions it could be mobilized with the democratic public being none the wiser, let's examine what I believe has been accomplished by Wikileaks in its service to empire's "War on Terrorism".

Please see "What is War on Terror?" and Postscript 'War on Terror' is not about 'Islamofascism' — Please get with the real agenda you people! before proceeding further if you are only familiar with its insanity in empire's manufactured dissenting *Newspeak*. Meaning, the 'War on Terror' is neither irrational nor insane. It is firmly rooted in Machiavelli, the rational political science of "*imperial mobilization*".

The core-lies retained in the Wikileaks' July 2010 disclosures – which I call 'the Afghanistan Papers' – is to once again reaffirm that there is a real nemesis called "Osama Bin Laden", that the "war on terror" is real, that it is being inflicted upon the West from Pakistan-Iran nexus, and to re-substantiate the handoff of former President George W. Bush's clairvoyance to the Obama Administration that "If

another September 11 style attack is being planned, it probably is being plotted in Pakistan, and not Afghanistan"! That, when such a "planned" attack transpires, it "will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison". See: 'Bin Laden': Key enabler of "imperial mobilization" and nuclear attack on Iran-Pakistan.

The successful handoff of "imperial mobilization" to Pakistan and Iran, now further sprightly underscored by Wikileaks' documents, is once again demonstrated by President Obama's Secretary of State Hilary Clinton's July 2010 remark to the BBC as quoted by Reuters: "There are still additional steps that we are asking and expecting the Pakistanis to take. But there is no doubt in anyone's mind that should an attack against the United States be traced to be Pakistani, it would (have) a very devastating impact on our relationship". And that is merely just another echo from the Obama Administration of what the Pakistanis themselves have been made to parrot the past 9 years, as demonstrated by its own Ambassador's remark in 2008:

'[On] Wednesday, a media report quoted Pakistan's envoy to Washington as saying that US leaders had warned Islamabad that if the United States suffered an attack that was traced back to Pakistan Washington would retaliate. "Those (statements) have been made," Ambassador Hussain Haqqani told editors and reporters at The Washington Post. "We want to make sure that it doesn't come to that." -- DAWN, June 12, 2008

To show Pakistan's unflinching willingness to do as much more as was asked, the Ambassador of Pakistan had further stated in an interview to Reuters in 2008:

'Pakistan would attack Osama bin Laden the moment it had reliable intelligence on the Al Qaeda leader's whereabouts, Ambassador Husain Haqqani said on Wednesday. Haqqani also said he was confident Pakistan could help foil any Al Qaeda plans to attack the United States, although he did not know of any right now. "A cooperative effort between all the allies, and that includes Afghanistan, Pakistan and the United States and NATO – I think we can thwart any potential plans for an attack," Haqqani said in an interview with Reuters.

He said Pakistani intelligence had helped defeat many of the "several dozen" Al Qaeda plots detected worldwide since the September 11, 2001, attacks, but government officials knew of no immediate threats to the United States. Haqqani said Pakistan would act on its own against Al Qaeda if necessary. "If Pakistan, Afghanistan or the United States had specific intelligence on the

location of Osama bin Laden, they would have acted on it. No reservations would have come in the way of action on that, and none will even in the future," he said. "If any of us had that actionable intelligence we would all act. We would act separately, we would act in tandem, we would act cooperatively – we would act." -- DAWN, June 12, 2008

So, could these self-serving 'Afghanistan Papers' have been any more convenient as a casus belli, carrying forth the same core-lies now entering its tenth year? If Wikileaks' dramatization grabbing all the world's headlines isn't an officially sponsored "modified limited hangout" for exactly that purpose of reinforcing the core-lies, then the White House not even bothering to stop the New York Times – whose own motto is 'All the news that's fit to print' – from publishing it, even giving it "all got gold stars" as the Salon put it on July 26, 2010, is downright inexplicable:

"So, uh ... why was all of this information classified and top secret? If it's old news, and it just confirms what "everyone" already knows, what was the rationale for keeping it classified and calling WikiLeaks all sorts of mean names for publishing it?"

What would it matter afterwards, after Iran and Pakistan have been bombed, what were lies and what was truth? Did the bogus mea culpa by the 2005 Presidential Commission on intelligence failure, the Iraq Study Group's disingenuous conclusion: "We conclude that the intelligence community was dead wrong in almost all of its prewar judgments about Iraq's weapons of mass destruction. This was a major intelligence failure," reverse the decimation of Iraq? Did the New York Times 2008 revelation of Pentagon's Message Machine after 'all the barbers in town already knew it', return back to its silos each and every cruise missile that was dropped upon the innocent civilians of Iraq? Did Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski's bizarre testimony of February 1, 2007 before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee undo the grotesque and criminal reality of "imperial mobilization" to which he now openly admitted? Even his bold public admission concerning the plausible false pretexts which could be found by the United States to attack Iran never made it past CSPAN, and in fact disappeared into the vast void of the Mighty Wurlitzer. What was Brzezinski's motivation for ratting on his own henchmen is anyone's guess. As a grandmaster strategist of The Grand Chessboard, he publicly issued a dare to the hawks in the Bush Administration to subvert or delay an imminent attack on Iran at this time.

Here is what Brzezinski publicly admitted in his SFRC testimony on February 1, 2007:

'If the United States continues to be bogged down in a protracted bloody

involvement in Iraq, the final destination on this downhill track is likely to be a head-on conflict with Iran and with much of the world of Islam at large. A plausible scenario for a military collision with Iran involves Iraqi failure to meet the benchmarks; followed by accusations of Iranian responsibility for the failure; then by some provocation in Iraq or a terrorist act in the U.S. blamed on Iran; culminating in a "defensive" U.S. military action against Iran that plunges a lonely America into a spreading and deepening quagmire eventually ranging across Iraq, Iran, Afghanistan, and Pakistan.

A mythical historical narrative to justify the case for such a protracted and potentially expanding war is already being articulated. Initially justified by false claims about WMD's in Iraq, the war is now being redefined as the "decisive ideological struggle" of our time, reminiscent of the earlier collisions with Nazism and Stalinism. In that context, Islamist extremism and al Qaeda are presented as the equivalents of the threat posed by Nazi Germany and then Soviet Russia, and 9/11 as the equivalent of the Pearl Harbor attack which precipitated America's involvement in World War II.

This simplistic and demagogic narrative overlooks the fact that Nazism was based on the military power of the industrially most advanced European state; and that Stalinism was able to mobilize not only the resources of the victorious and militarily powerful Soviet Union but also had worldwide appeal through its Marxist doctrine. In contrast, most Muslims are not embracing Islamic fundamentalism; al Qaeda is an isolated fundamentalist Islamist aberration; most Iraqis are engaged in strife because the American occupation of Iraq destroyed the Iraqi state; while Iran—though gaining in regional influence—is itself politically divided, economically and militarily weak. To argue that America is already at war in the region with a wider Islamic threat, of which Iran is the epicenter, is to promote a self-fulfilling prophecy.'

That admission requires no further elaboration from this scribe except to point out the smug hubris, that none among the senate committee members will rise to challenge his own role in that "self-fulfilling prophecy", and none among the public's watchdogs of democracy will deconstruct it in the newsmedia, and in the academia, despite it being broadcast live on CSPAN. And they didn't!

Brzezinski's bold chutzpah of blaming the Bush Administration for their self-serving myth-making

demagogic narratives to enable wars of aggression as "self-fulfilling prophecy", when the sole superpower in every government is only following his own recipe to fabricate "doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification" in order to pursue his previously outlined "imperial mobilization" agendas for "American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives" on The Grand Chessboard, and the "self-fulfilling prophecy" only overcomes his own principal lament "that America is too democratic at home to be autocratic abroad" which "limits the use of America's power, especially its capacity for military intimidation", is outright disingenuous. It's like Hitler blaming his generals for following Mein Kampf and conquering Europe, and Goebbels for being the Reichminister for propaganda!

Such ex post facto disingenuousness is evidently part and parcel of full spectrum "imperial mobilization". Without all techniques of deception underlying modern statecraft, which is itself never homogeneous and is plagued by competing narrow political self-interests no differently than Mafioso families in bloody turf competition while also agreeing to rob and plunder the public at will, just as we see in Brzezinski's SFRC testimony against his own lieutenants, nothing unpopular can be mobilized in a "democracy".

The common man today is as averse to projects of imperial mobilizations of the elite as in the yestercentury. He must be deceived into paying for these projects, both with his toil, and with his life. Machiavelli's thin book, The Prince, which is read by most high-schoolers in Westerndom, is surely the most misread book of all times. It is evidently read as a fairytale rather than as a most pertinent political science key to the cryptogram of current affairs --- for, the vast majority of these learned masses many of whom eventually graduate from Western universities with high-falutin credentials, demonstrate at best only a passing acquaintance with that strangely popular sixteenth century Italian name. The handful who may comprehend it, mostly go to work for statecraft and thinktanks. The remaining do nothing with any of its insights into how the elite must rule by way of deception and secrecy when they do not have autocratic and dictatorial open authority over the masses like that of kings and feudal lords in centuries past. In the modern fiction of "democracy", the elite can only govern by way of engineering the public's consent, by keeping secrets, and by adopting covert means, especially for carrying out long-term unpopular agendas. The common man's attention span is just too short to think about these agendas, and to sensibly relate them to current affairs on a canvas any larger than his own immediate time and space which is almost always bounded by his very narrow immediate self-interests.

There is, however, a very tiny minority of intelligent ones among the public not so easily fooled. Some do learn from the lessons of history and are able to relate it to the present. There is surely

something to be gained by examining what transpired during the recently defunct Cold War in its "demand creation" techniques (in marketing terms), and its four decades long continuance via global fear mongering, and relating that to the present. Otherwise, what's the grand purpose of studying history, especially forensically studying it by shrewdly treating it as the narrative of a crime scene written by the Mafioso families' own scribes. The modern history scribes, often paid for by the establishment, rehearse facts and figures ex post facto from official documents without addressing the secret, and the not so secret, motivations and behind the scenes forces that give birth to these facts and realities constructed by the "history's actors" (see history's actors quote below).

It's almost like the sly French police Captain Louis Renault in the film *Casablanca*, saying to the gendarmes: "round up the usual suspects" to cleverly deflect attention from the protagonist who has just shot and killed the Gestapo chief – because, it is not in anyone's interest to spotlight the real behind the scenes forces. Especially when they or their legatees are still in control. And also because it is not judged to be "sound academics". The fear of being seen as "conspiratorial" encourages intellectual self-policing long before the establishment's academe and media policing can kick-in and impact well-paying careers and fame. The only useful purpose of studying history accurately, without self-deception, without contrivance, and without apologetics, was most elegantly captured by the wise playwright of the early twentieth century, George Bernard Shaw:

"We are made wise not by the recollections of our past, but by the responsibility for our future."

Gladio Surrogate Terror

Witness the following from the period of the Cold War, where synthetic terror was used in Western Europe in order to convince the increasingly skeptical public that the Communist threat was real requiring the continuous heightened state of alert and rising military expenditures at the expense of domestic spending – all revealed ex post facto by the BBC documentary in 1992 on NATO's Operation Gladio. Part-3 of the Gladio documentary has the following lovely statement quoted from the US Army's Top Secret Field Manual:

"Top Secret: There may be times when host country governments show passivity or indecision in the face of Communist subversion ... **US Army Intelligence must have the means of launching special operations which**

will convince host country governments and public opinion of the reality of the insurgent danger ... US Army Intelligence should seek to penetrate the insurgency by means of agents of special assignments, with the task of forming special action groups among the most radical elements of the insurgency."

Replacing "Communist subversion" in the text above with "Islamofascist terror" makes what is being stated in this report obvious. See <u>Insurgency vs. Counter-Insurgency</u> in order to relate that US Army Field Manual recipe of yesteryear with the present. In the year 2042, or even as early as 2032, surely by 2052, an updated BBC documentary will confirm it all, with at best, a mere tsk, tsk, and the all knowing characteristic nod at the imperial craftsmanship of empire. A new generation of Noam Chomsky legatees will emerge with new best-selling books waiving their sublime morality at empire (see Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent below) and will get to occupy prestigious chairs in the academe as the new conscience of the world in one-world government.

What appears to be out of control Terrorism worldwide in 2014, and which is continuing to extract its pound of flesh from the sovereignty of nation-states faster than any other global crisis to date, can easily be comprehended when NATO's Operation Gladio of yesteryear is employed as the political science template. The motivations and the forces that drive global terrorism is writ large in that most empirical exposure of state sponsored terror disguised as "insurgency". To make it believable and plausible, real insurgents are created, and existing insurgent groups, especially those with existential discontents, are infiltrated, and their destructive energies channeled in service of larger political agendas of which often the patsies themselves remain unaware of. The evidence from CIA's MK ULTRA program of yesteryear, and the empirical suicide bombings in the terrorism acts today, indicate to those who can observe rationally with even an iota of brain functioning, that the art of manufacturing the perfect Manchurian Candidate has been perfected. And so has its deployment on demand as in Operation Gladio.

No intelligence apparatus in any country, no news media, no establishmentarian politician to scholar to military man, nor any of the touted intellectuals playing dissent with the establishment's narratives, go there. Why not? Because there are always substantial tangible and intangible, as well as existential rewards to be gained by silence. It is always beneficial to continue to play the fool, the patsy, and the willing mercenary. See: Operation Gladio Yesterday and Worldwide Terrorism Today – Identifying the Enemy, and Imperial Surrogates and 'Terror Central' in Operation Gladio Redux. See The Dying Songbird to comprehend the co-option of the intellectuals and how they diabolically mislead and misdirect the public conscience from Left to Right. They shall all be ceremoniously anointed "arguably the most important truth-tellers and intellectuals" tomorrow for their brilliant ex

post facto exposés of the state deceptions of today, just as they are heralded today for their dry study of yestercentury and its war crimes.

That is the real import of the craftsmanship of the Mighty Wurlitzer! To engineer a fait accompli by manufacturing consent among the gullible masses and controlling dissent among the rabble rousers when "imperial mobilization" is still on-going, leaving future scholars, historians, and the odd malcontent to laudingly study the ashes, mea culpae, confessions, documentaries, de-classified documents, and strategic rattings left behind by "history's actors". A diabolical modus operandi of democratic statecraft which the Mighty Wurlitzer's operators even brazenly gloat about:

""We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do." (Ron Suskind, New York Times, Oct. 17, 2004)

It is now patently obvious with the Obama Administration officially declaring Osama Bin Laden killed in an American raid on May 1, 2011, why Wikileaks had to "leak" the officialdom's belief that he was still alive in July 2010! It is all too evident that some mileage is being derived by officially burying that nemesis at sea, a thousand miles from where they proclaim they killed him in an ambush in Abbottabad, Pakistan. Conveniently, it was in Pakistan and not Afghanistan that mankind's toughest and most resourceful nemesis was found and killed. The color coded threat alerts instantly went up worldwide. Pakistan Navy presumably already suffered a bizarre revenge attack on its naval base in Karachi from Ali Baba's elusive organization still intact, and now even more formidable than ever before. And its base of operation? Of course Pakistan!

Just as George W. Bush Jr., had intimated was the new Terror Central:

"If another September 11 style attack is being planned, it probably is being plotted in Pakistan, and not Afghanistan"!

Brzezinski's unraveling of that *Bushism* in his SFRC testimony quoted above notwithstanding, was the 43rd President of the United States, George W. Bush Jr., just inordinately insightful to predict such matters as he was preparing to hand the presidential charge to his successor on the "change" platform? Carefully dissecting the nature of such self-serving propagandistic clairvoyance can perhaps also help the public to become shrewdly clairvoyant in their own self-defense in these often confusing matters on international relations. Especially on what's likely to come as the next global

mythical terror threat in the aftermath of Osama Bin Laden. Let's briefly review how the terrorism of 9/11 was continually foretold by the masters of discourse themselves – for that will surely show the public how to treat their next bit of self-serving fortune telling.

Taking a Deeper Look into the Dynamics of Mantra Creation:

Islamofascism

Let's begin at the very inception of the 'arc of crisis' which Zbigniew Brzezinski laid the groundwork for during his reign of terror upon the USSR as the National Security Advisor to the 38th President of the United States, Jimmy Carter. See <u>Instrumenting Kosovo in the 'arc of crisis' and the 'global zone of percolating violence'</u> for other details of the epoch and its connections to the present 'War on Terror'. It suffices to quote here the following brilliantly clairvoyant statement attributed to Israeli Intelligence founder from the same epoch in 1979, a full two decades prior to 9/11:

'On Sept. 23, 1979, the founder of Israeli intelligence over dinner told me that America was developing a tolerance for terror. The gentleman's name was Isser Harel, the founder of Mossad Israeli intelligence-he ran it from 1947 to 1963. He told me that America had developed an alliance between two countries, Israel and Saudi Arabia, and that the alliance with Saudi Arabia was dangerous and would develop a tolerance for terror among Americans. He said if the tolerance continued that Islamic fundamentalists would ultimately strike America. I said "Where?" He said, "In Islamic theology, the phallic symbol is very important. Your biggest phallic symbol is New York City and your tallest building will be the phallic symbol they will hit." Isser Harel prophesied that the tallest building in New York would be the first building hit by Islamic fundamentalists 21 years ago.' Source

And Mossad again betrayed its brilliant clairvoyance 20 years later:

'The attacks on the World Trade Centre's twin towers and the Pentagon were humiliating blows to the intelligence services, which failed to foresee them, and to the defence forces of the most powerful nation in the world, which failed to deflect them. The Telegraph has learnt that two senior experts with Mossad, the

Israeli military intelligence service, were sent to Washington in August to alert the CIA and FBI to the existence of a cell of as many of 200 terrorists said to be preparing a big operation. "They had no specific information about what was being planned but linked the plot to Osama bin Laden and told the Americans that there were strong grounds for suspecting Iraqi involvement," said a senior Israeli security official.' --- UK Telegraph, 16 Sep 2001

Seeded by that "prophesy" from the stellar Israeli intelligence mind, British Zionist Svengali at Princeton University, Professor Bernard Lewis planted the 'The Roots of Muslim Rage' in 1990 in the Council on Foreign Relations' prestigious magazine *Foreign Affairs*. An influential establishmentarian mouthpiece which is read around the world by those who believe that if you want to know what will happen ten years from now in any remote corner of the world, read *Foreign Affairs* of ten years ago:

"In 1990 Bernard Lewis, a leading Western scholar of Islam, analyzed 'The Roots of Muslim Rage,' and concluded: 'It should now be clear that we are facing a mood and a movement far transcending the level of issues and policies and the governments that pursue them. This is no less than a clash of civilizations – that perhaps irrational but surely historic reaction of an ancient rival against our Judeo-Christian heritage, our secular present, and the worldwide expansion of both. It is crucially important that we on our side should not be provoked into an equally historic but also equally irrational reaction against our rival." --- Samuel Huntington, The Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of World Order, 1996, pg. 213

That 'Muslim Rage' was subsequently transformed in 1996 into a full blown political ideology for governing International Relations of the sole superpower as the infamous 'Clash of Civilizations', by Bernard Lewis' confrere and fellow Zionist at Harvard University, Professor Samuel Huntington:

'The underlying problem for the West is not Islamic fundamentalism. It is Islam, a different civilization whose people are convinced of the superiority of their culture and are obsessed with the inferiority of their power. The problem for Islam is not the CIA or the US Department of Defense. It is the West, a different civilization whose people are convinced of the universality of their culture and believe that their superior, if declining, power imposes on them the

obligation to extend that culture throughout the world. These are the basic ingredient that fuel conflict between Islam and the West.' --- Ibid. pg. 217

'Some Westerners, including [ex] President Bill Clinton, have argued that the West does not have problems with Islam but only with violent Islamist extremists. Fourteen hundred years of history demonstrate otherwise.... Islam is the only civilization which has put the survival of the West in doubt, and it has done that at least twice... The parallel concepts of 'jihad' and 'crusade' not only resemble each other...' --- Ibid. pg. 209

This systematic myth construction of 'Islamic Terror' was prime for harvesting as the global 'War on Terrorism' on September 11, 2001 by George W. Bush with the dialectical ultimatum to the world: "either you are with us, or with the terrorists"!

Within 15 minutes of the super terrorism of that day in infamy, the newsmedia had been awash in naming the first terrorist: Osama Bin Laden! The scripted discourse is of course repeated ad nauseam to this very day, the last time by President Obama himself while announcing the boogeyman's demise on May 1, 2011: "Good evening. Tonight, I can report to the American people, and to the world. The United States has conducted an operation that has killed Osama Bin Laden, the leader of Al Qaeda."

That's of course, after already having reiterated on the heals of his predecessor, on June 4th 2009, who was responsible for 9/11: "But let us be clear. Al Qaeeda killed nearly 3000 people on that day. The victims were innocent men, women, and children from America and many other nations who had done nothing to harm anybody."

And all foretold by the clairvoyance of the Zionist Israeli Mossad founder, and reinforced by other Israeli Military Intelligence Mossad agents in the days just preceding 9/11, of the brilliant Islamic fundamentalists' successful attack on the West's most prominent "phallic symbol".

Bernard Lewis subsequently justified George W. Bush's launching of the global 'War on Terrorism' in his phantasmic 2003 book *Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror*. First by reinforcing his earlier seeding of the mantra of 'the roots of the irrational Muslim rage', and extending those roots to Islam itself:

'But Islam, like other religions, has also known periods when it inspired in some of its followers a mood of hatred and violence. It is our misfortune that we have

to confront part of the Muslim world while it is going through such a period, and when most – though by no means all – of that hatred is directed against us.' --- Bernard Lewis, Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror, pg. 25

And then clairvoyantly predicting the following self-serving conclusions as his last word:

'If the fundamentalists are correct in their calculations and succeed in their war, then a dark future awaits the world, especially that part of it that embraces Islam.' --- Ibid. Chapter IX: The Rise of Terrorism, pg. 164

'If freedom fails and terror triumphs, the peoples of Islam will be the first and greatest victims. They will not be alone, and many others will suffer with them.' --- Ibid. Afterword, December 1, 2003, pg. 169

The Collateral Damage to Language for Synthesizing the Doctrinal

Motivation of Islamofascism

Before we continue further, it is necessary to deconstruct the crafty use of language for synthesizing the aforementioned propaganda to fuel the "War on Terror". The following is extracted from Project Humanbeingsfirst's very critical response to the CAIR (Council on American Islamic Relations) Report titled Calling CAIR to Account for its Omissions, for their egregiously omitting the most crucial fact of the matter in their otherwise stellar documentation of the rise of Islamophobia in America. The CAIR report (which incidentally underscores the observation that the name Council on American Islamic Relations sounds awfully similar to the Council on Public Relations founded by Edward Bernays to recast systems of propaganda into a new respectable light as "public relations" after World War II, the pathetic report is evidently serving the same function) was issued in collaboration with the Center for Race & Gender at the University of California, Berkeley. The significance of the following dismantling from first principles, beginning with the very use of language and the resemantification of words to construct the propaganda system of Islamofascism, will not be lost to the builders of tall totem poles who worry about having plausibly sound doctrinal foundations in order to have propaganda stand at all.

Let's examine the usage of the word "Islam" by Bernard Lewis.

Unlike Christians and Christianity, Muslims have two completely separate words to designate the people who proclaim to follow the religion or are born into that culture (Muslims) vs. the divine religion (Islam). Any time you see one terminology aliasing for another, you might do well to remember that there is some axe to grind somewhere. Bernard Lewis is the venerable master of this obfuscation being amiably carried by CAIR without reservation. Bernard Lewis began his treatise "Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror" with the following gem:

"It is difficult to generalize about Islam. To begin with, the word itself is commonly used with two related but distinct meanings, as the equivalents both of Christianity, and Christendom. In the one sense, it denotes a religion, as system of beliefs and worship; in the other, the civilization that grew up and flourished under the aegis of that religion. The word Islam thus denotes more than fourteen centuries of history, a billion and a third people, and a religious and cultural tradition of enormous diversity." --- Bernard Lewis, Crisis of Islam, pg. 1

That last sentence is the diabolical deception with which imperial craftsmanship subverts our religion: "The word Islam thus denotes more than fourteen centuries of history, a billion and a third people, and a religious and cultural tradition of enormous diversity."

According to the Author of the Holy Qur'an upon which the religion of Islam is based, the word "Islam" denotes only, and only, the following:

"This day have I perfected for you your religion and completed My favor on you and chosen for you Islam as a religion;" Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Maeda verse fragment 5:3

الْيُوْمَ أَكْمَلْتُ لَكُمْ دِينَكُمْ وَينَكُمْ وَ وَلَنْكُمْ وَالْتُمَمْتُ عَلَيْكُمْ نِعْمَتِي وَرَضِيتُ لَكُمُ الْإِسْلَامَ دِينًا

Indeed. Islam is the name of a religion, "deen" (الْإِسْلَامَ دِينًا).

That is the only, repeat only, context in which the word "Islam" can be legitimately used. It is the only context in which Qur'an has used it, indicating a divine religion to which the Author of the Qur'an itself gave the name "Islam". The people didn't chose that name. Whether or not someone believes in Qur'an's "divinity" is irrelevant to us here; that is what the Book and the Religion upon which Bernard Lewis is proffering his imperial scholarship, itself proclaims.

This is very significant. The word "Islam" is quite distinct from the word used to designate Islam's followers and the affairs of its followers. That separation of terminology is itself espoused in the Holy Qur'an by virtue of having a separate terminology to refer to the followers. Once again, while this may sound repetitious, but to the Western mind wholly attuned to referring to Christians and Christianity with the same root word devolving from their God named "Christ", no amount of repetition can ever be sufficient to drive the point home. The Qur'an itself defined a different nomenclature to name its followers; the followers didn't:

"Our Lord! make of us Muslims, bowing to Thy (Will), and of our progeny a Muslim nation, bowing to Thy (will); and show us our place for the celebration of (due) rites; and turn unto us (in Mercy); for Thou art the Oft-Returning, Most Merciful."
Holy Qur'an Surah Al-Bagara 2:128

رَبَّنَا وَاجْعَلْنَا مُسْلِمَيْنِ لَكَ وَمِنْ ذُرِّيَّتِنَا أُمَّةً مُسْلِمَةً مُسْلِمَةً مُسْلِمَةً لَكَ وَأَرِنَا مُسْلِمَةً لَكَ وَأَرِنَا مَنَاسِكَنَا وَتُبْ عَلَيْنَا اللَّوَابُ التَّوَّابُ التَّوَّابُ اللَّوَيِمُ اللَّحِيمُ اللَّحِيمُ

This separation of terminology between the name of the religion and the name of its followers is in fact a singular distinction of Islam in comparison to all the other Abrahamic religions. Indeed, in comparison to all major religions of the world including Hinduism, Buddhism, Confucianism, and Zoroastrianism, none of which feature such a clear linguistic separation of nouns in their own respective scriptures. For instance, while the followers of (prophet?) Zoroastra are called *Parsis*, that word came about culturally rather than scripturally by way of the geographic region, Persia, where his followers originated and flourished.

This is why followers of Prophet Muhammad for instance, are not called "Mohammedans", nor believers of Islam "Islamic", "Islamist", Arabist, etc. except by the prejudicial orientalists.

The word designated in the Holy Qur'an for human beings who are Muslims, regardless of good or bad people, pious or murderers, sinners or saints, is "Muslims", or to be exact in the transliteration of verse 2:128 quoted above, "Muslimeen" (مُسْلِمَيْنِ).

The Muslims throughout the world, practicing and non practicing, by mere self-identification alone, without requiring any certification of faith from a pontiff, are referred in the Holy Qur'an as "Muslim Umma", or to be exact in the transliteration of verse 2:128 quoted above, "Ummat-e-Muslima" (مُسْلِمَةُ); a single Muslim nation bound solely by an ideology named "Islam", rather than by geography,

race, ancestry, ethnicity, socio-economic class, profession, or gender.

All who misuse the Qur'anic terminology, Muslims and non-Muslims alike, are either ignorant peoples – and there are always plenty of "learned morons" and parrots in every epoch who are deftly planted on the pulpit – or, the respected apprentices of Machiavelli. In the latter case, they deliberately try to subvert the religion of Islam by associating it with the inglorious non Islamic deeds in the rich imperial history of Muslims. Associating empire, imperial history, culture, civilization, etc., with the religion of Islam as defined in its singular scripture the Holy Qur'an, is a bold non sequitur. See the multi-part case study "Islam: Why is the Holy Qur'an so easy to hijack?" where this Bernard Lewis fabrication: "To begin with, the word itself is commonly used with two related but distinct meanings, as the equivalents both of Christianity, and Christendom. ... The word Islam thus denotes more than fourteen centuries of history, a billion and a third people, and a religious and cultural tradition of enormous diversity." is shown to be a clever big lie of a sophisticated, but ultimately still only a "vulgar propagandist", in much greater depth even though this brief deconstruction already suffices. (See The Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent below for the source of this accurate epithet.)

One can immediately see the result of such gratuitous binding. It enables drawing false and specious associations by overloading the semantics in an already well-defined nomenclature.

That is the principal basis for subliminally, as well as cognitively, binding something virtuous (the religion) with something abhorrent (the vile deeds of the peoples, their kings, their cultures, their civilization). Thus, when the word Islam is mentioned, the abhorrent, or whatever is deemed abhorrent by Oriental scholarship, naturally springs to the mind of the seduced.

Based solely on that premeditated collateral damage to language that Samuel Huntington, the late circus clown of empire at Harvard, diabolically made the already quoted statement on "Islam" in his treatise "The Clash of Civilizations". It is reproduced again because now we dissect it from the language point of view:

"The underlying problem for the West is not Islamic fundamentalism. It is Islam, a different civilization whose people are convinced of the superiority of their culture and are obsessed with the inferiority of their power. The problem for Islam is not the CIA or the US Department of Defense. It is the West, a different civilization whose people are convinced of the universality of their culture and believe that their superior, if declining, power imposes on them the obligation to extend that culture throughout the world. These are the basic ingredient that fuel conflict between Islam and the

West." (Samuel Huntington, The Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of World Order, 1996, pg. 217)

Since when did the word "Islam" indicate civilization? A civilization is an aggregate of peoples, harboring one or more cultures, one or more languages, one or more customs, one or more religions. Like the Western civilization which has the nations of German, French, English, American, Russian, etceteras, that many languages, and many religions are practiced in these nations, including atheism, Christianity, and Islam. Whereas Islam is a religion, a "deen". A religion can be practiced in any civilization, by any peoples, including right here in the USA.


Samuel Huntington's teacher was evidently Bernard Lewis, as evidenced from their common resemantification of the word "Islam". This is how Huntington was able to demonize Islam: "The underlying problem for the West is not Islamic fundamentalism. It is Islam," and "These are the basic ingredient that fuel conflict between Islam and the West." We have already witnessed the passage above in which Samuel Huntington cited his Princeton University confrere Bernard Lewis as the author of 'The Roots of Muslim Rage' and the first authority on the "Clash of Civilizations". They incestuously reinforce each other rather well, don't they? Cass Sunstein, the other propagandist Harvard Law professor and President Obama's information tzar, referred to such incestuous self-reinforcements in his erudite paper on "Conspiracy Theories" in the more refined academic jargon, as "crippled epistemology".

As we perceptively observe, it is the diabolical misuse of language which first and foremost enables drafting a thesis like "Clash of Civilizations". (See *Prisoners of the Cave* Chapter 9 which deconstructs Huntington's craftsmanship in more depth.) Such theses, made erudite and plausible sounding with the IVY League stamp, are thence crafted into simple propaganda to seed the Mighty Wurlitzer's many compositions. It is repeated ad nauseam thereafter.

Since Western people's point of reference is mainly *Christianity* where the common root word denotes everything, the people "Christians", the religion "Christianity", the civilization "Christendom", even the God "Christ" – in fact everything that Bernard Lewis falsely and maliciously imputed to Islam on page 1 of his propaganda manual "Crisis of Islam" – the same *kitchen sink* linguistics devilishly attributed to Islam, repeatedly, makes it believable for the un-informed Western public.

Thus, maligning Islam before the un-informed masses becomes a child's play for the Mighty Wurlitzer. Effective propaganda is always targeted only at the ordinary un-informed peoples, "the crowd of simpletons and the credulous", as examined in the report Manufacturing Dissent. Its core purpose is to control public behavior by instilling false beliefs.

And we can see its rich harvest not in just the 'United We Stand' against "militant Islam" and the unfettered "imperial mobilization" and "shock and "awe", but in the Qur'an burning, Islam bashing, and other Islamophobic festivities of the ignorant people against Muslims. It is surely not a surprise then, that Islamophobia should have increased steadily in the United States and the West since 9/11. Islamophobia is only the desired and natural effect of the propaganda system of the Mighty Wurlitzer. Like the festering boil on the protesting bride's lip, it is only symptomatic of the real syphilis beneath the virtuous wedding gown.



Caption The Hegelian Dialectic side
A: Reviling Islam (Islam is of The
Devil by Pastor Terry Jones)

This crucial analysis unarguably illustrates how imperial scholars incestuously reinforce each other in implanting the

"doctrinal motivation" mentioned by Zbigniew Brzezinski as being necessary for "imperial mobilization". It was pretty much the same protocol in the quest for Lebensraum of the Third Reich in yesteryear. At Nuremberg, the Nazi Party's chief philosopher, Alfred Rosenberg, was hanged for his mumbo jumbo. The third Reich's chief of propaganda, Reichminister of Propaganda and National Enlightenment, Dr. Joseph Goebbels, committed suicide after administering cyanide to his wife and six young children before the long arm of justice could wring his neck. Just thought I'd mention that in passing.

Such premeditated collateral damage to language, with the concomitant priming of doctrinal fuel for the long gestating mantras of "The Roots of Muslim Rage" years in advance of its catastrophic unveiling, is what so trivially enabled forging a bipartisan political consensus on the US foreign policy of aggression and invasion in the immediate aftermath of the shock effects of 9/11. The Patriot Act I was passed quickly without reading, and the entire United States Congress, save one member, gave its green light to invade Afghanistan. The mightiest and richest nation on earth patriotically savaged the poorest and weakest nation on earth in a broad political consensus. The American peoples 'United We Stand' saluting the flag, and motor car bumper stickers proudly proclaimed "We Support Our Troops".

Please refer back to Zbigniew Brzezinski's quoted passages above to refresh your memory that he had shrewdly stated in 1996: "Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except

in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat." The Grand Chessboard effectively blueprints the entire chain of causal linkages which have empirically transpired since 9/11, exactly as it was for Hitler's Mein Kampf.

Furthermore, also recall the previously quoted clairvoyant statements made by the so called Terrorism Study Group. These too lend prima facie evidence for how the Mighty Wurlitzer premeditatedly harnessed the 'searing' or 'molding' event of 9/11, the "new Pearl Harbor", to successfully capitalize on the pre-implanted public myths of Islamofascism to launch the perpetual "War on Terror". For, in all that confusion surrounding the event of Catastrophic Terrorism, fait accompli of the despotic response by the sole superpower was automatically seeded because "Like Pearl Harbor, such an event [divides] our past and future into a 'before' and 'after.'" Now anything goes because "what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times", including launching aggressive wars against innocent nations, and turning one's own nation into a police-state. Ex post facto, print all about it in the New York Times!

Thus, also recall the previously mentioned chutzpah of their mea culpae, ex post facto, led by the Iraq Study Group in 2005 blaming "intelligence failure" for the missing WMDs in Iraq, and the New York Times in 2008 blaming the Pentagon, see Pentagon's Message Machine Behind TV Analysts, Pentagon's Hidden Hand, April 20, 2008. More such revelations will continue to occur as world government is incrementally cemented. Someday, even sixth graders will learn about it with a tad more honesty than the public is permitted to know today, just as school children candidly learn today about the genocide of the native American Indians on their own land.

What had appeared to casual observers who had been interested enough to read this stuff before the events of 9/11, to be only academic psychoanalyses of the American public, became the actual reality of "imperial mobilization" exactly as was so boldly foretold in these public writings.

It is also useful to recall at this point that the US Chief prosecuting counsel at Nuremberg, Robert H. Jackson, had declared on hearing the feigned protestations from the Nazi leadership on trial that they didn't know anything about Hitler's plans for *Lebensraum*:

"The plans of Adolf Hitler for aggression were just as secret as Mein Kampf, of which over six million copies were published in Germany" --- Justice Robert H. Jackson in his closing speech at Nuremberg, on Friday, 7/26/1946, Morning Session, Part 3, Trial of the Major War Criminals before the International Military Tribunal

Thus, Islamophobia steadily rising even in the tenth year of the catastrophic terrorism of 9/11 as documented by <u>CAIR and the University of California</u>, <u>Berkeley</u>, is a direct descendent of the Dynamics of Mantra Creation for "Islamofascism". One can no more describe the effects of Islamophobia without also describing its first cause, the American *Mein Kampfs* written by Jewish hands in Muslim blood to launch "*imperial mobilization*", than one can describe the color of a tree without describing its first cause, the DNA of the tree.

Don't these scholars know their own literature? Can't they judge motivation? Can they not add two plus two to equal four? Will they also brazenly feign on their own day of reckoning that they were just highly paid ignorant morons unaware of the new *Mein Kampfs* rather than the learned scholars they are now presented to be?

Only vulgar propagandists and traffickers in truth will hide the causal linkages between pre-planned doctrines and the unfolding reality. That is a crime against the people! And only fools and useful idiots among them will pretend to not understand that crime. And that is the overarching success of the Mighty Wurlitzer. The myth of militant Islam has been successfully cast into perceived reality for the public.

The Hegelian Dialectic Militant Islam vs. Moderate Islam


The preceding success of the Mighty Wurlitzer effectively enables introducing the Hegelian Dialectic of "moderate Islam".

Once demonized sufficiently with "militant Islam" and "islamofascism", with "Islamophobia" sufficiently priming the public, the new propaganda slogan automatically becomes: we want to "reform Islam" for a more "moderate Islam"!

To mobilize this new devil like the previous one for "militant Islam" also requires the same "high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification." as perceptively observed by Zbigniew Brzezinski in The Grand Chessboard. Please refer back to the full quoted excerpt above to remind yourself of this fact.

Thus new comparable works of "doctrinal motivation" become available preaching "moderate Islam". These works and writings started appearing immediately in the aftermath of 9/11 with learned Muslim clerics making loud proclamations against "militant Islam" and speaking of "good Muslims"

vs. "bad Muslims" (see interview Shaykh Hamza Yusuf Hanson, San Jose Mercury News, Sunday Edition, September 16, 2001, cached). Clerics most faithfully echoing the core message of empire are immediately invited to the White House and to the Presidential Address in Congress by President George W. Bush Jr. and seated with Laura Bush and Tony Blair for dutifully speaking out against "Militant Islam" (watch CSPAN Presidential Address, September 20, 2001, see video image of Shaykh Hamza Yusuf with Laura Bush, Tony Blair, Donald Rumsfeld offering standing ovation to George W. Bush's pending invasions of Muslim nations along with the rest of United States Congress). Religious *fatwas* are issued against "militant Islam" and terrorism by "moderate" clerics in favor of "moderate Islam" (see Response to the Fatwa on Terrorism in the Service of Empire, this photograph reveals the *fatwa* granting cleric Tahir ul Qadri prominently seated and speaking at the World Economic Forum).



Caption Shaykh Hamza Yusuf Hanson, a fiery Muslim cleric from San Jose, California, convert from Christianity, founder of Zaytuna College in Berkeley to teach "moderate Islam" to American Muslims, attending George W. Bush's presidential address to US Congress on September 20, 2001, seated immediately behind British Prime Minister Tony Blair, American First Lady Laura Bush, and American Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld, giving standing ovation to the American president's announcement of perpetual war on "militant Islam". How does a convert Muslim cleric get such rapid security clearance that within just 9 days of the most catastrophic terrorism on America's soil, he is seated with the most powerful rulers of the world – and applauding their waging of barbaric wars upon Muslim nations? Only a long cultivated intelligence asset of the Mighty Wurlitzer for cognitive infiltration of the American and Western

Muslim Mind! That manufactured product, in 2012 was graciously anointed 42nd among "The World's 500 Most Influential Muslims", two places ahead of even Seyyed Hossein Nasr, the prolific Muslim scholar at George Town University, by some idiotic think-tank setup among Muslims as their House Nigger drumbeater for the Mighty Wurlitzer. (Photograph source <u>CSPAN</u>)



Caption Pakistani house niggers, Imran Khan and Tahir ul Qadri, seated on the massa's table at the Western super financial elite's World Economic Forum annual meeting in Davos, Switzerland, January 27, 2011. How did these two political "no-ops" of least significance get invited to world economic forum for the white man's recognition? They are neither financiers, nor industrialists, and nor do they hold any economic or financial ministerial position within the government of Pakistan. Yes, as Western intelligence assets managed by their local counterpart, both are being rewarded for selling the massa's pitch on "moderate Islam" (even in their occasional controlled dissent with the Pakistani establishment which is most dutifully towing the massa's full line on "militant Islam"). And Tahir ul Qadri specifically for his "600 page Fatwa on Terrorism". Both house niggers artfully retain the core axioms of massa on "militant Islam" to continually push the envelope of the Hegelian Dialectic forward as a self-fulfilling prophecy! (Photograph source: a reader submission)

New translations of the Holy Qur'an are marketed to "bring reform to Islam" by respectable progressive scholars (see Critique: Laleh Bakhtiar and The Sublime Quran. Shocking eye-catching news headlines in Westerndom's most prestigious newspapers announce their availability (see The Sunday Times of London, March 31, 2007, Wifebeating rejected in 'new' Koran).

And the same three ring circus is masterfully conducted by the Mighty Wurlitzer with the "moderate Islam" show added to play concurrently in the same broad arena with many other side shows (switching metaphor for appropriateness). The crucial difference in this instance however is that it is seemingly staged by "reform minded", progressive, as well as conservative Muslims themselves. Sophisticated and scholarly looking Muslim intellectuals are recruited for this purpose from across the intellectual spectrum (see <u>FAQ</u> What is an Intellectual Negro?).

Muslim bookstores prominently feature the "reform Islam" authors' works with glowing tributes: "This is the first edition of the Quran translated by an American woman. This modern, inclusive translation refutes past translations that have been used to justify violence against women." (see Kazi Publications, frontpage cached). Please refer to

The Sublime Quran

English Translation

Revised Edition

Translated by Laleh Bakhtian

Caption The Hegelian Dialectic side B: Reforming Islam "... Quran translated by an American woman. This modern, inclusive translation refutes past translations that have been used to justify violence against women." (The Sublime Quran By Laleh Bakhtiar)

<u>Critique: Laleh Bakhtiar and The Sublime Quran</u> where the following commonsense is noted with respect to the preceding statement:

'It is your grave misconception that Muslims beat their wives because the Holy Qur'an gives them permission to beat their wives. Muslims also kill their wives, do honor killings of their children and family members, and a thousand other grotesque and equally criminal things in Muslim societies — and the Holy Qur'an strictly forbids it all.

And Muslims do no more horrendous acts than the pious Western Christians and holy Western Jews who commit the most heinous crimes, and monumental

crimes against humanity which are on-going even as I write this. The white man today is calculatingly killing and raping far more Muslim women on a daily basis with "shock and awe", drone attacks, military occupation, to the thunderous silence of Western champions of human rights than any Muslims assaulting their wives in domestic quarrels because of 4:34. But of course it is Islam which needs to be reformed first with a new translation of the Holy Qur'an. Daniel Pipes must be feeling rather pleased with himself for this fortuitous gift.' --- Zahir Ebrahim in his letter of critique to Laleh Bakhtiar

It is evidently more effective if respectable looking mainstream Muslims themselves appear to drive the demand to "bring reform to Islam" for "moderate Islam" rather than Jews like Daniel Pipes, David Horowitz, Bernard Lewis, the late Samuel Huntington, the neo-cons at AIPAC, JINSA. AEI; Christians like the 700 Club, Quran burning pastor of the Church in Florida whose book on Islam is pictured above; the White House, the Pentagon; the think-tanks; the Western courts, et. al., appear to be driving it. The synergistic WWF wrestling matches however always only collect windfall profits for the same root promoter.

The revealing thing to observe here is the intriguing background of some of the most prominent among these "moderate Islam" shrill voices in America. They are often converts to Islam from Christian heritage and have become self-taught scholars of Islam in America with imposing command of Arabic. The loud mouth striving to "bring reform to Islam" by writing an entirely new English translation of the Holy Qur'an no less, titled The Sublime Quran (see image above), grew up as a Catholic of mixed Iranian-American parentage. She is Laleh Bakhtiar, Ph.D. in Education Psychology. As a linguist in Arabic and English, she employs the same re-semantification of the word "Islam" as Bernard Lewis and Samuel Huntington when she pitches "bring reform to Islam"! In the Critique: Laleh Bakhtiar and The Sublime Quran:

'You surely could not have meant 'reform the religion of Islam' for which the Holy Qur'an stated:

"This day have I perfected for you your religion and completed My favor on you and chosen for you Islam as a religion;" Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Maeda verse fragment 5:3

الْيُوْمَ أَكْمَلْتُ لَكُمْ دِينَكُمْ وَينَكُمْ وَ الْيُوْمَ الْمُعْمَتِي وَأَتْمَمْتُ عَلَيْكُمْ نِعْمَتِي وَرَضِيتُ لَكُمُ الْإِسْلَامَ دِينًا

You are going to reform what Allah [perfected]?

You surely must have meant to say 'reform the misunderstandings among the Muslims regarding Islam.'

Then why not just say exactly what you mean?

Does the statement "bring reform to Islam" mean the same thing as 'bring reform to Muslims' to a grammarian and linguist who has translated the Holy Qur'an from Arabic into English?' --- Zahir Ebrahim in his letter of critique to Laleh Bakhtiar

And the loudest mouth decrying "militant Islam" from the first day of 9/11 is of course Hamza Yusuf, convert to Islam from Orthodox Christianity. He was studying to be a male nurse in Santa Clara California where I knew him in the 1980s giving fiery Friday sermons to the delight of the pious Muslim worshipers, before he conferred upon himself the lofty honorific of "Shaykh" in the 1990s and started his own institute to teach "moderate Islam" to Americans. Called the Zaytuna Institute, now Zaytuna College in Berkeley. He is well respected among many American Muslims who swear by his scholarship with an almost cult like faith – the "moderate Islam". He has acquired international fame for his oratory and his command of the arcane in the Muslim writings of antiquity so revered by the majority of Muslims. He told the UK Guardian's Jack O'Sullivan in an article titled: 'If you hate the west, emigrate to a Muslim country', October 08, 2001:

' "Many Muslims seem to be in deep denial about what has happened," he says. "They are coming up with different conspiracy theories and don't entertain the real possibility that it was indeed Muslims who did this. Yet we do have people within our ranks who have reached that level of hatred and misguidance." '

Jack O'Sullivan introduced Hamza Yusuf in the lede to his aforementioned article with this description:

'Hamza Yusuf is arguably the west's most influential Islamic scholar. Many Muslims find his views hard to stomach, but he is advising the White House on the current crisis, and today he will be talking to religious leaders in the UK'.

As respected Muslim opinion makers bearing exactly the right credentials to appeal to their respective Muslim constituencies, they make great useful idiots and/or assets for this Hegelian

Dialectic just like their "militant Islam" counterparts, whether or not they are themselves aware of it. It is no different than the suicide bombers recruited for "militant Islam" and being handled by local intelligence handlers who themselves deeply believe in their divine mission quite oblivious to the reality that they are dancing to the Mighty Wurlitzer's tune. Unless of course, also like many of their counterparts in the theater of "militant Islam", they too were psychologically profiled and directly recruited as controlled sleeper assets of the Mighty Wurlitzer a long time ago for later harvesting.

Empiricism has the bad habit of revealing the obvious. It is especially pertinent to observe how this Hamza Yusuf character immediately sprung into prominent action as if on cue in the immediate aftermath of 9/11. When the rest of American news media was blaming "militant Islam" within 15 minutes of 9/11, Hamza Yusuf managed to get his interview published in the San Jose Mercury News in the very first Sunday's edition after 9/11, September 16, 2001, condemning "militant Islam" with pious indignation. And on September 20, 2001 was in the White House, and seated next to Laura Bush in Congress. And thereafter meeting British leaders selling the empire's story to Muslims in Britain.

No Trojan Horse agent of the Mighty Wurlitzer could have done more than Hamza Yusuf did – contribute directly to build consensus for invading Afghanistan and the 'War on Terror' by driving it from the angle of "moderate Islam".

It is no accident that each and every prominent proponent of "moderate Islam" and "reform Islam" also promulgates that 9/11 was done by "militant Islam" echoing the core-axiom of empire!

And this is precisely what betrays them, the fact that they are running with the foxes while hunting with the hounds. **Otherwise the Hegelian Dialectic would not work!**

The message to their own flock is simple but effective, drawn right from Edward Bernays text book on Propaganda quoted at the very beginning of this report, and Hitler's Mein Kampf. Just as Dr. Joseph Goebbels had a very simple message for corralling the Germans, these Muslim leaders have an equally simple message for their flock adapted from empire's singular core-axiom. First, in order to refresh one's memory, this is what is reported in Mein Kampf:

'The success of any advertisement, whether of a business or political nature, depends on the consistency and perseverance with which it is employed.

In this respect also the propaganda organized by our enemies set us an

excellent example.

It confined itself to a few themes, which were meant exclusively for mass consumption, and it repeated these themes with untiring perseverance.

Once these fundamental themes and the manner of placing them before the world were recognized as effective, they adhered to them without the slightest alteration for the whole duration of the War.

At first all of it appeared to be idiotic in its impudent assertiveness. Later on it was looked upon as disturbing, but finally it was believed.

But in England they came to understand something further: namely, that the possibility of success in the use of this spiritual weapon consists in the mass employment of it, and that when employed in this way it brings full returns for the large expenses incurred.

In England propaganda was regarded as a weapon of the first order, whereas with us it represented the last hope of a livelihood for our unemployed politicians and a snug job for shirkers of the modest hero type. ...

I learned something that was important at that time, namely, to snatch from the hands of the enemy the weapons which he was using in his reply. I soon noticed that our adversaries, especially in the persons of those who led the discussion against us, were furnished with a definite repertoire of arguments out of which they took points against our claims which were being constantly repeated.

The uniform character of this mode of procedure pointed to a systematic and unified training.

And so we were able to recognize the incredible way in which the enemy's propagandists had been disciplined, and I am proud to-day that I discovered a means not only of making this propaganda ineffective but of beating the artificers of it at their own work. Two years later I was master of that art.' [Mein Kampf, Adolph Hitler, Vol. 2, Chapter VI]

Now compare to what is repeated ad nauseam and with great consistency from virtually every "good" Muslim mosque pulpit and from every "good" Muslim institutional soapbox including the most prominent American Muslim civil rights organization CAIR noted earlier, each using their own diction of course to inflict precisely the following Propaganda for "moderate Islam":

- it was "militant Islam" which is responsible for 9/11 attacks,
- these are the "bad" Muslims, we are the "good" Muslims, we don't do terrorism,
- we must fight terrorism,
- we must support our government to fight the militants,
- and we must practice "moderate Islam" which is the true Islam,
- our blessed Prophet was a "moderate",
- he did not kill innocent peoples,
- the Qur'an forbids killing innocent people. --- Propaganda message of "moderate Islam"

Consequently, religion-based as well as secular-based voices of "moderate Islam", the lofty bearers of this propaganda feast for the "the crowd of simpletons and the credulous", are immediately effective in corralling the majority of "good" Muslims. They span the full gamut of persuasions from conservatives (Hamza Yusuf et. al.) to reform oriented progressives and seculars (CAIR et. al., Laleh Bakhtiar et. al.). All "good" Muslims end up "United We Stand" with the empire in its perpetual war against "militant Islam" following their respective pied pipers. This propaganda transcends the sectarian divide among the "good" Muslims in the West. This is the dominant characteristic of the vast majority of the 'United We Stand' mainstream Muslims.

To draw upon empiricism to validate, observe the "good Muslims" inextricably caught in this Hegelian Dialectic in <u>Muslims against Terrorism</u> (frontpage <u>cached</u>), and watch the rich and famous make <u>Proud to be American Muslims</u> videos to distance themselves from "militant Islam". Joseph Goebbels would be immensely proud of his legatees. At the peak of hubris, <u>Sieg Heil</u> is the only reality!

The few angry Muslims escaping Sieg Heil like the rest of the few angry citizens, but still caught in

the Hegelian Dialectic are corralled by the controlled dissent-space anxiously waiting to welcome them. See Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent below.

The controlled dissent is run very efficiently on a treadmill permitting the angry Muslims along with the rest of the Western public to vent their lungs out shouting in the streets, and their fingers out typing on the internet, before they return back to their jobs Monday morning feeling fresh from the weekend catharsis. The too angry among them who are not so easily placated by "weekend jihad" soon acquire the label "bad" or "terrorist". There is no escape for them so long as they remain caught in the Hegelian Dialectic.

Please go back a little to the Guardian interview with Hamza Yusuf quoted above and observe the uncanny exactness in the wording which almost mirrors the New York Times' anointing Noam Chomsky. Between "[Noam Chomsky is] arguably the most important intellectual alive" (New York Times) driving the Left, and "Hamza Yusuf is arguably the west's most influential Islamic scholar" (Guardian) driving the Muslim Right, both proclaiming "militant Islam" attacked America on 9/11 in great synergy with the White House and the Pentagon, the field is covered.

One heads the manufacturing dissent factory catching those who escape the Hegelian Dialectic of "militant Islam" vs. "moderate Islam", the other heads the manufacturing consent factory for "moderate Islam" against "militant Islam" beating the imperial drums.

Where you gonna go?

Those few who eventually wizen up to it all and fearlessly exit that Hegelian Dialectic altogether are now attempted to be corralled in warmly welcoming "conspiracy" groups strategically cultivated for exactly this purpose as part of "imperial mobilization" planning. As Cass Sunstein put it in "Conspiracy Theories", these groups lend "beneficial cognitive diversity" to aid statecraft defocus all the angry energies.

If the Hegelian Dialectic didn't get all the morally angry people as it did the vast majority of the public diabolically trapped between the false paradigm of "militant Islam" vs. "moderate Islam" and controlled dissent all sharing the empire's core-axioms, this trap catches the remaining majority. Watch how the most intelligent among this lot soon find themselves in the 9/11 Truth Movement. See <u>Toronto Hearings</u>: A strange cast of characters among 9/11 Truth Leadership.

That treadmill is strategically designed to occupy the remaining morally angry people studying 9/11 mysteries and how the WTC towers came down repeatedly calling for "new investigations". The

"history's actors" of course, unbeknownst to these bright lads, have already announced that this is precisely what they shall all be kept busy with: "We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do." In the meantime, the "history's actors" have acted again and created "new realities".

There is no exit from that trap either so long as one is kept occupied with the previous fait accompli leaving the "history's actors" free to enact new ones!

The aforementioned set of comprehensive fly traps pretty much ensnare what appears to this scribe to be close to ninety nine percent of the nation's citizenry. About the remaining odd percent (or two), Adolph Hitler had observed in his Mein Kampf: "the value of these [skeptics] lies in their intelligence and not in their numerical strength,"! No one pays any attention to them whatsoever. If they speak, they are first ignored, then reviled, and then made an offer they can't refuse. As part of "imperial mobilization" planning, statecraft ensured via the Patriot Acts, police state laws, "no fly lists", etc., that there remained no effective means for ordinary citizens to ever effectively mobilize themselves together on a single focussed goal of derailing "imperial mobilization" and therefore pose any threat whatsoever to their plans.

From the propaganda of Islamofascism to domestic police state was one short jump in this slick game of "imperial mobilization".

The exercise of primacy always is. And the role of the Mighty Wurlitzer, as we can now appreciate, is indispensable across the entire spectrum of social engineering to get people to consent to what is happening to them! Please refer back to the statements made by Aldous Huxley in his talk in 1961 quoted above: 'Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude!'

The perpetual 'war on terror' is not mere happenstance and over-reaction to catastrophic terrorism as some of empire's leading detractors too *innocent of knowledge* gullibly argue. The evidence presented here demonstrates it to be diabolically premeditated in no less a measure than the Third Reich's march to *Lebensraum* after the full disclosure of their intent in Mein Kampf. In both cases, the public had to be mobilized since "Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization." In our case, *Lebensraum* is world government, and as reasoned by Bertrand Russell, "World government could only be kept in being by force." (Bertrand Russell, Impact of Science on Society Ch. 2, pg. 37)

The Hegelian Dialectic Militant Islam vs. Moderate Islam will surely go down in history as among the greatest enablers of war, rivaling and perhaps surpassing both Communism vs. Fascism and Communism vs. Capitalism of the twentieth century. It is their legatee for the twenty-first century. As previously noted, it is already called "World War IV". The blood stains accumulated on all the saintly hands enabling it, as of those prosecuting it, won't be cleansed by all the perfumes of Arabia while they sleep holily in bed! (Shakespeare MacBeth) Fortunate are those who at least experience PTSD and can't sleep holily in bed (see Zahir Ebrahim, Letter: A Cure for America's War Veterans who have fertilized the 'arc of crisis' in Muslim blood).

The Hegelian Dialectic Militant Islam vs. Moderate Islam however is still designed to play a multifaceted role beyond the prima facie one of each of its individual components.

The mantra of "reform Islam" is the more pernicious of the two. While "militant Islam" has seditiously enabled police states in the West which all can experience themselves without having to read about it, "moderate Islam" is intended to enable the new world religion for these police states which few among the public are able to apprehend just yet.

Many useful idiots who play their role like actors on stage, some believing in the promise of "moderate Islam", have little understanding of the entire show, their script only being for Act II. Act I was obviously "militant Islam" in this Hegelian Dialectic.

Acts III and IV which are coming up next after the intermission for which the stage is now being set, is to harvest the calculated subversion of all established religions, specifically the religion of Islam, to pave the way for the introduction of Secular Humanism – the new religion of world Government (see Zahir Ebrahim, Islam vs. Secular Humanism and World Government).

Full Spectrum Primacy is the underpinning of all power calculus. Be it of the State, just the full title of Zbigniew Brzezinski's aforementioned book betrays what's already obvious: **"The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives"**. Or be it of the controlling oligarchy, which is also already obvious, and for which books upon books of respected establishmentarian scholars like Professor Carroll Quigley's **"Tragedy and Hope"**, openly disclose their overarching agenda being world government (see Zahir Ebrahim, <u>Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order</u>).

Empiricism confirms these facts.

94

The Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent

Having now perceptively understood the subtle, almost undetectably precise imperial craftsmanship of Bernard Lewis et. al., which forms the crucial seed for implanting the "doctrinal motivation and intellectual commitment" necessary for sustaining "imperial mobilization" via the Hegelian Dialectic "militant Islam" vs. "moderate Islam", it should not be surprising to discover that even the steward of public conscience for the West, Noam Chomsky, judged Bernard Lewis to be "just a vulgar propagandist"!

In a revealing interview on CBC, at just about that time:

'... now, until Bernard Lewis tells us that, and that's only one piece of a long story, we know that he is just a vulgar propagandist and not a scholar. So yes, as long as we are supporting harsh brutal governments, blocking democracy and development, because of our interest in controlling the oil resources in the region, there will be a campaign of hatred against us!' --- Interview to Evan Solomon, CBC, part-2, minute 5:50, December 9, 2003,

But in furthering our forensic and critical study of the Dynamics of Mantra Creation solely on the anvil of empirical political science, it is even more instructional to observe the omissions and commissions in Noam Chomsky's own vaunted dissent as "arguably the most important intellectual alive" (NYT). The disease of deception is evidently infectious among that clan.

Noam Chomsky himself continued to echo from the very day of September 11, 2001, and still maintains so in this tenth year of 9/11, that Osama Bin Laden and Al Qaeda carried out that *day of infamy* upon which all matters 'War on Terror' hinge!

Thus, strangely enough, despite all his famous dissent, Noam Chomsky has exactly managed to echo Bernard Lewis', Samuel Huntington's, the Pentagon's, the White House's, the incumbent as well as all living former presidents of the sole superpower, the Israelis', and the world Zionists' collective mantra of "Islamofascists" being the perpetrators of 9/11.

Noam Chomsky is of course, also the most outspoken champion of Wikileaks in his otherwise erudite disagreements with his opposite numbers in the establishment.

All this public fracas of dissent against the establishment is somewhat akin to the American and

Russian spies strategically collaborating with each other despite their often antagonist tactical missions, for the greater common good of the military-industrial complexes of both nations during the Cold War. When we perceptively read the works of Anthony Sutton, Carroll Quigley, and W. Cleon Skousen, it becomes obvious that the uber-capitalists and the uber-communists where in fact covertly collaborating at crucial core nexuses despite all their overt *WWF wrestling* style public antagonisms. Both serving the interests of the same financiers. In other words, at the highest levels of social control, there is evidently no difference of overarching agendas among its seemingly antagonistic players, each one of them merely playing a theatrical public role. Shakespeare aptly dramatized it in *As you like it*:

'All the world's a stage,

And all the men and women merely players:

They have their exits and their entrances;

And one man in his time plays many parts,

His acts being seven ages.'

It should now be self-evident that Bernard Lewis and Noam Chomsky together, while seemingly cogent opposites, in fact represent the class of counterpoint tunes of the Mighty Wurlitzer which nicely bookend all public discourse between the artificial bifurcation of Right and Left, Conservative and Liberal, Establishmentarian and Rebel, Totalitarian and Anarchist, Consent and Dissent. It is the two antipodes of a fabricated Hegelian Dialectic to respectively engineer both consent and dissent in order to sustain "imperial mobilization".

Noam Chomsky himself argues the veracity of this observation in his own erudite manner:

'The smart way to keep people passive and obedient is to strictly limit the spectrum of acceptable opinion, but allow very lively debate within that spectrum - even encourage the more critical and dissident views. That gives people the sense that there's free thinking going on, while all the time the presuppositions of the system are being reinforced by the limits put on the range of the debate.'

and yet, he just as willingly participates in it.

Thus, observe that Chomsky too echoes that there is a 'Muslim Rage', but instead of it being deemed "irrational" like Bernard Lewis posits in his "vulgar propagandist" scholarship, Noam Chomsky calls it a rational rage, a "blowback" to American foreign policy and the history of American political aggression! See Chomsky's money minting booklet "911" by Seven Stories Press; and how

it was cobbled together in "<u>The Closet Capitalist</u>", where the Hoover Institution critic observed: "Chomsky's marketing efforts shortly after September 11 give new meaning to the term war profiteer. In the days after the tragedy, he raised his speaking fee from \$9,000 to \$12,000 because he was suddenly in greater demand." [See: <u>The Cunning Dissent of Noam Chomsky Revisited in 2015 by Zahir Ebrahim</u>]

While dissent which retains the core-lies of empire when vehemently critiquing its effects is typical of all prominent controlled assets, in this instance of "arguably the most important intellectual alive", it would perhaps be more apropos to give it the same epithet that Noam Chomsky anointed Bernard Lewis with. Just to call a spade a spade – and no more.

Who else echoes that same "vulgar propagandist's" core-lie of empire, of 9/11 being invasion from abroad and the work of "militant Islam", in deep consonance with Bernard Lewis, the Pentagon, the White House, and the neo-con think-tankers? Surprise, surprise, it is the other patron saint of latter day dissent, Congressman Ron Paul, echoing exactly Noam Chomsky's theme of 9/11 being a "blowback" by malcontent Muslims. Ron Paul's absurdities are dismantled in My beef with the stellar congressman Hon. Ron Paul.

As a Muslim, I hope I might be forgiven if I observe some ground floor reality check to put all this specious "blowback" in hegemonic context. I don't see any such naturally percolating "blowback" rage in any significant tenor in any Muslim country despite what the white man's burden has done to us worldwide, except perhaps in the three nations militarily occupied by Israel and the United States today, Palestine, Iraq, and Afghanistan. Even there, all I see are some manufactured "insurgents" being "tickled" into expressing a manufactured rage on demand. This is deconstructed in great detail in the two comprehensive reports Manufacturing Dissent and Insurgency vs. Counter-Insurgency. [Also: The Dying Songbird]

Suffice it to expose here this sham of "blowback" very briefly. The Director of the CIA, Michael Hayden, openly expressed the empire's modus operandi of "tickling" terrorists into existence thusly: "We use military operations to excite the enemy, prompting him to respond. In that response we learn so much". When the poor victims and their unfortunate survivors are thus sufficiently "tickled" with the inconsolable loss of their loved ones under the world's mightiest superpower's barbaric "shock and awe", they become prime harvest for empire's other long running mantra, "God is on your side" (where God changes sides at will as expedient – see Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization for details).

That harvest of malcontents is managed by local intelligence handlers and the Pentagon's Black-

ops, to steer the "tickled" patsies natural lust for justified revenge on the aggressors onto preselected local targets. The US Army field manual cited above is apropos to quote once again as a reminder that this is indeed how the world of hegemony actually works and this report on the Mighty Wurlitzer isn't a James Bamford novel: "US Army Intelligence must have the means of launching special operations which will convince host country governments and public opinion of the reality of the insurgent danger ... US Army Intelligence should seek to penetrate the insurgency by means of agents of special assignments, with the task of forming special action groups among the most radical elements of the insurgency."

Running false-flag operations in this way by setting up diversionary suicidal patsies harvested from "among the most radical elements of the insurgency", while the more precision oriented lethal hit is handled covertly by the skilled Black-Ops, becomes a breeze. This is of course the empiricism of all major assassinations of political leaders worldwide — compartmentalized disposable patsies independently working on narrow tasks for a common boss, often unbeknownst to each other. That is the prime modus operandi to fabricate the terrorist acts — called "insurgency". That's the sum total of the "blowback" of vaunted dissent narrators like Ron Paul and Noam Chomsky, and the new dissent chiefs like Paul Craig Roberts who, after having his fill of 900 mice, now wants to lead the mice against the primacy of the feline predators (see Zahir Ebrahim, Rebuttal to Paul Craig Roberts': 'Washington Arrogance has Fomented a Muslim Revolution').

Once the "insurgency" is crafted, the organs of state, the military, the police, the intelligentsia, the media, the pundits, all across the world all naturally focus on the visible terrorist act of these patsies and their poor victims! Since that is all that the public is permitted to see by the Mighty Wurlitzer, the empire's next move is naturally sanctioned. That is called "counter-insurgency". Some call it invasion and occupation. That is also the mechanism of the super-terrorism of 9/11 in a nutshell – diversionary tactics employed as shadow play which was propagandized by the Mighty Wurlitzer worldwide, while the WTC towers were expertly and with military precision brought down with controlled demolition of some kind. The intimate dynamics of mid-wifery between insurgency and counter-insurgency can sustain "imperial mobilization" indefinitely!

See <u>Zahir Ebrahim</u>: <u>Between Imperial Mobilization and Islamofascism</u> and <u>FAQ</u>: <u>What is an Intellectual Negro</u> for the role played by the villainous Muslim House Negroes in promoting the hectoring hegemons' own propaganda, vs. the pathetic ground realities of the Muslim masses, the so called "revolutionaries", in the <u>Preface to Prisoners of the Cave</u>, all very patiently *waiting for Allah* for deliverance!

Mining Propaganda to Uncover Agenda BEFORE it is a Fait Accompli

Returning back to the "vulgar propagandist" (I keep that epithet in quotes deliberately to emphasize the fact that apart from its veracity, it's also the product of *WWF wrestling*), we already see the empirical results of the uncannily predictive clairvoyance of America's and Israel's greatest establishmentarians concerning 'Islamic Terror' which goes by many names including "blowback".

The fate of Afghanistan, Iraq, Pakistan, the entire Middle East, the "arc of crisis" and the "global zone of percolating violence", all have something real in common today because of such amazing fortune telling by the masters of discourse years in advance: "the peoples of Islam will be the first and greatest victims." (see Bernard Lewis quoted above) Please refer back to the already mentioned report: Instrumenting Kosovo in the 'arc of crisis' and the 'global zone of percolating violence'.

So, ought the public to take the superlative masters of discourse and the assorted "vulgar propagandist" a tad more seriously when they initially spew new absurdities en route to successful mantra creation years in advance? And, before its eventual harvesting under the cataclysmic shockeffects of the "new pearl harbor", makes their predictive boast: "They will not be alone, and many others will suffer with them", an unalterable grotesque reality du jour?

One of course already observes some of that Bernard Lewis' sponsored clairvoyant suffering of the innocent in America itself. While its own body-count is minuscule in comparison to Iraq, Afghanistan, Pakistan, others experiencing 'revolutions' and 'democracy' in the Middle East, not to mention PTSD suffered by its veterans which too pales in comparison to what the valiant have wrecked upon the 'untermenschen' (see <u>Letter to Editor: PTSD and its Cure</u>), one with eyes wide open substantially notes that:

- the American national debt is soaring because of its perpetual 'War on Terror' and the financial malfeasance of its financial elite;
- its bankruptcies and joblessness have shattered the 'American Dream' of its public;
- its de-industrialization by having off-shored all its manufacturing and production capacity is at an all time peak;
- the mighty superpower is now a police-state the likes of which was hitherto only

presaged in fictional narratives like George Orwell's 1984;

 and the once mighty industrial nation may be merged into a larger supra-national regional entity similar to the EU as a consequence of all these crises conditions.

Can one therefore, perceptively not surmise that the deceased Ali Baba's replacement nemesis will be a *Super Ali Baba Plus Plus* to complete the job started by Zbigniew Brzezinski and Israeli Intelligence agents' clairvoyance? What can this new threat possibly be that it will even eclipse Osama Bin Laden in his magical prowess?

Can the public shrewdly anticipate and prepare for the next boogeyman based on the tunes now being played by the Mighty Wurlitzer, rather than be shell-shocked into acquiescence by its phantasmic unveiling? Just as the world was, and still is, shell-shocked into acquiescence due to the <u>Catastrophic Terrorism of 9/11</u> which, like the Pearl Harbor, inevitably divided our past and our future into 'before' and 'after'.

One often hears it stated in the news and in the Western governments' increasingly draconian regulations to keep their public safe from terrorists, that 9/11 changed everything. Well, the super 9/11 of the Super Ali Baba Plus Plus so clairvoyantly predicted by George W. Bush, "will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison" (Bush White House, Feb. 13, 2008)!

Can one intelligently not data-mine propaganda itself, in the backdrop of the Mighty Wurlitzer's unhidden motivations and agendas, to accurately perceive and preempt what's up next?

The following passage from the 2500 years old Art of War is pertinent backdrop to the aforementioned chutzpah of empire – a zeitgeist in which the scholars of empire announce their intentions brazenly years in advance, while the detractors of empire excel in the ex post facto narrations of what is already a fait accompli after the "history's actors" have acted and created "new realities". The instruments of empire award their own antagonists high honors and great accolades for their bold rehearsal and dissection of histories amidst the fawning adulation of all their followers having their new 'ah hah' and 'never again' moments for the first time in their life. And the cycle repeats again and again for each new act of the "history's actors":

- '8. To see victory only when it is within the ken of the common herd is not the acme of excellence.
- 9. Neither is it the acme of excellence if you fight and conquer and the whole

Empire says, "Well done!"

10. To lift an autumn hair is no sign of great strength; to see the sun and moon is no sign of sharp sight; to hear the noise of thunder is no sign of a quick ear.'

Paying particular attention to item 10., one may conclude that to perceive and anticipate in a timely manner that which is not obvious to others leads to many tactical as well as strategic advantages, both in the battle of hegemony and secrecy, and, in the battle against tyranny. Which is why the public and their lauded dissent chiefs are always, but always, kept busy in idiotic puppetshows by the Machiavelli when it is most essential that they be shrewdly sighted.

Public preemption can be effective in <u>derailing imperial mobilization</u> only BEFORE it becomes a fait accompli. Ex post facto, when the public eventually wakes up to ascertain that it was indeed all a puppetshow, it is inevitably too late to do anything about the matter except to "study" what the "history's actors" have left behind! <u>Obsessing with the previous fait accompli</u> when dissent chiefs lead the effort, evidently, is also a calculated part of Machiavelli. The principle of temporal urgency in maintaining utmost deception (and secrecy) while "new realities" are being planned, orchestrated, and harvested, was articulated by Niccolò Machiavelli in <u>The Prince</u>. The modern day version of this predatory statecraft is the National Security Council Directive NSC 10/2 for creating cover stories and red herrings alongside covert operations. See <u>Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory</u>.

As part of that plan to deflect public attention, those attempting to see through its fog of deception when a fait accompli can still be averted – before missiles have left their silos, before pen has been put to tortuous legalisms to sanction tyranny – are variously labeled as 'kooks', 'conspiracy theorists', 'delusional', 'denier', etc., their efforts infiltrated and subverted (as in cointelpro), and their energies defocussed by introducing what's cynically called "beneficial cognitive diversity" (see Cass Sunstein, and this counterpoint to dissent-chief David Ray Griffin's "eureka" moment on Cass Sunstein's "Conspiracy Theories").

So What's Next according to Project Humanbeingsfirst?

The Alien-UFO Agenda is one such future fait accompli in the works which can still be averted by the public becoming rationally informed about the demonic art of the Mighty Wurlitzer. The fact that:

- references to UFOs even made it into Wikileaks whistleblowing disclosures;
- the fact that the FBI recently made available a 1950 Roswell UFO memo lending "UFOs" a legitimacy in the gullible mind by way of it being held as a supposed "state-secret" for these past sixty years;
- the fact that US military is even playing war-games to interdict UFOs (USAF couldn't interdict 9/11 airplanes and are therefore determined, one surmises, to not fail against an alien UFO technology that is advanced enough to visit earth from another galaxy);
- the fact that there is a pertinent office at the United Nations, <u>UNOOSA</u>, with "the plan to make Unoosa the coordinating body for dealing with alien encounters [which] will be debated by UN scientific advisory committees and should eventually reach the body's general assembly", and a special UN

The Mighty Wurlitzer Cultivating the Next Hegelian Mind-Fck: A Super Ali Baba++ to Seed the Clash of Planets



Caption Earth must prepare for close encounter with aliens, say scientists UN should co-ordinate plans for dealing with extraterrestrials - and we can't quarantee that aliens will be friendly Evolution on alien worlds is likely to be Darwinian, which may mean extraterrestrials share our tendencies for violence and exploitation. (Image via UK Guardian Photograph: Rex)

Caption Earth must prepare for close encounter with aliens, say scientists UN should co-ordinate plans for dealing with extraterrestrials – and we can't guarantee that aliens will be friendly Evolution on alien worlds is likely to be Darwinian, which may mean extraterrestrials share our tendencies for violence and exploitation. (Image via UK Guardian, 10 January 2011 Photograph:

Rex)

Ambassador has been "tasked with co-ordinating humanity's response if and when extraterrestrials make contact";

• and the uptick in other bogus and absurd conversations on Aliens and UFO even appearing in the mainstream media, not to mention its unrelenting repetition in the so called "alternate media" and on the internet;

all indicate that its unveiling time is likely approaching near.

PROPAGANDA REDUX

ASK YOURSELF TO TEST YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT YOU HAVE JUST LEARNED

Is it not strange that the US Military is openly playing "war games" for the absurd possibility of an <u>Alien Attack</u> (National Geographic 2011 Documentary When Aliens Attack) within just 25 years of <u>President Ronald Reagan</u> expressing the <u>Beneficial Consequences of a Hypothetical Alien Threat From Outside This World</u> speaking at the UN General Assembly, Sept. 21, 1987?

Is it not absurd that they even appoint a UN Ambassador to greet the aliens with "souls" seeking to be baptised when they ask earthlings in English "Take me to your leader" (sic!)? What motivation drives the Hard Road to World Order which continually requires crafting new fabled threats, new absurdities, new wars, new crises?

Fabricating a public discourse on an absurd fantasy as if it's something real by couching it in the veneer of science (or declassified State-Secrets/whistleblowing) and then reacting to that invention at supra-national levels from the United Nations to the Catholic Church, only legitimizes such discourse thus setting the stage for the later creation of a new insurmountable global threat, the Clash of Planets.

If political scientists are to be believed on the utility of diabolical protocols for "imperial mobilization", then, "that exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification."

Its doctrinal seeds must be planted years in advance. This too will surely also be launched with "either you are with us, or with the aliens"! --- The Agenda Behind Aliens and UFOs.

With Osama bin Laden officially retired as Enemy No 1 on May 1, 2011, does it require a Cassandra to foretell What's Next? Please see What's next after Osama Bin Laden? What can make 'Sept. 11 pale by comparison'? Can we arm ourselves with perceptive wisdom such that we aren't fooled into 'United We Stand' with absurdities again and again? Please see Letter to Kerry Cassidy on the Alien-UFO Agenda.

If still unconvinced about the overarching motivation for all this Hegelian mind-fck – <u>WHY</u> do they try so damn hard to convince you of absurdities – please read <u>Zahir Ebrahim: Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order.</u>

The reaction to this super nemesis too will surely also be launched with "either you are with us, or with the aliens" false dialectics!

If the public can preempt that propaganda by focussing on unraveling the many facets and scenarios on what they Machiavellianly plan to do BEFORE they enact them, the vile psy-ops can surely be defeated BEFORE it becomes the new established "facts" on the ground for the globalists' coup de grâce: the final restructuring of the planet into <u>world government</u>.



Caption The Next Global Threat? A Super Ali Baba Plus Plus arriving in UFOs to terrify all mankind into uniting under the rule of one-world government? (The U.S. Air Force first began experimenting with flying saucers in the 1950s. Why to deceive the former USSR, or, to induce global mass panic? See Hadley

Cantril)

In order to perceptively engage the sophisticated finesse behind all this psychological mind-fck before it becomes fait accompli, please see: <u>Letter to Kerry Cassidy on the Alien-UFO Agenda</u> and <u>The Agenda Behind Aliens and UFOs - A Hegelian Mind-Fck Part-II</u>.

The Psychology of Fear

In order to perceptively comprehend the psychological basis of such absurd and fabricated threats which instill existential fears:

• whose import to enabling "*imperial mobilization*" was clearly envisaged by Zbigniew Brzezinski in 1996 in *The Grand Chessboard*:

"It is also a fact that America is too democratic at home to be autocratic abroad. This limits the use of America's power, especially its capacity for military intimidation. Never before has a populist democracy attained international supremacy. But the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being. The economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort are uncongenial to democratic instincts. Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization." (pgs. 35-36);

 whose raison d'être as the primary method for advancing "the "national interest" by means of organized violence", was taken as axiomatic in the 1963-64 secret study reported in the 1967 book <u>The Report From Iron Mountain</u>:

"It must be emphasized that the precedence of a society's war-making potential over its other characteristics is not the result of the "threat" presumed to exist at any one time from other societies. This is the reverse of the basic situation; "threats" against the "national interest" are usually created or accelerated to meet the changing needs of the war system. ... The military, or ostensible function of the war system requires no elaboration; it serves simply to defend or advance the "national interest" by means of organized violence. It is often necessary for a national military establishment to create a need for its unique powers—to maintain the franchise, so to speak. And a healthy military apparatus requires "exercise," by whatever rationale seems expedient, to prevent its atrophy." (pgs. 31,33);

• whose utility for effectively embarking on the "military transformation" required to achieve "full spectrum dominance" that wasn't "stillborn", was openly declared in the Project for the New

American Century (PNAC) report titled Rebuilding America's Defenses:

"Further, the process of transformation, even if it brings revolutionary change, is likely to be a long one, absent some catastrophic and catalyzing event – like a new Pearl Harbor. ... Until the process of transformation is treated as an enduring military mission – worthy of constant allocation of dollars and forces – it will remain stillborn" (pgs. 51,58);


and whose necessity for rapidly transforming an entire society, nation, or the whole world, in
the direction desired by the controlling oligarchy, was even discovered in the 1908 minute
books of the Carnegie Endowment for Peace by the Congressional Reece Committee
investigator Norman Dodd in 1954, and related by him in an interview before his death in
1982, <u>The Hidden Agenda of Tax Exempt Foundations for World Government:</u>
(https://youtube.com/watch?v=16_4Sgluk4Q)

"We are now at the year 1908, which was the year that the Carnegie Foundation began operations. In that year, the trustees, meeting for the first time, raised a specific question, which they discussed throughout the balance of the year in a very learned fashion. The question is: "Is there any means known more effective than war, assuming you wish to alter the life of an entire people?" And they conclude that no more effective means than war to that end is known to humanity. So then, in 1909, they raised the second question and discussed it, namely: 'How do we involve the United States in a war?'";

please refer to social engineering principles in <u>Further Study</u> for <u>Operation Gladio</u>, <u>Edward Bernays</u>, <u>Human Resources</u>, and <u>The Prisoner</u> television series fable of the 1960s on mind control. That topic was at the time clandestinely being experimented upon in secret military laboratories as in what was later revealed of the American version of it in <u>CIA's MK ULTRA</u>. The Prisoner fable uncannily captured the entire essence of behavior modification, from hard techniques of brain chemistry manipulation to soft techniques of Machiavelli and perception management. Especially watch episode "<u>It's your funeral</u>". Also see <u>Myth of the Cave</u> in Plato's 2500 years old classic *The Republic*, Book VII, page 300 (<u>book PDF</u>).

Closing Arguments

There is a lot to learn here on deliberate Machiavellian social engineering that is not taught even in the best universities in America (and the West), nor ever makes an appearance on CNN Headline News (and Time or Newsweek)! What we are speaking of here, of engineering the consent of the masses to get them to love their own servitude, far transcends the corporate advertising and marketing techniques taught in MBA programs which were principally pioneered by Edward Bernays. These techniques of course also attempt to bypass the cognitive mind and target manipulating the subconscious mind directly to sell a product, a lifestyle, or an idea.



However, while most recognize that advertising is a multi-billion dollar business and accept it as a matter of for-profit corporate modernity, few are aware of an order of magnitude more resources being perversely spent by tax-exempt foundations, and the tax-payers (quite unbeknownst themselves of course), on far more diabolical aspects of social engineering for "getting people to consent to what is happening to them. Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude!" (please once again refer to Aldous Huxley quoted at the very beginning to refresh your memory that I haven't made all this up)

Advertising Age's 2008 Marketer of the Year award to President Obama for his election campaign of the "Change" mantra, and the Nobel committee's awarding him the 2009 Nobel Peace Prize testify to the empiricism of this observation. The American nation easily bought that fiction of "Change", which was once again underscored by President Obama bombing Libya on the same day in 2011 that his predecessor bombed Iraq in 2003!

How did the American public buy that fiction? Once again, please refer back to the very beginning of this report and to Edward Bernays: "We are governed, our minds are molded, our tastes formed, our ideas suggested, largely by men we have never heard of."

Only a tiny handful in America did not buy that fiction. Among those handful who defied the wisdom of the entire American nation and their controlled dissent chiefs, see: Not-Voting is a 'YES' vote to Reject a Corrupt System which thrives on the facade of Elections and Democracy! And Mr. Obama – The Post Modern Coup.

This ignorance and gullibility among the college-educated modern public is despite the fact that Western academe is at the forefront of the vast majority of behavior control and social engineering research studies, often funded by, or in collaboration with, Western intelligence, military, and private tax-exempt foundations such as the Rockefeller Foundation, Carnegie Endowment, and Ford Foundation. The highly compartmentalized nature of such research ensures that few students and professors in on the ground breaking studies into human behavior can ever glean the bigger picture towards which they work so diligently to respectively earn their Ph.D. and research funding! Those few who do comprehend are invariably engaged with empire in the largely unhidden orchestration of social engineering. Or, are quickly recruited as agents and assets of the Mighty Wurlitzer.

Mighty Wurlitzer has no limit for its territory. The newsmedia, entertainment, academe, political parties, religious institutions, think-tanks, private foundations, government-funded organizations, and non-governmental organization (NGOs) alike, all house its agents and assets. And they each play their own tunes on their own specialized instruments under the supreme conduction of the Mighty Wurlitzer. The most notable among these is religion. Although the layman does not normally associate the pastor, rabbi, alim, mullah, swami, etc., with propaganda organs of state, but religion is in fact the most affected by the Mighty Wurlitzer – all throughout history. If we just reflect on the fact that more than three quarters of the world's people espouse some faith, the easiest cognitive infiltration and behavior control is directly through faith. The report: Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization, and the following challenge to a pious Muslim cleric who issued a 600-page Fatwa on Terrorism in the Service of Empire, indubitably illustrate this universal fact.

Today, fact and fiction have been merged to such an alarming degree with adept perception control that to be able to rationally separate them, to tell what is mere imagery of the Mighty Wurlitzer vs. the actual interconnected causal reality (cause and effect) of statecraft, can be as uncongenial to the cognitive mind as depicted by Plato for the prisoners bred in the underground cave since birth. The fact that the most brilliant minds remain unable to tell that 9/11 was an 'Operation Canned Goods'

even ten years into its vile enactment while America descends into a police-state in presumed reaction to it, demonstrates that it is not the brain hardware which is malfunctioning, but the brain software!

The inculcation of belief in authority figures and "experts" has reached pandemic proportions in the West. Indoctrination is today perhaps the greatest public plague afflicting the mightiest superpower on earth, a direct consequence of "dumbing down" the public in the name of higher education to craft self-obsessed economic widgets, narrow specialists, and superficial generalists for the Technetronic Era. The continued success of the Mighty Wurlitzer in "persuading" the public to accept absurdities to get them 'United We Stand' singularly relies on, and feeds off, this aspect of modernity. See <u>The lvy League Morons Syndrome</u>. Also see the deconstruction of Bernard de Mandeville's "The Fable of the Bees" in <u>The Seduction of Science and Technology</u>.

There is an undeniable categorical imperative before the Western peoples today. With the escalating belligerence towards Iran and the strategic dismantling of Pakistan palpably on the visible horizon, will the profoundly *innocent of knowledge* in the West allow themselves to be fooled once again into more criminal aggression upon civilian populations in the name of 'war on terrorism'. Or, will the people choose to not be (willingly) deceived by the Mighty Wurlitzer's many ruses at every level which continually justify the core axioms of "imperial mobilization" by way of deception? See *Peoples' Guilt and America's Profound Shame*.

It is precisely to invoke that *acquiescence* to premeditated fait accompli that Zbigniew Brzezinski self-servingly quoted in the opening pages of his seminal 1970 book *Between Two Ages – America's Role in the Technetronic Era*, the following specious rationalization for the turmoil to be purposely inflicted upon the 'lesser' humanity. The diabolically fabricated Hegelian Dialectic as the means to usher in *one-world government*, and attributing that manufactured zeitgeist to just the nature of transformation between two ages (for which nothing could be done about since the human misery which it entailed was natural and inevitable):

"Human life is reduced to real suffering, to hell, only when two ages, two cultures and religions overlap. . . . There are times when a whole generation is caught in this way between two ages, two modes of life, with the consequence that it loses all power to understand itself and has no standard, no security, no simple acquiescence." --- HERMANN HESSE, Steppenwolf (lede quote by Zbigniew Brzezinski in Between Two Ages, pg. 7, book PDF).

As an establishmentarian hectoring hegemon, Brzezinski again invoked the same sort of self-serving

rationalization to perpetuate American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives. He began his subsequent 1996 book The Grand Chessboard with the chauvinist sentence: "Hegemony is as old as mankind." Thus, by the logic of it, making the rest of the book a recipe for the exercise of America's uniquely unchallenged global power, and "especially its capacity for military intimidation", as the most natural human legacy for any supremacist nation to pursue. Nothing could, or ought, to be done about that predatory instinct for organized violence since "Hegemony is as old as mankind." And therefore, Brzezinski naturally proffered in his chauvinist conclusion, "In brief, the U.S. Policy goals must be un-apologetically twofold: to perpetuate America's own dominant position for at least a generation and preferably longer,..." (Ibid., pg. 215; see Prisoners of the Cave, Chapter 1).

The report <u>Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order</u>, examines how that specious recipe book for America's predatory primacy, as syntactically sugared war-mongering as it is, is itself only half the truth. As the Jewish proverb suggests, *'a half truth is a full lie'*. And as the Mighty Wurlitzer knows, in order to be effective, **the lie is different at every level**.

Contrary to Zbigniew Brzezinski's erudite prose which underlies the many compositions of the Mighty Wurlitzer, as the generation caught between two ages on the Grand Chessboard, we, the bearers of "Human life", have neither lost the power to "understand", nor lost the power to overturn the coercive "simple acquiescence" to artificially induced transition period of "real suffering". That understanding, and overturning, is the raison d'être for this document.

When <u>Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives</u> to orchestrate a <u>one-world government</u> is the ingrained doctrine among the 'ubermensch' and their instruments, it is trivial to come up with any logic, any rationalism for even the most atrocious barbarism inflicted upon the 'untermenschen'. Convince the public of absurdities and one can get them to acquiesce to any atrocity – from outright murderous invasion of other nations to torturing their civilians in the most horrendous conditions, to getting their own citizens to accept giving up their civil liberties without a sigh. Nothing new here – 'standard 007 issue' of the hectoring hegemons! That same Mighty Wurlitzer and its paymasters however, would be chewing on cyanide capsules in another situation. It is best not to forget that.

Baboons wear no clothes – even when they steal the sunglasses.

Sociopathy of Hegemony is the First-cause of all Evils

Referring back to Zbigniew Brzezinski's ode to hegemony in The Grand Chessboard quoted earlier, the indispensability of the Mighty Wurlitzer's media machinery in circumventing all domestic impediments to the "sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power", should now be self-evident and conclusive:

"Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat. ... [Because] the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being." Zbigniew Brzezinski, The Grand Chessboard, pgs. 211, 44

Sociopathy of Hegemony is the first-cause of an enslaved public mind. A problem that is as old as hegemony, as old as mankind.

It is the root problem from which all the macro social evils of imperial societies naturally follow. The sociopathy of hegemony, by definition, must extract all awareness and consciousness from the public mind to make it sheepish, gullible, malleable, and obedient to authority figures. The facile public mind is thus easily kept occupied in bread and circuses while living under the illusion of exercising personal control in free societies. Making the public mind is naturally the first art of all governance, both good and bad, otherwise no society can function cohesively, but it is necessarily pernicious when the illusion of freedom and free choice is to be maintained.

This mass behavior control technique spans the gamut from *caliphate* (rule in the name of God) to *democracy* (rule in the name of the people) --- for, unlike a blunt dictatorship which is often at the point of the bayonet, *caliphate to democracy* must depend on a measure of consent from the governed. The public must be made willing participants in their own enslavement. This report has conclusively demonstrated how that perversity is accomplished with the help of the Mighty Wurlitzer. What is tragically criminal about our modernity being rapidly driven towards one-world government, is that the entire world's public is being made to love its own servitude under the myriad tunes of the Mighty Wurlitzer.

Unless this corrupted global governance model is forcibly changed first, the Mighty Wurlitzer shall

continue to exist just as it has always existed in every empire from time immemorial, and all Books of Wisdom and Platitudes from antiquity to modernity shall remain constricted, impotent! I.e., "mah'joor", as the prescient warning given in the Holy Qur'an for instance, in Surah Al-Furqa'an 25:30. See the report: Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization.

Unless the sociopathy of hegemony is forcibly undone first by exposing its pernicious web of control, the public mind shall forever remain chained to its unturning neck in Plato's Cave. See the comprehensive book: <u>The Poor Man's Guide to Modernity</u>.

Further Study

As this is mainly a self-study note – because satisfying one's curiosity and moral imperatives by performing a modicum of due diligence is the order of business rather than merely reading digested excerpts or believing 'experts' – the following suggested minimal self-study will be useful in comprehending the pernicious role of intelligence assets which perpetually infiltrate the media and the academe. You will learn how society is manipulated in the direction of its ruling-elite's agendas, how ordinary peoples serving in positions of influence in the institutions of the ruling-elite involuntarily succumb to freely promulgating the oligarchs' agendas while remaining unaware of it, and most importantly, why it is almost impossible for ordinary decent peoples too busy pursuing their 'American Dreams', to perceive this sophisticated manipulation which goes on 24x7.

- 1) Hugh Wilford, The Mighty Wurlitzer, How the CIA Played America, Harvard Univ. Press 2008.
- 1A) Frank Wisner's Operation Mockingbird (PDF cached here)
- Carl Bernstein, <u>THE CIA AND THE MEDIA</u>, Rolling Stone, October 20, 1977 (https://tinyurl.com/cia-and-media-CarlBernstein).
- 2A) <u>CIA on Campus</u> compilation of incredible articles and declassified information on CIA's infiltration of America's Top Ivy Leagues and other leading universities. (PDF cached <u>here</u>)
- 3) Richard Keeble, <u>Hacks And Spooks</u>, March 3, 2006 (<u>https://tinyurl.com/hacks-and-spooks-RichardKeeble</u>).
- 3A) Col. Fletcher Prouty, The Secret Team, (PDF book cached here)

- 4) William Schaap, attorney, expert witness on media disinformation, sworn court testimony, Volume IX, November 30, 1999. <u>Video</u> (https://tinyurl.com/jcg5uw9), Transcript at King Center (also available here).
- 5) Zahir Ebrahim, Prisoners of the Cave, 2003, Chapter 6: How does the US News Media end up towing the line?. The Military-Industrial-Media corporate complex with interlocked board memberships, and opaque stock ownership by institutional investors the largest of which is the U.S. Government (see Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports at http://CAFR1.com), represent the same vested interests which control the Policy Planning of the CIA and the Pentagon as the Primacy Imperatives of the sole superpower, the United States of America. These interlocking for-profit corporations and their majority stock-holders who profit from war, primacy, and hegemony, also own and control the news media, news distribution, the entertainment industry, and essentially all outlets of exercising sophisticated Hegellian Dialectics of Mass Deception upon a not too knowledgeable population. With increasing consolidation of information synthesis, packaging, and delivery into fewer and fewer corporate hands owned and controlled by a handful of people, the ideological alignment of their interests makes a sham of the much touted 'fourth pillar of democracy'. Therefore, operationally speaking, the government is almost trivially able to influence news to manufacture consent for its primacy imperatives in the same way that the oligarchs are able to select the government to implement their agendas in an entirely bipartisan way. Rather than the White House issue daily directives to the editorial staff of major news organizations as in any vanilla dictatorship, the editorial staff and the corporate owners a priori know "All the news that's fit to print." With the right placement of assets and stooges in the news organizations, the tactical synchronization of worldwide propaganda is made superlatively effective – as the 'War on Terror' against 'Militant Islam'. Also see Chapter 4: The Role of Mass Media in Empire Building.
- 6) Additionally, see the watered down 'non-conspiratorial' model of self-censorship to stay gainfully employed, and the self-selection of like-minded blind-sighted journalist-editorial-staff in any news organization as per the often unstated overarching imperatives of the news organization in which one automatically knows what flourishes and what doesn't, in Noam Chomsky's *Manufacturing Consent*, 1986. Chomsky's treatise is applicable more to the incestuous self-censorship of shallow but well-intentioned mental-midgets the bulwark of the newsmedia rather than the calculating mercenarial agendists and vulgar propagandists selectively planted in key positions for psy-ops by the 'Mighty Wurlitzer'. More insidious than "manufacturing consent" however, is "manufacturing dissent", something which Noam Chomsky has unfortunately written little about, leaving it to his nondescript student to unravel in "Weapons of Mass Deception The Master

- <u>Social Science</u>". Witness the manufactured dissent in real action wherein it retains the same coreaxioms as manufactured consent, in "<u>My beef with the stellar congressman Hon. Ron Paul</u>" September 15, 2009. Also see "<u>Open Letter to Hon. Ron Paul Supporters</u>" October 29, 2008.
- 7) What permits all this manipulation and deception to occur? It is the deliberate gaping-hole left in the 'fourth pillar of democracy' by the founders of the world's greatest modern Republic on paper a colossus in practice which has today taken over the world with its systems, values, and McDonalds backed by McDonnell Douglas (https://tinyurl.com/mcwwuv) whereby, the once 'hidden hand' of the market which went so hand-in-hand with its 'hidden fist' is now nakedly ungloved as explained in *Prisoners of the Cave*, Chapter 7: Resistance to Empire New Directions.
- 8) See the Message-Machine in its full-blooming operation today in "Anatomy of Modern Lies and the Merchants of Death".

9) See the spin on Hugh Wilford's book the Mighty Wurlitzer by Michael Warner in Intelligence in

Recent Public Literature, as if willful public deception for a 'greater cause' was only the moral and categorical imperative of the past very judiciously engaged in by superlative 'presidents and key Congressmen [who] held "a fairly sophisticated point of view" that understood that "the public exhibition of unorthodox views was a potent weapon against monolithic communist uniformity of action." The CIA subsidized freedom in order to expose the lies of tyrants—and then winced silently when that freedom led to an occasional bite on America's hand.' The full URL is revealing: https://www.cia.gov/library/center-for-the-study-of-intelligence/csi-publications/csistudies/studies/vol52no2/pdf/U-%20Studies%2052-2%20-Jun08-MightyWurlitzer-Web.pdf (https://tinyurl.com/nrn8yg) The ex post facto deconstruction of Jessica Lynch Media Myth-Making during the Iraq War, in the aftermath of the fait accompli of Iraq invasion by the UK Guardian and Journalism.org, tell a different tale from Michael Warner's, one right out of Zbigniew Brzezinski's cookbook for "imperial mobilization" which "requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification." As pointed out by the UK Guardian, "Jessica Lynch became an icon of the war. An all-American heroine, the story of her capture by the Iragis and her rescue by US special forces became one of the great patriotic moments of the conflict. It couldn't have happened at a more crucial moment, when the talk was of coalition forces bogged down, of a victory too slow in coming. Her rescue will go down as one of the most stunning pieces of news management yet conceived. It provides a remarkable insight into the real influence of Hollywood producers on the Pentagon's media managers, and has produced a template from which America hopes to present its future

wars."

10) This self-study would be incomplete without acquiring some familiarity with the name Edward Bernays. See his 1928 seminal classic on the art of behavior manipulation and involuntary persuasion titled 'Propaganda'. Read it online here. Watch Edward Bernays, the Father of Public Relations upon whose work not only is modern advertising industry, but also modern propaganda warfare programs of advanced militarized nations, clandestine mind control programs like CIA's MK ULTRA, and overall perception management of the masses to keep them continually lockedup in Plato's Underground Cave, are principally based, lucidly describe his mind-craft in less than 30 seconds on David Letterman. With more time on one's hands, watch this four hours long, fourpart, BBC4 documentary titled "The Century of Self" featuring the far reaching work of Edward Bernays, the Freud family, and what are called the *political psychoanalysts*: part-1, part-2, part-3, part4. The full documentary is archived at: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eJ3RzGoQC4s. These mass manipulation techniques for both "engineering of consent" and its dialectical "manufacturing of dissent" are part and parcel of the Mighty Wurlitzer's ordinary persuasion toolkit. The 2008 election of President Barack Obama and awarding him the Nobel Peace Prize is an empirical example of putting Edward Bernays' profound concepts on Public Relations into contemporary practice. Read it in the article "The Answer to the Burning Question du jour: Why was President Obama Gifted the Nobel Peace Prize?" and the Ebook "Obama: Manufacturing A Savior—A Case Study In Social Engineering".

Edward Bernays on Letterman: "people will believe me more if you call me doctor"

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=i6hH3roMe4w]

The Century of the Self (Full Documentary)

Episode One: Happiness Machines

Episode Two: The Engineering of Consent

Episode Three: There is a Policeman Inside All Our Heads: He Must Be Destroyed

Episode Four: Eight People Sipping Wine in Kettering

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eJ3RzGoQC4s]

10A) More specialized psychological tools and advanced manipulation techniques based on Edward

Bernays' discovery that the irrational human mind can easily be mobilized by propaganda for both profit as well as nationalism, are continually explored and refined in many think-tank places in the West under the sanitized vernacular of "Human Relations" and "Public Relations", including presumably at the <u>Tavistock Institute in London</u>. Listen to Aldous Huxley's take on where mind-behavior manipulation techniques are rapidly headed, wherein, with sufficient social engineering control, people will simply end up loving their own servitude, titled "<u>The Ultimate Revolution</u>", March 20, 1962, at UC Berkeley.



10B) An ominously prescient depiction of the more advanced state of behavior control and social engineering is in the allegorical British television series of 1967 titled 'The Prisoner'. Watch online here. Its seventeen episodes masterfully enacted many of the psychological techniques of social engineering, behavior manipulation at both conscious and subliminal levels, voluntary and involuntary mass persuasion, and psychotropically induced mind control as in CIA's MK ULTRA, spanning the gamut in theories drawn from Aldous Huxley's 'Brave New World' to George Orwell's '1984', and from Plato's 'The Republic' to Machiavelli's 'The Prince'. Many of these "soft" (altering the sense of perception) as well as "hard" (altering the brain chemistry) mind/behavior control techniques at macro social levels appear to be in actual play in the West today. See for instance: 'The Sovereign Man is the Real Prisoner', which guotes from the FBI's Counterterrorism Analysis report titled 'Sovereign Citizens - A Growing Domestic Threat to Law Enforcement', the tortuous statement: "The FBI considers sovereign-citizen extremists as comprising a domestic terrorist movement,". Anyone - who is not entirely stripped of commonsense and their powers of observation that is - can easily witness that to think independently from the herd, to resist manipulation, to challenge official narratives, is almost getting to be typecast as being an "unmutual" committing "thought crimes", a "conspiracy theorist" exhibiting signs of "mental illness", a "terrorist" working against the "greater common good", etc. See Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory for how it has been orchestrated in plainsight under various guises from national security imperatives to academic eruditeness. Draconian behavior conformance of the individual for the "greater common good" is now directly visible even to the most blind at the US-UK Airports where the majority of travelers, more than 99 percent, willingly subject themselves to the false choice between X-ray radiation or pat-down molestation without a murmur of protest. See Body-scan <u>Alert - Not Suffering Indignities at Airports</u>. Watch it <u>here</u>. Today, fact and fiction have been merged to such an alarming degree with adept perception control that to be able to rationally separate them, to tell what is mere imagery of the Mighty Wurlitzer vs. the actual interconnected causal reality of statecraft, can be as uncongenial to the cognitive mind as depicted by Plato for the prisoners bred in the underground cave since birth (see Book VII, *The Republic*, page 300 book PDF).




[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=upToH3DSM9E]

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=duAxAcI-N50]

- 11) Today, the Edward Bernays led comprehension of mass psychology, and its sophisticated manipulation as an <u>essential instrument of state-craft</u> **the social "engineering of consent"** is empirical, and quite diabolical. See the FAQ: What is 'Hegelian Mind Fck' and the excellent tutorial on <u>Hegelian Dialectic</u> (PDF) which is driving social engineering across the full spectrum of human conditions du jour.
- 11A) The capitalization upon the **Psychology of Fear** to implement the 'War on Terrorism' du jour was best demonstrated in *Operation Gladio* of yesteryear when self-inflicted terror by NATO's Stay Behind Armies was used to keep the fear of Communism alive among the skeptical public in Western Europe. Please watch the BBC Ch 02 Time Watch 3-part series on <u>Operation Gladio</u>: part-1, part-2, part-3. Despite being a much sanitized version, the BBC documentary is still very revealing. As is already examined in "What is War on Terror?", Part-3 of the Gladio documentary has the following statement quoted from the US Army's Top Secret Field Manual:

"Top Secret: There may be times when host country governments show passivity or indecision in the face of Communist subversion ... US Army Intelligence must have the means of launching special operations which will convince host country governments and public opinion of the reality of the insurgent danger ... US Army Intelligence should seek to penetrate the insurgency by means of agents of special assignments, with the task of forming special action groups among the most radical elements of the insurgency."

As we have now already learned, because fear is such a potent motivator for the masses, its fabrication over the civilian populations is, and always has been, any military's unhidden-secret war-making advantage. Under the military's purview which often transcends mere propaganda and psy-ops, fear-making instruments and orchestrations spanning the gamut from "shock and awe" to false-flag terrorism, serve myriad functions including that of manufacturing the boogeymen who must implement the "doctrinal motivation" whose intellectual seeds were laid years in advance. I.e., the synthetic Ali Baba is fabricated and/or "tickled into existence" to kill innocent civilians with deadly terrorism in order to lend credibility to the mantra of "militant Islam". A believable pretext is thus created for the state's never ending "counter-insurgency" operations against them in order to protect itself and its peoples, its allies, and its infinite national interests. Beginning with the pretext of fighting the "New Pearl Harbor" terrorism of 9/11 which led the United States into Afghanistan, Iraq, into the "Global Zone of Percolating Violence" along the 'Arc of Crisis', how it is being synthesized to turn Pakistan into the new Terror Central can be straightforwardly gleaned in the report: Insurgency vs. Counter-Insurgency - The Decapitation of Pakistan by its own Military!.



Making the Public Mind – Engineering Consent and Behavior Control


Gladio, Part 1: The Ringmasters BBC Time Watch 10 June 1992

Gladio, Part 2: The Puppeteers BBC Time Watch 17 June 1992

Gladio, Part 3: The Foot Soldiers BBC Time Watch 24 June 1992

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yXavNe81XdQ]

- 12) Another immediately pertinent text-book example of Edward Bernays' influence on social engineering with the help of the Mighty Wurlitzer, is the psychological mantras and existential pretexts created to rapidly bring the "Ultimate Revolution" to fruition with microchip RFID implants in human beings. See Swine Flu: The Ultimate Revolution in the Making and the Note on Myth Construction. Climategate is clearly yet another Mighty Wurlitzer operation: see Between Global Warming and Global Governance Concern for Environment is a 'Hegelian Mind Fck'!. Also see Reflections on Modernity, Climategate, Pandemic, Peer Review, and Science in the Service of Empire.
- 13) Metanoia-films.org has a 2-hour video documentary on Social Engineering in the 20th Century, titled '<u>Human Resources</u>', for those more inclined to <u>watch</u> than read.



Human Resources: Social Engineering in the 20th Century

[http://metanoia-films.org/human-resources/#watch]

The amazing description of the Metanoia-films.org website in its own words entirely captures the essential purpose of Project Humanbeingsfirst as well: 'The Greek term for repentance, metanoia, denotes a change of mind, a reorientation, a fundamental transformation of outlook, of an individual's vision of the world and of her/himself ... In the words of a second-century text, The Shepherd of Hermas, it implies "great understanding," discernment. In Carl Jung's psychology, metanoia indicates a spontaneous attempt of the psyche to heal itself of unbearable conflict by melting down and then being reborn in a more adaptive form.' I would add that in Islam, the actual process to acquire that "great understanding", that "fundamental transformation of outlook", that "melting down and then being reborn", is the severe path of jihad-un-nafs. To overcome one's ingrained worldviews and indoctrinations is never an easy journey even when one becomes aware of the social and psychological forces which naturally construct Plato's Prisoners of the Cave. Most will of course remain unaware of it throughout their life, never mind wage a struggle to overcome it, and will continually be manipulated into 'United We Stand' with ruling agendas – a plight which has dogged mankind since time immemorial. A forensic exploration of breaking out of this prison of the mind is in my trilogy on Israel-Palestine which comprise the Pamphlet: How To Return to Palestine. Also see the deconstruction of "The Fable of the Bees" in The Seduction of Science and Technology.


14) Finally, the question of <u>reform</u> continually arises among the antagonists of the Mighty Wurlitzer, the idealists, and the "malcontents". They would rather pretend that some rational "reform" can do away with the Mighty Wurlitzer altogether. The following response to the question raised by Robert Jensen, Professor of Journalism, "Can journalism schools be relevant in a world on the brink?", September 15, 2009, injects a doze of reality-check: "Re-titling can perhaps make the problem-space more apparent: Can journalism schools be relevant in a New World Order of one-world government?" (http://www.commondreams.org/views/2009/09/14/can-journalismschools-be-relevant-world-brink). Edward Bernays brilliant successes at mass behavior manipulation has conclusively shown that masses are typically not motivated into action, moral or any other, by information alone. Rather, primarily by appeal to their irrational sub-conscious mind, to their fears, to their suppressed desires. And, that they remain quite susceptible to hidden emotional manipulation. Therefore, journalism's utility to statecraft to control the masses cannot be overturned, nor reformed, in any existing structure of governance that relies on war and deception to rule. Journalism today is more a diabolical tool of statecraft than of any benefit to the people. It appears to this cynic that in order to even begin to play its theoretically assigned role in a theoretical constitutional republic, unless the much wonted fourth pillar of democracy - the watchdog upon the corridors of power – adopts similar psychological tactics of the oligarchs to manipulate core human instincts for mobilizing the masses for the "democratic check" that is much theorized in Western democracy, journalism is destined to largely remain a steganographic tool of signaling and communication among the elite themselves! To substantiate this egregious point, please see table 3 titled "APPROXIMATE USE OF MEDIA" in Zbigniew Brzezinski's seminal book "Between Two Ages - America's Role in the Technetronic Era", page 14 (book PDF). The readership data for the printed press like the NYT, while quantitatively dated, is qualitatively even more attuned to the hypnotic reality of television mass media today whereby, while useful advance information can sometimes be gleaned in the inside pages of the elite's own printed press – provided one has learnt how to parse the elite's language – it is rarely if ever present on mass television.

15) **CNN Cold War – Profile of Zbigniew Brzezinski.** 'Born on March 28, 1928, in Warsaw, Poland, the future national security adviser to President Carter and son of a Polish diplomat spent part of his youth in France and Germany before moving to Canada. He received a B.A. and M.A. in political science from McGill University, in 1949 and 1950 respectively, and in 1953 earned his doctorate in political science from Harvard. He taught at Harvard before moving to Columbia University in 1961 to head the new Institute on Communist Affairs. In 1958 he became a U.S. citizen. During the 1960s Brzezinski acted as an adviser to Kennedy and Johnson administration officials. Generally taking a hard line on policy toward the Soviet Union, he was also an influential force behind the Johnson administration's "bridge-building" ideas regarding Eastern Europe. During the final years of the Johnson administration, he was a foreign policy adviser to Vice President Hubert Humphrey and his presidential campaign.

In 1973, Brzezinski became the first director of the Trilateral Commission, a group of prominent political and business leaders and academics from the United States, Western Europe and Japan. Its purpose was to strengthen relations among the three regions. Future President Carter was a member, and when he declared his candidacy for the White House in 1974, Brzezinski, a critic of the Nixon-Kissinger foreign policy style, became his adviser on foreign affairs. After his victory in 1976, Carter made Brzezinski national security adviser.

Aiming to replace Kissinger's "acrobatics" in foreign policy-making with a foreign policy "architecture," Brzezinski was as eager for power as his rival. However, his task was complicated by his focus on East-West relations, and in a hawkish way -- in an administration where many cared a great deal about North-South relations and human rights. On the whole, Brzezinski was a team player.' --- CNN Cold War - Profile Zbigniew Kazimierz Brzezinski (snapshot from cnn.com on August 25, 2008)

Expert Profile CSIS Counselor and Trustee, Zbigniew Brzezinski. 'Zbigniew Brzezinski is a CSIS counselor and trustee and cochairs the CSIS Advisory Board. He is also the Robert E. Osgood Professor of American Foreign Policy at the School of Advanced International Studies, Johns Hopkins University, in Washington, D.C. He is cochair of the American Committee for Peace in the Caucasus and is a former chairman of the American-Ukrainian Advisory Committee. He was a member of the Policy Planning Council of the Department of State from 1966 to 1968; chairman of the Humphrey Foreign Policy Task Force in the 1968 presidential campaign; director of the Trilateral Commission from 1973 to 1976; and principal foreign policy adviser to Jimmy Carter in the 1976 presidential campaign.



From 1977 to 1981, Dr. Brzezinski was national security adviser to President Carter. In 1981 he was awarded the Presidential Medal of Freedom for his role in the normalization of U.S.-China relations and for his contributions to the human rights and national security policies of the United States. He was also a member of the President's Chemical Warfare Commission (1985), the National Security Council–Defense Department Commission on Integrated Long-Term Strategy (1987–1988), and the President's Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board (1987–1989).

In 1988, he was cochairman of the Bush National

Security Advisory Task Force, and in 2004, he was cochairman of a Council on Foreign Relations task force that issued the report Iran: Time for a New Approach. Dr. Brzezinski received a B.A. and M.A. from McGill University (1949, 1950) and Ph.D. from Harvard University (1953). He was a member of the faculties of Columbia University (1960–1989) and Harvard University (1953–1960).

Dr. Brzezinski holds honorary degrees from Georgetown University, Williams College, Fordham University, College of the Holy Cross, Alliance College, the Catholic University of Lublin, Warsaw University, and Vilnius University. He is the recipient of numerous honors and awards. His many books include America and the World: Conversations on the Future of American Foreign Policy (2008); Second Chance: Three Presidents and the Crisis of American Superpower (2007); The Choice: Global Domination or Global Leadership (2004); The Geostrategic Triad: Living with

China, Europe, and Russia (2001); The Grand Chessboard: American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives (1997); and The Grand Failure: The Birth and Death of Communism in the 20th Century (1989).' --- Zbigniew Brzezinski, Expert Profile CSIS Counselor and Trustee (snapshot from csis.org on April 28, 2009)

Correction Footnote Brzezinski is Catholic The heritage of Zbigniew Brzezinski is Polish-Catholic and not Polish-Jewish as was stated in a previous version of this report. A very unusual open-secret evidently, not mentioned in the many Brzezinski books and articles I have read, nor on his wikipedia page, nor in his CSIS Expert Profile reproduced above, with the presumption flourishing in the public mind that the Polish Brzezinski is just as Jewish as the Frankish Kissinger, but not necessarily espousing the Zionist theology of the neocons. That correction however, of the hectoring hegemon being Catholic instead of Jewish, while necessary to make for the sake of accuracy, harbors no real material change for the calculus of the grand chessboard from its victims' perspectives. As principally, The White Man's Burden appears Uniformly Distributed among Jews Christians and Atheists - how can one tell the difference? It is just as soaked in the untermensch's blood, and just as laden in the deceptive tunes of the Mighty Wurlitzer, irrespective of which Superman hums it. It does not matter to the dead and destroyed people of Afghanistan that the murderer who boasted "giving to the USSR its Vietnam War" in Muslim blood and on Muslim soil with the synthetic doctrinal motivation of "God is on your side", is Catholic rather than Jewish. However, that fact does perhaps lend some motivational insight into the infighting among hectoring hegemons witnessed in Bush's White House between Brzezinski and the Jewish neocons in reference to Brzezinski's SFRC testimony before the US Senate in Feb 2007 quoted above. The primordial allegiances are evidently to different base tribes – and so the godfathers in the different families bicker in public in a good WWF Wrestling game! But there is of course more to it than that. As examined above, Brzezinski, the grand architect of the great game in Central and South Asia, and also plugged into the Vatican power-base, for reasons best understood by the oligarchy that he evidently fronts for, appears to have pragmatically intervened in America's invasion of Iran by that most dramatic poker play in public. At least for the time being. That breathing space afforded to Iran is being squandered by her in the pursuit of false bravado in public relations games instead of developing some realpolitik full spectrum alliances with her neighbors in real self-defence. See <u>Hegemony is as old as mankind!</u>.

Source URL: https://web.archive.org/web/20191021125950/http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/05/note-on-mighty-wurlitzer.html

Making the Public Mind – Engineering Consent and Behavior Control

First Published May 31, 2009 | Previous release was updated on 07/01/2013 23:00:10 26021 Last Updated (links & typos fixed, updated for Snowden) on Tuesday, March 11, 2014 28243 Links fixed January 16, 2016

Links fixed October 11, 2016

Links fixed, conclusion subsection Sociopathy of Hegemony added to Closing Arguments, August 18, 2018 8:00 pm 28777

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons!

About

About the author

He is just an ordinary fellow, a common man. But one who suffers no fools, takes no prisoners, bows before no authority figures as bearers of divine truths, and remains just as unimpressed by the metaphysics of the *turban* as by the scholarship of the *gown*. There is not much else to say about him. He was quite imperfectly educated in the elite secular universities of both the United States of America and Pakistan, which might explain how he managed to escape from these factories of *jahiliya* with his mind still intact and his brain still firing on all cylinders. It is only because of the imperfection of his education, and because of the failure of the system to obedience train him to *United We Stand* with absurdities, that his deconstruction of modernity is able to capture reality the way it actually is, minus all of *truth's protective layers*. At least me thinks so. My name is Zahir Ebrahim, and I am the archetype *plebeian antidote to hectoring hegemons*.

My contribution to making *America great again* can be gleaned at the United States Patent Office (https://tinyurl.com/Zahir-Patents).

My contribution to making her almost human can be read at what used to be my hobby website: <u>Project Humanbeingsfirst.org</u> (taken down by Google on March 26, 2020 without intimation).

More About Me and My Little Jihad may be gleaned from the pages of my 2015 magnum opus on current affairs: The Poor Man's Guide To Modernity - Oligarchic Primacy for World Government; from my 2015 magnum opus on social engineering using religion: Hijacking The Holy Qur'an And Its Religion Islam — Muslims and Imperial Mobilization; and from my 2003 maiden treatise on America's Imperial Mobilization disguised as "War on Terror", written amidst running tears during the night-time civilian bombings and military invasion of Iraq by the United States: Prisoners of the Cave. My most recent mega contribution to understanding current affairs with emphasis on Pakistan, is the two

About the author

volume <u>Pakistan Decapitation Papers 2019</u>. The following are my two books in 2020 that are a compendium of my work a decade old but which command far more pertinence today as mankind is now visibly being goaded towards one-world government under the pretext of these crises: <u>The Useful Idiot's Guide To Pandemic 2020</u>, and The Next Global Menace 2020 Ali Baba Plusplus.

I graduated from MIT in EECS. I also studied graduate school at Stanford University through its SITN program in the 1980s. Before that I attended UET Lahore in the mid to late 1970s. While I have lived in the United States for the better part of my life, I left my heart behind in Pakistan. I now belong to two worlds, markedly different from each other, and yet exactly the same --- deceived and controlled by their rulers like Plato depicted in his Simile of the Cave in the Republic.

I belong to that generation that is not only caught between two cultures, but also caught between two ages. I borrow from Zbigniew Brzezinski's lede from his seminal 1970 book: Between Two Ages, quoting Hermann Hesse from Steppenwolf. It captures a bit of my own unsettlement:

"Human life is reduced to real suffering, to hell, only when two ages, two cultures and religions overlap. . . . There are times when a whole generation is caught in this way between two ages, two modes of life, with the consequence that it loses all power to understand itself and has no standard, no security, no simple acquiescence."

Except, I do have standards. I know why this *transition age* that we are living through is deliberately made to exist, and what's to come after. I did try to prevent it... Some hubris huh!

The Next Global Menace Ali Baba Plusplus: Alien Invasion

Good alien vs Bad alien; will they come or won't they come; let's prepare just in case



Caption Aliens can't hear us, says astronomer Fainter broadcasting signals and digital switchover mean Earth will soon be undetectable to extraterrestrials Aliens are less likely to be able to pick up signals from Earth and make contact. (Image via UK Guardian, Photograph: Colin Anderson/Getty)



Caption Earth must prepare for close encounter with aliens, say scientists UN should co-ordinate plans for dealing with extraterrestrials – and we can't guarantee that aliens will be friendly Evolution on alien worlds is likely to be Darwinian, which may mean extraterrestrials share our tendencies for violence and exploitation. (Image via UK Guardian Photograph: Rex)

Caption 'World governments should prepare a co-ordinated action plan in case Earth is contacted by aliens, according to scientists. They argue that a branch of the UN must be given responsibility for "supra-Earth affairs" and formulate a plan for how to deal with extraterrestrials, should they appear.'